

Corporate Social Responsibility and Customer Satisfaction in Malaysian Hotels: Mediator of Brand Image and Corporate Reputation

Niu Li¹, Zulhamri Bin Abdullah², Mastura Binti Mahamed³, Long Huiying⁴, Qi Meng⁵

Abstract

Grounded in stakeholder theory, this research explores how various aspects of corporate social responsibility (CSR)—namely economic, ethical, legal, and philanthropic—relate to customer satisfaction within Malaysia's hotel sector. Specifically, it examines the mediating roles of brand image and corporate reputation in shaping customer perceptions and responses to CSR initiatives. A quantitative research approach was employed, utilizing data from 438 customers of five-star hotels in Kuala Lumpur. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was used to analyze the data and test the hypothesized relationships. The findings reveal that ethical and philanthropic CSR practices significantly enhance brand image, while economic and philanthropic CSR initiatives positively influence corporate reputation. Furthermore, legal and ethical CSR dimensions contribute to corporate reputation, emphasizing the importance of socially responsible business operations. The study confirms that CSR, across its various dimensions, has a direct and positive impact on customer satisfaction within the Malaysian hotel industry. Importantly, Brand image and corporate reputation act as key mediators in the link between CSR initiatives—particularly the economic and philanthropic dimensions—and customer satisfaction, highlighting their role in strengthening consumer trust and loyalty. These results provide valuable managerial insights, suggesting that hotel operators should integrate CSR into their strategic branding and corporate identity efforts.

Keywords: *Corporate social responsibility, Customer satisfaction, Corporate reputation, Brand image, Malaysia Hotels.*

Introduction

Recently, the upward trajectory of the hotel industry has highlighted the importance of continuously improving the services provided (Rhou & Singal, 2020). One of the primary reasons for this emphasis is the undeniable link between hotel services and customer satisfaction (Harriet et al., 2024). As competition intensifies, hotels are increasingly adopting corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives to differentiate themselves and enhance their brand value. CSR encompasses a range of actions that align with stakeholder expectations, including ethical business practices, environmental sustainability, legal compliance, and community engagement (Khan et al., 2022). Consumer perceptions of corporate social responsibility have a notable impact on their purchasing choices, with many consumers preferring socially responsible brands when evaluating similar products (Huang et al., 2022). Consequently, CSR plays a critical role in shaping consumer behavior, particularly customer satisfaction (Waheed et al., 2022).

Beyond influencing customer preferences, CSR directly affects corporate reputation and brand image, which are key determinants of long-term business success (Cabrera-Luján, 2023). Companies that strategically position their brands around CSR initiatives can strengthen their brand equity and foster consumer trust (Jusuf, 2023). In the hotel industry, where product differentiation is often challenging, a strong corporate reputation offers a sustainable competitive advantage (Alsheref et al., 2024). Additionally, customer perceptions and attitudes significantly shape a hotel's reputation, further linking CSR to overall satisfaction levels (Mohammad Shafiee & Tabaeian, 2022). CSR practices also help hotels mitigate the negative impacts of external factors, fostering resilience in an ever-evolving market (Khatter, 2025).

Despite these benefits, whether CSR alone fully explains customer satisfaction in the hotel industry remains uncertain (Mzembe & Andon, 2024). While studies in industries such as telecommunications and banking

¹ Universiti Putra Malaysia, Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Selangor, Postal Code: 43400, Malaysia. gs61428@student.upm.edu.my (Corresponding Author)

² Universiti Putra Malaysia, Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia; zulhamri@upm.edu.my

³ Universiti Putra Malaysia, Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia; mastura.mahamed@upm.edu.my

⁴ Universiti Putra Malaysia, Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia; gs68770@student.upm.edu.my

⁵ Universiti Putra Malaysia, Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia; gs64292@student.upm.edu.my

(Macca & Dabić, 2024) have demonstrated CSR's positive impact on customer satisfaction, research in the tourism and hospitality sectors remains limited (Agapito & Sigala, 2024). Moreover, empirical findings regarding the relationship between CSR and customer satisfaction remain inconsistent, necessitating further exploration (Shih et al., 2024; Mehnaz et al., 2024).

To address these gaps, this study aims to examine the impact of CSR dimensions (economic, ethical, legal, and philanthropic) on brand image and corporate reputation and their subsequent influence on customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry. Using a quantitative approach, data were collected from guests at 15 five-star hotels in Kuala Lumpur and analyzed through structural equation modeling. The findings of this research will provide theoretical insights and practical recommendations for hotel managers on how to leverage CSR strategies to enhance customer satisfaction.

Literature Review

Stakeholder Theory

Freeman, the father of stakeholder theory, defines stakeholders as "any group or individual that can influence the achievement of organizational goals or is affected by the achievement of organizational goals." Stakeholder theory emphasizes that companies not only need to create value for shareholders, but also need to meet the expectations of all stakeholders (Adomako & Tran, 2022). The stakeholder group that this study focuses on is customers. Therefore, this study uses stakeholder theory as a basis to explore the impact of corporate social responsibility on brand image, corporate reputation, and customer satisfaction.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Carroll (1999) found that corporate social responsibility includes four different dimensions: economic, ethical, charitable and legal. In terms of the impact of CSR, it can promote customer loyalty and corporate reputation (Barlas et al., 2023). In fact, Engaging in CSR initiatives allows companies to improve their public reputation and strengthen their corporate image (Kodua et al., 2022; Ozcan & Eli, 2020). From a corporate communication perspective, stakeholder theory emphasizes the need for transparent and targeted communication (Ha & Park, 2024). Therefore, this study incorporates the four dimensions of corporate social responsibility from the perspective of stakeholders into corporate responsibility to customers.

In the Malaysian hotel industry, brand image not only reflects the quality of accommodation or service, but also reflects the hotel's commitment to corporate social responsibility initiatives (Ahmad et al., 2024). Corporate social responsibility helps shape brand image not just among customers but also across a broader range of stakeholders (Lin, 2024). To strengthen this impact, organizations are encouraged to implement communication strategies that effectively highlight their CSR initiatives (Alam & Islam, 2021). Therefore, customer perceptions of corporate social responsibility may further enhance positive brand image. In addition, different aspects of corporate social responsibility (legal, ethical, philanthropic, economic) affect customer evaluations (Mahmood & Bashi, 2020). Based on this overview, this study hypothesizes that:

- H1a: Economic CSR positively influences brand image in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H1b: Legal CSR positively influences brand image in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H1c: Ethical CSR positively influences brand image in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H1d: Philanthropic CSR positively influences brand image in Malaysia's hotel industry.

Corporate reputation

According to stakeholder theory, CSR plays a crucial role in shaping corporate reputation by addressing the expectations and concerns of different stakeholder groups. Corporate reputation is influenced by CSR, with each contributing in its own way (Berber et al., 2022). Economic CSR initiatives, such as fair pricing, high-quality services, and responsible financial management, reinforce a company's reliability and stability, which in turn improves its reputation among consumers and business partners (Aktürk, 2024). Legal CSR ensures that companies adhere to regulations and industry standards, reducing risks associated with non-compliance

and legal disputes (Jahidi & Abd Karim,2024). Ethical CSR practices, such as transparent business operations and fair labor policies, demonstrate integrity and commitment to moral business conduct (Onyekwelu et al., 2024). Finally, philanthropic CSR, which includes charitable donations, community engagement, and environmental sustainability efforts, portrays a company as socially conscious and community-oriented, enhancing its overall image.

Despite the widely acknowledged link between CSR and corporate reputation, few studies have specifically examined how hotel brand image and reputation influence customer satisfaction (Mohammad Shafiee, & Tabaeian, 2022). Given the competitive nature of the hospitality industry, corporate reputation is a critical determinant of long-term success, influencing customer perceptions, trust, and willingness to engage with a brand (Islam et al., 2023). Customers are more likely to choose hotels that have a strong reputation for responsible business practices, as they associate such establishments with superior service quality, ethical treatment of employees, and commitment to sustainability (Papademetriou et al., 2023). This study seeks to address this research gap by examining the impact of different CSR dimensions on corporate reputation within the hotel industry. Specifically, it hypothesizes that:

- H2a: Economic CSR positively influences corporate reputation in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H2b: Legal CSR positively influences corporate reputation in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H2c: Ethical CSR positively influences corporate reputation in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H2d: Philanthropic CSR positively influences corporate reputation in Malaysia's hotel industry.

Customer satisfaction

According to Mainardes & Freitas (2023), customer satisfaction is a perceptual experience evaluation based on the comparison between what is and what is not. At the same time, according to Pj et al. (2019), customer satisfaction may also come from corporate social responsibility activities, so when corporate social responsibility activities are successfully implemented, it will have a positive impact on customer satisfaction, and when customers begin to feel more satisfied with responsible companies (Zhang & Ahmad,2022). Nonetheless, there remains a lack of in-depth investigation into how each CSR dimension individually affects customer satisfaction. In summary, this study assumes that the various dimensions of corporate social responsibility are as follows:

- H3a: Economic CSR positively influences customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H3b: Legal CSR positively influences customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H3c: Ethical CSR positively influences customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.
- H3d: Philanthropic CSR positively influences customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

Brand image

Customer satisfaction is affected by brands due to their contribution to corporate social responsibility (Liu et al.,2024). Brand image, characterized by customer perception and brand associations, plays a key role in the tourism industry. It is a decisive factor in hotel guests' decision to recommend or purchase (Piriyakul et al.,2024). Consumers develop an emotional connection with brands, which is based on the corporate social responsibility and promotes positive feedback about the brand and its image in society. Agyei & Shaorong Sun (2021) Based on this comprehensive study, the research hypotheses are:

- H4: Brand image positively influences customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

The Relationship between Corporate reputation and Customer satisfaction

Companies with a good reputation can convey to the public that they prioritize not only their own business interests but also the well-being of their stakeholders, which plays a crucial role in gaining consumer trust (Fatmawati & Fauzan, 2021). A strong corporate reputation signals reliability, quality, and ethical business practices, all of which contribute to building long-term customer relationships. Customers are more likely to support businesses that have a history of integrity, transparency, and positive engagement with society.

Corporate reputation is developed over time and is shaped by consistent actions, stakeholder perceptions, and overall brand positioning. According to Shoukat et al (2024), companies with a strong reputation gain a competitive advantage, which positively affects customer satisfaction. When customers perceive a company as reputable, they tend to associate it with superior service quality, fair treatment of employees, and ethical business conduct. These perceptions, in turn, enhance customer satisfaction and increase the likelihood of repeat patronage and brand advocacy.

In the hotel industry, corporate reputation is particularly important as customers evaluate brands based on trust, service excellence, and ethical standards (Mohammad et al.,2022). Hotels with a positive reputation attract more guests, command higher pricing power, and foster greater customer loyalty. Additionally, in an era where online reviews and word-of-mouth significantly influence consumer decisions, a strong corporate reputation helps mitigate the risks associated with negative publicity. Therefore, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

H5: Corporate reputation positively influences customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

The Mediating Role of Corporate Reputation and brand image on the Relationship between CSR and Customer Satisfaction

In recent years, corporate social responsibility has become a competitive strategy for companies to increase profits, customer satisfaction, corporate reputation, and positive attitudes toward corporate brands (Bashir, 2024). In addition, brand image is considered a key variable that affects marketing activities because it can affect customers' perception of the products/services provided (Aprelyani,2024). Khan (2022) established a model to study the relationship between corporate reputation, customer satisfaction, customer loyalty, and service recommendations, and concluded that corporate reputation is positively correlated with customer satisfaction. At the same time, based on the hotel industry literature, some studies have shown that corporate image significantly affects perceived value and satisfaction as well as loyalty (Karim & Rabiul,2024). Some scholars have pointed out that excellent customer value and high customer satisfaction have an impact on the reputation and ultimate value of the company. Similarly, Abdullah and Ali (2018) found that corporate image can moderate the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and customer satisfaction.

In addition, Umboh et al (2024) concluded from the relationship between corporate image, customer perceived value, customer satisfaction and behavioral intentions that brand image significantly hinders the mining of perceived value and satisfaction. Notably, when customers hold a favorable view of a hotel, they are more inclined to perceive its service as highly satisfactory. Therefore, this study seeks to address the existing research gap by examining how corporate reputation and brand image mediate the relationship between CSR and customer satisfaction.

H6a: Brand image serves as a mediator between economic CSR activities and customer satisfaction within Malaysia's hotel sector.

H6b: Brand image mediates the link between legal CSR efforts and customer satisfaction in the Malaysian hotel industry.

H6c: Brand image functions as a mediating factor in the relationship between ethical CSR practices and customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel context.

H6d: Brand image plays a mediating role between philanthropic CSR actions and customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hospitality industry.

H7a: Corporate reputation mediates the relationship between economic CSR initiatives and customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

H7b: Corporate reputation mediates the relationship between legal CSR initiatives and customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

H7c: Corporate reputation mediates the relationship between ethical CSR initiatives and customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

H7d: Corporate reputation mediates the relationship between philanthropic CSR initiatives and customer satisfaction in Malaysia's hotel industry.

To summarize, the study's conceptual framework is illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure1. Research model

Research Method

Measurements and Questionnaire Development

To test the research hypothesis of this study, based on the list of 5-star hotels (Ong, 2023), we selected 15 best 5-star hotels in Kuala Lumpur to conduct a questionnaire survey with data collected from tourists staying at 5-star hotels.

This study included 4 independent variables, 1 dependent variable and 2 mediating variables. Entries for each variable are taken from previous research. This study used seven items to measure the relationship between these seven variables (Brown and Dacin, 1997). We used 12 projects from Lee & Li, (2012); Lee et al.(2009) measured corporate social responsibility, mainly based on carroll's stakeholder theory, and designed a questionnaire survey from four perspectives: economy, morality, law and charity. We used seven items from Martínez et al(2014) to measure brand image. This study uses four projects of Formbrun et al (2000) to measure corporate reputation.and use Allen et al (2001) six-item scale was used to measure customer satisfaction.The five-point Likert order scale was used to measure the scale, ranging from 1 "strongly disagree"; 2 "Disagree"; 3 "neutral"; 4 "Disagree"; 5 "strongly agree" Prior to conducting the main study, this study pre-tested customer questionnaires from five-star hotels in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, which will be piloted according to the sample size practice recommended by the pilot study. Thirty eligible subjects were selected to fill in the questionnaire, and the reliability and validity of the data were tested. This minimizes social expectation bias. What motivates respondents are the considerations,It gives researchers the option to eliminate potentially erroneous data.

Sample and Data collection

The sample size was determined using Cochran's (1977) formula. A total of 450 questionnaires were distributed, with 438 collected. In the first part of the evaluation survey, we asked hotel customers about their age, gender and education level. The researchers gave the participants questionnaires and obtained the contents of the questionnaires, and the participants then responded. The demographic characteristics of the respondents are shown in Table 1. 54.11% of the respondents were male, 45.662% were female, and 0.228% did not specify their gender. As for age, most are between 45 and 54 years old. The majority of respondents were university educated (52.055%).

Table 1. Demographic Profile

Demographic Variables	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Sex		
Male	237	54.1
Female	201	45.9
Age		
18-34 years old	107	24.4
35-44 years old	105	24.0
45-54 years old	156	35.6
55 years old and above	70	16.0
Educational		
Under-high school	80	18.3
High school	105	24.0
University	228	52.1
Post-graduate	25	5.7

Data analysis and results*Assessment of the Measurement Model*

The measurement model was assessed for validity and reliability, with both composite reliability (CR) and Cronbach's alpha exceeding the 0.70 threshold, confirming adequate internal consistency. As shown in Table 2, all constructs—economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic CSR, brand image, corporate reputation, and customer satisfaction—meet this criterion. In addition, convergence validity was assessed by indicator load and mean variance extraction (AVE). The results show that all indicators have a higher load (i.e., greater than 0.723) in their respective constructs. When the AVE score of the construct exceeds 0.50, this indicator is retained (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). Analysis revealed all constructs achieved AVE values above 0.50 (Hair et al., 2019), signifying that the measurement items accounted for over 50% of variance in their respective constructs. This provides empirical support for the measures' convergent validity and reliability.

Table 2. Measurement items of the study

Construct/item	Indicator loading	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	Composite Reliability	Cronbach's Alpha
Corporate Social Responsibility		0.663	0.957	0.952
ECSR1	0.887			
ECSR2	0.914			

ECSR3	0.768			
LCSR4	0.816			
LCSR5	0.932			
LCSR6	0.914			
ETCSR7	0.924			
ETCSR8	0.723			
ETCSR9	0.925			
PCSR10	0.904			
PCSR11	0.917			
PCSR12	0.940			
Brand Image		0.732	0.946	0.921
BI1	0.896			
BI2	0.910			
BI3	0.896			
BI4	0.909			
BI5	0.930			
BI6	0.516			
Corporate reputation		0.744	0.937	0.876
CR1	0.568			
CR2	0.952			
CR3	0.934			
CR4	0.935			
Consumer Satisfaction		0.865	0.969	0.969
CS1				
CS2				
CS3				
CS4				
CS5				
CS6				

Note: Statistical significance was confirmed for all indicator loadings at $p < 0.001$.

As shown in Table 3, all constructs met the criterion, with square roots of AVE (diagonal) exceeding inter-construct correlations (off-diagonal), confirming discriminant validity. Indicating that there is discriminative validity between constructs. Discriminant validity was further assessed using the HTMT criterion, with all ratios below the 0.90 threshold (Henseler et al., 2015). In addition, HTMT inference using a 90% confidence interval showed that no confidence interval of any construct crossed 1, indicating good discriminative validity.

Table 3. Discriminant Validity Assessment: Fornell-Larcker Criterion Results

	BI	CR	CS	ECSR	ETCSR	LCSR	PCSR
BI	0.856						
CR	0.854	0.862					
CS	0.847	0.859	0.930				
ECSR	0.834	0.774	0.817	0.862			
ETCSR	0.768	0.775	0.748	0.764	0.859		
LCSR	0.815	0.768	0.823	0.852	0.803	0.889	
PCSR	0.852	0.778	0.791	0.853	0.724	0.806	0.920

Note: ECSR = Economic CSR, LCSR = Legal CSR, ETCSR = Ethical CSR, PCSR = philanthropic CSR, BI = brand image, CR=Corporate reputation, CS=customer satisfaction

Table 4. HTMT Ratio of Correlations for Discriminant Validity Testing

	BI	CR	CS	ECSR	ETCSR	LCSR	PCSR
BI							
CR	0.837						
CS	0.850	0.860					
ECSR	0.847	0.897	0.894				
ETCSR	0.876	0.896	0.838	0.824			
LCSR	0.896	0.855	0.896	0.890	0.856		
PCSR	0.824	0.859	0.842	0.772	0.836	0.895	

Note: ECSR = Economic CSR, LCSR = Legal CSR, ETCSR = Ethical CSR, PCSR = philanthropic CSR, BI = brand image, CR=Corporate reputation, CS=customer satisfaction. All HTMT ratios demonstrated statistical significance ($p < 0.001$, one-tailed), with 90% confidence intervals provided in brackets.

Structural Model Analysis

The structural model assessment comprised: (1) collinearity evaluation using VIF (acceptable if < 5 per Hair et al., 2019); (2) examination of standardized path coefficients; (3) analysis of R^2 for endogenous constructs; (4) computation of f^2 effect sizes; and (5) blindfolding procedure to obtain Q^2 predictive relevance measures. As illustrated in Table 6, the VIF values of all constructions are between 2.622 and 4.352, indicating that there is no collinearity problem.

Secondly, the empirical results show that brand image has a positive impact on ECSR (H1a: $\beta = 0.184$, $p < 0.001$), ETCSR (H1c: $\beta = 0.204$, $p < 0.001$) and PCSR (H1d: $\beta = 0.419$, $p < 0.001$). Corporate reputation has a positive impact on ECSR (H2a: $\beta = 0.347$, $p < 0.001$) and PCSR (H2d: $\beta = 0.308$, $p < 0.001$). Customer satisfaction had a positive effect on ETCSR (H3b: $\beta = 0.226$, $p < 0.001$) and PCSR (H3c: $\beta = 0.119$, $p < 0.001$). In addition, the path coefficient also shows that customer satisfaction has a positive impact on brand image (H4: $\beta = 0.283$, $p < 0.001$) and corporate reputation (H5: $\beta = 0.454$, $p < 0.001$). Therefore, H1a, H1c, H1d, H2a, H2d, H3b, H3c, H4, and H5 are all supported (See Figure 2).

Third, R^2 analysis revealed substantial explanatory power: CSR predicted 78% of brand image variance and 70% of corporate reputation variance, while the full model explained 86% of customer satisfaction variance.

Finally, the prediction correlations were evaluated using Stone-Geisser's Q^2 program (Sharma, 2021). As summarized in Table 6, the Q^2 values of brand image ($Q^2 = 0.572$), corporate reputation ($Q^2 = 0.515$) and customer satisfaction ($Q^2 = 0.743$) are all greater than zero, the findings establish strong predictive validity, with all endogenous constructs (brand image, corporate reputation, and customer satisfaction) showing substantial explained variance ($R^2 > 0.70$), exceeding recommended thresholds for social science research (Hair et al., 2019).




Figure 2. Structural Equation Model with Standardized Path Coefficients (β)

The study identified dual mediation mechanisms: (1) brand image transmitted the effects of economic and philanthropic CSR on satisfaction (H6a/H6d supported), and (2) corporate reputation likewise mediated these relationships (H7a/H7d supported), with all path coefficients significant at $p < 0.001$ (detailed in Table 5).

Table 5. PLS Path Model Effect Decomposition (Direct/Indirect/Total)

Hypothesis	β	SE	p-value
Direct effects			
H1a. ECSR→BI	0.184	0.064	< 0.001
H1b. LCSR→BI	0.156	0.096	> 0.001
H1c. ETCSR→BI	0.204	0.081	< 0.001
H1d. PCSR→BI	0.419	0.108	< 0.001
H2a. ECSR→CR	0.347	0.086	< 0.001
H2b. LCSR→CR	0.111	0.098	> 0.001
H2c. ETCSR→CR	0.151	0.082	> 0.001
H2d. PCSR→CR	0.308	0.109	< 0.001
H3a. ECSR→CS	-0.077	0.060	> 0.001
H3b. LCSR→CS	0.226	0.079	< 0.001
H3c. ETCSR→CS	0.119	0.060	< 0.001
H3d. PCSR→CS	-0.049	0.080	> 0.001
H4. BI→CS	0.283	0.101	< 0.001
H5. CR→CS	0.454	0.106	< 0.001
Indirect effects			
H6a. ECSR→BI→CS	0.050	0.028	< 0.001
H6b. LCSR→BI→CS	0.043	0.030	> 0.001
H6c. ETCSR→BI→CS	0.056	0.034	> 0.001
H6d. PCSR→BI→CS	0.115	0.054	< 0.001
H7a. ECSR→CR→CS	0.381	0.092	< 0.001
H7b. LCSR→CR→CS	0.054	0.052	> 0.001
H7c. ETCSR→CR→CS	0.074	0.040	> 0.001
H7d. PCSR→CR→CS	0.151	0.066	< 0.001

Note: SE = standard error (Cohen, 1988), β = standardized path coefficient.

Table 6. Model Evaluation Metrics: Predictive Relevance (Q²), Collinearity Statistics (VIF), and Explained Variance (R²)

Construct	Q ²	Full VIF	collinearity	R ²
ECSR	0.573	2.622		0.783
LCSR	0.692	3.199		0.882
ECSR	0.652	3.762		0.886
PCSR	0.714	3.767		0.851
BI	0.572	3.618		0.787
CR	0.515	4.352		0.703
CS	0.743	3.386		0.867

Note: ECSR = Economic CSR, LCSR = Legal CSR, ETCSR = Ethical CSR, PCSR = philanthropic CSR, BI = brand image, CR=Corporate reputation, CS=customer satisfaction.

Discussion and Conclusion

This study highlights the significant impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on brand image, corporate reputation, and customer satisfaction in the Malaysian hotel industry across multiple dimensions, including economic, ethical, philanthropic, and legal aspects. The findings demonstrate how CSR initiatives influence consumer perceptions, providing valuable insights for hotel management.

Firstly, this study confirms that CSR practices in economic, ethical, and philanthropic dimensions significantly enhance brand image. This aligns with previous research that has established a strong connection between socially responsible practices and improved brand perception (Carlini & Grace, 2021). Consumers increasingly prefer businesses that adhere to ethical standards, demonstrating fairness in labor practices, environmental responsibility, and community engagement (Onyekwelu et al., 2024). For example, hotels that support local economies by sourcing goods and services from local suppliers can strengthen their brand image by positioning themselves as contributors to community well-being. Similarly, philanthropic activities—such as supporting charities, funding education initiatives, and participating in environmental sustainability programs—can elevate consumer trust and reinforce positive brand associations (Qiuping & Fronda, 2023).

Additionally, ethical CSR practices, such as promoting diversity and inclusion, ensuring fair wages, and adopting sustainable business operations, help shape a positive corporate identity. When hotels prioritize ethical considerations in their business strategies, they enhance their reputation as responsible entities that care for their stakeholders. This, in turn, leads to greater customer engagement, loyalty, and advocacy. The findings of this study suggest that the Malaysian hotel industry should actively integrate ethical and philanthropic CSR initiatives into their marketing and branding strategies to cultivate a strong, positive brand image. Furthermore, hotels should clearly communicate their CSR efforts through multiple channels, including social media, official websites, and promotional campaigns, to ensure that customers recognize and appreciate their contributions to society.

Secondly, this study finds that economic and philanthropic CSR dimensions positively influence corporate reputation. As Al-Garadi (2025) suggest, CSR-driven economic performance enhances a company's standing in society, leading to stronger customer trust and higher brand equity. Companies that fulfill their economic responsibilities—such as maintaining fair pricing and offering high-quality services—earn consumer respect and loyalty. Philanthropic contributions, including charitable donations and social development programs, further improve a company's standing (Umar et al., 2023). Consumers tend to

support businesses that demonstrate a genuine commitment to social causes, such as environmental sustainability, poverty alleviation, or educational initiatives. When hotels actively engage in corporate philanthropy, they not only foster goodwill among their customers but also enhance their reputation within the broader community.

Moreover, corporate reputation is shaped by long-term consistency in CSR commitments (Schwoy et al., 2023). Companies that frequently engage in social responsibility efforts build a strong reputation over time, which contributes to customer trust and business sustainability. In contrast, businesses that engage in sporadic or insincere CSR initiatives may fail to establish a credible reputation. Therefore, Malaysian hotels should ensure that their CSR programs are well-structured, ongoing, and transparent, rather than one-time marketing strategies aimed at short-term gains.

Thirdly, this study finds that legal and ethical dimensions of CSR have a significant and positive impact on customer satisfaction (Akbari et al., 2024). Customers expect hotels to comply with regulations, maintain transparency in their operations, and uphold high ethical standards. When hotels operate with integrity and demonstrate social responsibility, they create a sense of trust and reliability among consumers, leading to higher satisfaction levels. Legal compliance, such as adhering to labor laws, health and safety regulations, and environmental protection policies, reassures customers that the company prioritizes ethical business conduct (Akbari et al., 2024). Ethical responsibility, including fair treatment of employees and responsible sourcing of materials, further strengthens this perception.

Customer satisfaction is not only influenced by service quality but also by the perceived values and principles of a company (Saut, & Bie, 2024). Modern consumers, particularly younger generations, increasingly consider CSR practices when making purchasing decisions. As a result, hotels that actively engage in ethical and socially responsible practices may experience greater customer satisfaction, as consumers align their personal values with those of the company. Furthermore, satisfied customers are more likely to develop long-term relationships with a hotel, leading to repeat business and positive word-of-mouth referrals.

This study also demonstrates that CSR's influence on customer satisfaction is further strengthened by the mediating effects of brand image and corporate reputation. A strong brand image enhances customer perception of CSR activities, making them more effective in influencing satisfaction levels. Similarly, a well-established corporate reputation reassures customers about the integrity and reliability of a hotel's services. These findings indicate that CSR alone may not be sufficient to maximize customer satisfaction; rather, hotels must also cultivate a strong brand image and corporate reputation to fully leverage the benefits of their CSR initiatives.

Given these findings, hotel managers should recognize the strategic importance of CSR in shaping consumer perceptions and business success. Implementing CSR initiatives in a well-integrated and transparent manner can provide hotels with a competitive advantage in a highly saturated market. Hotel operators should ensure that their CSR strategies are not just reactive responses to societal expectations but are deeply embedded within their corporate culture and long-term vision.

Additionally, hotels should invest in communicating their CSR efforts effectively to the public. Many businesses engage in meaningful CSR activities but fail to highlight their contributions due to insufficient marketing and communication. Leveraging digital platforms, such as social media and corporate websites, can help hotels showcase their CSR initiatives and connect with socially conscious consumers. Transparency in CSR reporting, such as publishing sustainability reports and impact assessments, can further enhance credibility and trust.

This study contributes to the growing body of literature on CSR in the hospitality sector by providing empirical evidence of its impact on brand image, corporate reputation, and customer satisfaction. While previous research has examined the effects of CSR in other industries, studies focusing on the hotel industry, particularly in Malaysia, remain limited. By addressing this gap, this study offers practical recommendations for industry stakeholders, policymakers, and future researchers.

Future research should explore additional factors that may influence the effectiveness of CSR initiatives in the hotel industry. For instance, customer demographics, cultural differences, and personal values may moderate the relationship between CSR and customer satisfaction. Examining these variables can provide deeper insights into how different consumer segments perceive CSR efforts and respond to them. Furthermore, longitudinal studies tracking the long-term impact of CSR on customer loyalty and business performance could offer a more comprehensive understanding of CSR's role in shaping sustainable hotel operations.

In conclusion, this study reinforces the notion that CSR plays a crucial role in enhancing brand image, corporate reputation, and customer satisfaction in the Malaysian hotel industry. By implementing well-planned CSR initiatives across economic, ethical, legal, and philanthropic dimensions, hotels can strengthen their market position and foster positive relationships with customers. As competition in the hospitality industry continues to grow, socially responsible business practices will not only serve as a moral obligation but also as a key driver of long-term success. Hotel operators must recognize that CSR is not merely an optional corporate strategy but a fundamental component of sustainable and ethical business growth.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to everyone who contributed to this research and publication. Special thanks to the participants for their essential involvement.

References

- Abdullah, Z., & Ali, S. R. O. (2018). The impact of corporate image on students' satisfaction and loyalty. *Journal of Education and Social Sciences*, 9(2), 193-199. <https://www.jesoc.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/KC9-61docx.pdf>.
- Adomako, S., & Tran, M. D. (2022). Stakeholder management, CSR commitment, corporate social performance: The moderating role of uncertainty in CSR regulation. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 29(5), 1414-1423. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2278>.
- Agapito, D., & Sigala, M. (2024). Experience management in hospitality and tourism: reflections and implications for future research. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 36(13), 57-76. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-11-2023-1722>.
- Agyei, James, Shaorong Sun, Emmanuel Kofifi Penney, Eugene Abrokwah, and Richmond Ofori-Boafo. 2021. Linking CSR and Customer Engagement: The Role of Customer-Brand Identification and Customer Satisfaction. *SAGE Open* 11: 21582440211040113. <http://doi:10.1177/21582440211040113>.
- Ahmad, N., Ahmad, A., Lewandowska, A., & Han, H. (2024). From screen to service: how corporate social responsibility messages on social media shape hotel consumer advocacy. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 33(3), 384-413. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2023.2271448>.
- Akbari, M., Ruel, S., Nguyen, H. T. M., Reaiche, C., & Boyle, S. (2024). Toward gender equality in operations and supply chain management: a systematic review, research themes and future directions. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 35(6), 2057-2086. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-08-2023-0336>.
- Akbari, M., Ruel, S., Nguyen, H.T.M., Reaiche, C. and Boyle, S. (2024), "Toward gender equality in operations and supply chain management: a systematic review, research themes and future directions", *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, Vol. 35 No. 6, pp. 2057-2086. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-08-2023-0336>.
- Aktürk, E. B. (2024). The role of social quality in enhancing total quality management: An assessment through corporate social responsibility and business ethics. *Business & Management Studies: An International Journal*, 12(4), 1106-1122. <https://doi:10.15295/bmij.v12i4.2477>.
- Al-Garadi, M. (2025). Exploring the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) on Marketing Stability. <http://doi:10.1186/s40991-021-00062-w>.
- Allen, T. D. (2001). Family-supportive work environments: The role of organizational perceptions. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 58(3), 414-435. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.2000.1774>.
- Alsheref, F. K., Khairy, H. A., Alsetoohy, O., Elsayy, O., Fayyad, S., Salama, M., & Soliman, S. A. E. M. (2024). Catalyzing green identity and sustainable advantage in tourism and hotel businesses. *Sustainability*, 16(12), 5267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16125267>.
- Alsheyab, M., Filimon, N., & Fusté-Forné, F. (2023). Hospitality management in times of crisis: a corporate social responsibility perspective. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 16(5), 873-891. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-03-2022-0122>.
- Apriyanti, S. (2024). Analysis of Product Quality, Brand Image and Service Quality on Purchasing Decisions (Marketing Management Literature Review). *Siber International Journal of Education Technology (SIJET)*, 1(4), 137-144. <https://doi.org/10.38035/sijet.v1i4.97>.
- Barlas, A., Valakosta, A., Katsionis, C., Oikonomou, A., & Brinia, V. (2023). The effect of corporate social responsibility on customer trust and loyalty. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1036. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021036>.

- Bashir, M. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance—the role of corporate reputation, advertising and competition. *PSU Research Review*, 8(2), 389–402.
- Berber, N., Aleksić, M., Slavić, A., & Jelača, M. S. (2022). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and corporate reputation in Serbia. *Engineering Economics*, 33(3), 232–245. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ee.33.3.29316>.
- Brown, T. J., & Dacin, P. A. (1997). The company and the product: Corporate associations and consumer product responses. *Journal of marketing*, 61(1), 68–84. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1252190>.
- Cabrera-Luján, S. L., Sánchez-Lima, D. J., Guevara-Flores, S. A., Millones-Liza, D. Y., García-Salirrosas, E. E., & Villar-Guevara, M. (2023). Impact of corporate social responsibility, business ethics and corporate reputation on the retention of users of third-sector institutions. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 1781. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15031781>.
- Carlini, J., & Grace, D. (2021). The corporate social responsibility (CSR) internal branding model: Aligning employees' CSR awareness, knowledge, and experience to deliver positive employee performance outcomes. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 37(7–8), 732–760. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1860113>.
- Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling techniques*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Fatmawati, I., & Fauzan, N. (2021). Building customer trust through corporate social responsibility: The Effects of corporate reputation and word of mouth. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 793–805. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043409>.
- Fombrun, C. J., Gardberg, N. A., & Sever, J. M. (2000). The Reputation QuotientSM: A multi-stakeholder measure of corporate reputation. *Journal of brand management*, 7(4), 241–255. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/bm.2000.10>.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of marketing research*, 18(1), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>.
- Ha, S. K., & Park, Y. S. (2024). Toward a Conceptualization of Stakeholder Business Communication. *Business Communication Research and Practice*, 7(1), 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.22682/bcrp.2024.7.1.7>.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European business review*, 31(1), 2–24. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ebv-11-2018-0203/full/html>.
- Harriet, N., Arthur, N., Komunda, M. B., & Mugizi, T. (2024). Service quality, customer loyalty and customer retention among private health care services in mbarara city. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 12(01), 101–126. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2024.121008>.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 43, 115–135. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>.
- Huang, W. S., Lee, C. J., & Chen, H. S. (2022). The influence of corporate social responsibility on consumer purchase intention toward environmentally friendly sneakers. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14400. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114400>.
- Islam, T., Khan, M., Ghaffar, A., Wang, Y., Mubarik, M. S., Ali, I. H., ... & Sharma, A. (2023). Does CSR influence sustained competitive advantage and behavioral outcomes? An empirical study in the hospitality sector. *Journal of Global Scholars of Marketing Science*, 33(1), 107–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21639159.2022.2098157>.
- Jahidi, Z., Danuri, M. S. M., & Abd Karim, S. B. (2024). Regulatory Non-Compliance and Its Limitations Towards Risk Minimisation in the Oil and Gas Industry. *Journal Of Project Management Practice (JPMP)*, 4(1), 42–61.
- Jusuf, D. I. (2023). Utilizing Corporate Social Responsibility to Strengthen Brand Equity. *Neo Journal of economy and social humanities*, 2(4), 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.56403/nejesh.v2i4.148>.
- Karim, R. A., & Rabiul, M. K. (2024). The relationships of corporate sustainability, customer loyalty, and word of mouth: the mediating role of corporate image and customer satisfaction. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 25(3), 421–441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2022.2135054>.
- Khan, R. U., Salamzadeh, Y., Iqbal, Q., & Yang, S. (2022). The impact of customer relationship management and company reputation on customer loyalty: The mediating role of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, 21(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332667.2020.1840904>.
- Khan, R. U., Salamzadeh, Y., Iqbal, Q., & Yang, S. (2022). The impact of customer relationship management and company reputation on customer loyalty: The mediating role of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, 21(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332667.2020.1840904>.
- Khatter, A. (2025). Challenges and Solutions for Corporate Social Responsibility in the Hospitality Industry. *Challenges*, 16(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/challe16010009>.
- Kodua, Prince, Charles Blankson, Swati Panda, Thuy Nguyen, Robert E. Hinson, and Bedman Narteh. 2022. The Relationship between CSR and CBBE in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Moderating Role of Customer Perceived Value. *Journal of African Business* 23: 1088–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2021.2015835>.
- Lee, S., & Heo, C. Y. (2009). Corporate social responsibility and customer satisfaction among US publicly traded hotels and restaurants. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 28(4), 635–637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2009.02.007>.
- Lee, Y. K., Kim, Y. S., Lee, K. H., & Li, D. X. (2012). The impact of CSR on relationship quality and relationship outcomes: A perspective of service employees. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(3), 745–756. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.09.011>.
- Lin, W. L. (2024). The role of corporate social responsibility and corporate social irresponsibility in shaping corporate reputation: An analysis of competitive action and innovation strategies. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(2), 1451–1468. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2640>.

- Liu, P., Tse, E. C. Y., & He, Z. (2024). Influence of customer satisfaction, trust, and brand awareness in health-related corporate social responsibility aspects of customers revisit intention: a comparison between US and China. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 25(4), 700-726. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2022.2135163>.
- Macca, L. S., Ballerini, J., Santoro, G., & Dabić, M. (2024). Consumer engagement through corporate social responsibility communication on social media: Evidence from Facebook and Instagram Bank Accounts. *Journal of business research*, 172, 114433. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114433>.
- Mainardes, E. W., & Freitas, N. P. D. (2023). The effects of perceived value dimensions on customer satisfaction and loyalty: a comparison between traditional banks and fintechs. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 41(3), 641-662. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-10-2022-0437>.
- Mehnaz, Jin, J., Hussain, A., Warraich, M. A., & Waheed, A. (2024). Impact of perceived CSR practices on customers loyalty. The mediating role of reputation and customer satisfaction. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(5), 3724-3734. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2762>.
- Mohammad Shafiee, M., & Tabaeian, R. A. (2022). The impact of corporate social responsibility on relationship quality and customer citizenship behavior: hotel reputation as a moderator. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 23(5), 1136-1158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2021.1955238>.
- Ong, E. (2023). 15 Best 5-Star Hotels In KL For A Luxurious Weekend Staycation. <https://www.klook.com/en-MY/blog/best-5-star-hotels-kl/>
- Onyekwelu, N. P., Ezeafulukwe, C., Owolabi, O. R., Asuzu, O. F., Bello, B. G., & Onyekwelu, S. C. (2024). Ethics and corporate social responsibility in HR: A comprehensive review of policies and practices. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), 1294-1303.
- Papademetriou, C., Anastasiadou, S., & Papalexandris, S. (2023). The effect of sustainable human resource management practices on customer satisfaction, service quality, and institutional performance in hotel businesses. *Sustainability*, 15(10), 8251. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108251>.
- Piriyakul, I., Kunathikornkit, S., & Piriyakul, R. (2024). Evaluating brand equity in the hospitality industry: Insights from customer journeys and text mining. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 4(2), 100245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijime.2024.100245>.
- PJ, S., Singh, K., Kokkranikal, J., Bharadwaj, R., Rai, S., & Antony, J. (2023). Service quality and customer satisfaction in hospitality, leisure, sport and tourism: An assessment of research in web of science. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 24(1), 24-50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2021.2012735>.
- Qiuping, W., & Fronda, J. (2023). Philanthropic Program and Consumer Brand Evaluation of Transnational Companies: Basis for Strategic Plan. *The Quest: Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.60008/thequest.v2i3.157>.
- Reguant Álvarez, M., & Martínez Olmo, F. (2014). Operacionalización de conceptos/variables. <https://hdl.handle.net/2445/57883>.
- Rhou, Y., & Singal, M. (2020). A review of the business case for CSR in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 84, 102330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.102330>.
- Schwoy, S., Dutzi, A., Corten, M., & Steijvers, T. (2023). Staging or real commitment? CEO reputation management as a moderator of the influence of firm size on corporate social responsibility performance and controversies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 410, 137325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137325>.
- Sharma, P. N., Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N., & Ray, S. (2021). Prediction-oriented model selection in partial least squares path modeling. *Decision Sciences*, 52(3), 567-607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/deci.12329>.
- Shih, I. T., Silalahi, A. D. K., Baljir, K., & Jargalsaikhan, S. (2024). Exploring the impact of perceived sustainability on customer satisfaction and the mediating role of perceived value. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2431647. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2431647>.
- Shoukat, M. H., Selem, K. M., Olya, H., Shahidul Islam, M., & Tan, C. C. (2025). Eliciting the Nexus Between Hotel Service Attributes and Customer Advocacy: Moderating Effect of Brand Resonance. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2025.2453993>.
- Umar, U.H., Jibril, A.I. & Musa, S. (2023), "Audit committee characteristics and corporate philanthropic donations before and during COVID-19", *Corporate Governance*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 347-366. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-10-2021-0387>.
- Umboh, S. F., Tulung, J. E., & Wangke, S. J. (2024). The influence of perceived value to customer loyalty with customer satisfaction as an intervening variable on ESSE Brand Users in Manado. *Riset Akuntansi Dan Manajemen Pragmatis*, 2(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.58784/ramp.89>.
- Waheed, A., Shehzad, F., Arif, F., Abbas, A. A., Mehmood, Z., & Usman, M. (2022). Role of CSR in achieving customers' satisfaction and retention during COVID-19 and post-pandemic period: Empirical evidence from emerging nations. *Human Systems Management*, 41(6), 731-743. <https://doi.org/10.3233/HSM-211564>.
- Yan, X., Espinosa-Cristia, J. F., Kumari, K., & Cioca, L. I. (2022). Relationship between corporate social responsibility, organizational trust, and corporate reputation for sustainable performance. *Sustainability*, 14(14), 8737. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148737>.
- Zhang, Qingyu, and Sohail Ahmad. (2022). Linking Corporate Social Responsibility, Consumer Identification and Purchasing Intention. *Sustainability* 14: 12552. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912552>.
- Saut, M., & Bie, S. (2024). Impact of service expectation, experiential quality, and perceived value on hotel customer satisfaction. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 25(4), 781-809. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2022.2141414>.