

Cultivating Creativity and Innovation in the School Curriculum for the 21st Century: Opportunities and Challenges

AK Isabirye¹, KC Moloji², RS Lebelo³, S Khan⁴

Abstract

The present educational environment is confronted with the urgent need to undergo transformation and adjustment to meet the swiftly evolving requirements of the 21st century. This study examines the crucial necessity of fostering creativity and innovation in educational curricula to enhance the relevance of learners for the future. The analysis identified four primary themes using a literature review approach. This study examines the significance of creativity and innovation in educational curricula, the necessity to transition from conventional teaching methods to learner-centered approaches, the impact of technology on transforming educational practices, and the importance of teacher training and professional development. The findings emphasise the need for a fundamental educational shift prioritising the human learner and utilizing creativity, innovation, and technology to cultivate the abilities and competencies needed for future professional achievements. Hence, the study offers suggestions for educational policy, curriculum design, and teacher development. Furthermore, future study areas are suggested to explore empirical data and optimal methods for integrating creativity and innovation into education in the 21st century.

Keywords: *Multi-Discipline, Curriculum, Teaching Collaboration, Creativity, Innovation, Technology.*

Introduction

In an age of rapid technological progress and societal change, the necessity for fundamental change in educational paradigms has become increasingly evident. Conventional educational approaches, which primarily emphasise the simple transfer of information and strict uniformity, do not adequately prepare learners with the necessary skills, abilities, and attitudes needed to succeed in the ever-changing, intricate, and uncertain educational environment of the 21st century. This theoretical study investigates the significance of prioritising learner-centric approaches in education to promote creativity and innovation within a viciously changing global work environment fueled by technological advancements and artificial intelligence. It will examine the constraints of conventional educational models and the necessity for a transformative curriculum. Additionally, it will identify strategies and best practices for incorporating creative and innovative elements into educational programmes and provide insights into the potential influence of learner-centered, creative, and innovative curricula on the development of future generations. The educational landscape in South African schools is governed by the Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement (CAPS) 2018. The policy document, National policy about the programme and promotion requirements of the National Curriculum Statement Grades R–12, and the sections on the Curriculum and Assessment Policy constitute the norms and standards of the National Curriculum Statement Grades R – 12, forming the basis for the Minister of Basic Education to determine minimum outcomes and standards, as well as the processes and procedures for the assessment of learner achievement to apply to public and independent schools. As in other parts of the world, curriculum implementation is taught in compartmentalised silos. The prevalent practice of teaching in silos is often characterised by the separation of subjects, usually leading to a lack of interdisciplinary learning resulting in minimal collaboration (Mizuta, 2022). This approach limits the ability of students to apply knowledge creatively and innovatively in real-world contexts, where problems are rarely confined to a single subject (Albar & Southcott, 2021). While efforts are being made to break down these silos to create a more integrated and holistic educational experience for learners, very few schools have succeeded in doing so (Tonnetti & Lentillon-Kaestner, 2023).

¹ Faculty of Management Sciences, Vaal University of Technology, South Africa, Email: isabiryeaki@gmail.com

² Faculty of Management Sciences, Vaal University of Technology, South Africa, Email: khadijah.moloi@madinainstitute.ac.za.

³ Faculty of Applied and Computer Sciences, Vaal University of Technology, South Africa, Email: sollyl@vut.ac.za (Corresponding Author).

⁴ Faculty of Management Sciences, Vaal University of Technology, South Africa, Email: sollykhan@theguardianinstitute.org

Integrating creativity and innovation into the school curriculum varies significantly across educational systems and countries (Fauzi,2022). In Indonesia, technological development and scientific advancements have influenced the overhaul of the education system. The Indonesian curriculum, which focuses on developing independent, creative, and innovative individuals, is a promising step toward preparing students for future challenges (Fauzi,2022). Insani (2019) and Khoerunissa, Palestin, Ramadiana, Riani, Zamalududin, Rohman and Fitriyani (2024). suggest that the shift from the National School-Based Examination to one that includes competency assessments is a positive change, driven by the desire to equip students with the skills they need for the future. This promising curriculum has the potential to significantly impact the future of education in Indonesia Kyritsi and Davis (2021). The Scottish Curriculum for Excellence (SCE) is a beacon of hope because it places a strong emphasis on creativity as a core component of teaching and learning. It recognizes the pivotal role of creativity in developing critical thinking and problem-solving skills. However, implementing creative teaching practices can be inconsistent, often hindered by a focus on standardised testing and accountability measures (Kyritsi & Davis, 2021). In Nigeria, the curriculum at the pre-primary and primary levels often overlooks the development of foundational creative and innovative skills. This oversight is linked to high unemployment and social issues, suggesting a need for curriculum reform to emphasise creativity and innovation (Okezue & Oganah-Ikujenyo, 2022). Positioning the learner experience as the central focus of the educational process, this research seeks to reveal novel approaches for fostering creativity, fostering innovation, and enabling learners to become engaged, flexible, and resilient participants in the constantly changing global society. This work presents a transformational educational paradigm that combines joy-driven learning, design thinking, and multidisciplinary discovery to enhance students' readiness for the challenges and opportunities of the new century.

The current inquiry is: How can educational curricula and pedagogical structures be reconfigured to promote the cultivation of creativity and innovation in education? To address the subject, the study will i) Investigate the significance of prioritising learner-centric methods in education to promote creativity and innovation. (ii). Examine the constraints of conventional educational models and the necessity for a curriculum that brings about significant change. iii). Identify imperative tactics and optimal methodologies for incorporating creative and innovative components into educational programmes. Iv). Analyse the possible influence of a curriculum that prioritizes, fosters creativity, and encourages innovation in the personal growth of future generations.

Context of the Study

Increasingly, schools are mandated to prepare students for a rapidly changing world where creativity and innovation are the hallmarks of essential skills to survive in the 21st century (Suyuti, 2024). Accordingly, education systems should shift to more flexible, interdisciplinary curricula promoting critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration. Despite the increasing recognition of the importance of 21st-century skills in education, many schools continue to adhere to rigid, traditional teaching methods that emphasise content memorisation rather than nurturing students' creative potential. However, a growing body of research advocates a shift to include creativity and innovation across subjects to better equip students for future challenges. Incorporating technology, Project-Based Learning (PBL), and real-world problem-solving presents opportunities for schools to redefine their approach to teaching and learning. These changes present challenges, including limited resources, teaching training, and balancing the demands of completing the curriculum with developing creative thinking skills.

The exponential progress of technology and the profound changes in society over the 21st century have emphasised the necessity for a major change in educational frameworks. Conventional educational approaches frequently prioritise knowledge transfer and standardisation, which have been insufficient in providing learners with the necessary skills and attitudes needed to succeed in the ever-changing, intricate, and uncertain environment of the contemporary world. The research will ascertain essential techniques and optimal methods for incorporating creative and inventive components into educational programmes, utilising frameworks such as joy-driven learning, design thinking, and transdisciplinary discovery. This study aims to reveal novel approaches for enabling learners to become engaged, flexible, and resilient participants in the constantly changing global society. The results of this study will be a worthwhile asset for educational

policymakers, curriculum developers, and teachers who aim to rethink the educational environment and provide future generations with the necessary competencies, abilities, skills, and attitudes needed to succeed in a progressively intricate, unpredictable, and ever-changing world.

Literature Review

The changing landscape of education globally and within the South African schooling system requires fundamental mind shifts in how the curriculum is conceptualised and delivered to prepare learners for the 21st century. With the advent of the new century, the increasing demands of future generations have compelled a change in educational methodologies. The advent of novel technology, globalisation, and the growing intricacy of societal issues have revealed the deficiencies of traditional teaching approaches and curriculum frameworks. Johnson (2014) asserts that public education has reached a dam in the river with isolated instruction and learning. While it may have served the purpose for the older generations, it does not meet the deeper learning needs of students today and tomorrow. For Sphero Team (2023) the urgency for cross-curricular teaching in schools cannot be over-emphasized. Cross-curricular teaching is an approach that challenges the traditional view of education and invites teachers of different subjects to collaborate and bring multiple disciplines into a single course of teaching Sphero Team (2023). The benefits of cross-curricular teaching include how students learn to think more critically, improve their problem-solving skills, and work collaboratively. The advent of the new century has ushered in a swiftly evolving environment, distinguished by the incorporation of technology, the growing global dissemination of information, and the necessity for flexible and analytical thinking abilities (Sukmayadi & Yahya, 2020). This transformation has significant consequences for the education system, as it needs to adapt to provide students with the essential skills to face the challenges of the 21st century.

Van der Merwe and Pedro (2022) propose that the conventional method of teaching and learning in the classroom can address the demands of a technology-driven society to enhance student engagement. Kosnik, Menna, and Dharamshi (2022) outline the difficulties encountered by academics displaced in the evolving field of teacher education due to insufficient technological competence. They stress the importance of ongoing professional growth and flexibility to ensure that teachers are adequately equipped to address the varied requirements of 21st-century learners. The significance of efficient leadership techniques in addressing the difficulties of the evolving education environment is further emphasised by Van Jaarsveld et al. (2020), hence highlighting the necessity for collaborative and innovative strategies. Furthermore, the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) in education brings forth both opportunities and concerns, as emphasised by Alam (2021). Although artificial intelligence (AI)-driven technologies can improve collaborative learning, streamline administrative duties, and offer immediate feedback, there are apprehensions regarding the ethical consequences and the possible displacement of human educators. Indeed, it is crucial to acknowledge that the dynamic nature of education necessitates a fundamental transformation in teaching methods, curriculum development, and institutional frameworks to cater to the changing demands of future generations. It is imperative to overcome the constraints of conventional educational models to adequately equip students with critical skills to deal with the future's problems and prospects.

Integration of Technology for Cultivating Creativity and Innovation in the Curriculum

The swiftly changing educational landscape necessitates the integration of technology and the cultivation of digital literacy. Kettler et al. (2021) highlight the importance of technology in promoting creativity and innovation in contemporary educational establishments. Wu and Chen (2021) demonstrate the need for an innovative curriculum design for a course that specifically targets developing new products, with a strong focus on integrating technology and exploring individual creativity. Conversely, Sodorzoda (2021) examines the effectiveness of information and communication technology in promoting innovative thinking within educational environments. According to Deng (2017), it is crucial to reassess the curriculum and teaching methods to adopt a comprehensive strategy that effectively caters to the evolving requirements of learners and society. McPhail and Rata (2017, 2016) present a theoretical framework for curriculum design that highlights "powerful knowledge" and the acquisition of 21st-century skills. They emphasise the

synchronisation of educational methods with the fast-evolving social and technological environment. Furthermore, Meyer and Norman (2020) advocate for a paradigm shift in design education to enhance learners' competency for the complexities and possibilities of the 21st century.

Fischer, Lundin, and Lindberg (2020) underscore the need to reconsider and reimagine the processes of learning, education, and collaboration in the era of digitalisation. They stress the significance of changing cultural norms and adopting new technology without delay. Grimus (2020) examines the influence of developing technologies on student learning, teaching methods, and composition of educational programmes, emphasising the need to synchronise educational approaches with the changing digital environment. Engeness (2021) explores the notion of cultivating teachers' digital identities by suggesting pedagogical design principles for digital settings that facilitate students' learning in the 21st century. Borthwick, Foulger, and Graziano (2022) present a framework that acknowledges the indispensable role of teacher preparation programmes in providing pre-service teachers with the necessary skills and confidence to incorporate innovative practices into their teaching. This framework aims to support future educators in effectively incorporating technology into their instructional practices. In their study, Amante, Bastos, and Oliveira (2021) examine the notion of empowering educators in the field of digital assessment. They emphasise the need to equip teachers with the essential training, tools, and support to proficiently utilise technology for assessing student learning and delivering individually tailored feedback.

Borthwick, Foulger, and Graziano (2022) present a framework that acknowledges the indispensable role of teacher preparation programmes in providing pre-service teachers with the necessary skills and confidence to incorporate innovative practices into their teaching. This framework aims to support future educators in effectively incorporating technology into their instructional practices. In their study, Amante, Bastos, and Oliveira (2021) examine the notion of empowering teachers in digital assessment. They emphasise the need to equip teachers with the essential training, tools, and support to proficiently utilise technology for assessing student learning and delivering individually tailored feedback. Bertolaso, Capone, and Rodriguez-Lluesma (2022) propose a "digital humanism" strategy that prioritises the human experience in technical progress. This approach aims to ensure that digital tools and solutions are specifically developed to improve and empower individuals' lives. Facilitating learners' ability to actively participate in their learning, investigate their interests, and cultivate their abilities is essential for promoting internal motivation, involvement, and a lasting passion for learning. Furthermore, the results emphasise the revolutionary capacity of technology in restructuring educational methodologies.

Empowering Teachers as Facilitators of Innovation

To revolutionise the educational environment, it is essential to enable teachers as agents of innovation. Teachers must possess essential skills, resources, and support to facilitate significant transformation. If the educational landscape must be transformed, curriculum design and pedagogical practices must change, and educators must be empowered to become facilitators of innovation. All stakeholders in education must be engaged and collaborative ecosystems must be built. These issues form the subject of discussion in the sections that ensue. The ever-changing educational environment necessitates a critical reconsideration of curriculum design and pedagogical approaches to address the requirements of the 21st century. Cremin and Barnes (2018) assert that teachers must integrate creative collaborative practice and pedagogical practices in schools, allowing space for uncertainty and the unknown. Drake and Reid (2020) analyse the historical background of integrated curriculum and its significance in fostering 21st-century skills, promoting a comprehensive and multidisciplinary knowledge-based approach to education.

Main (2020) argues for a reconsideration of curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment in the domain of middle years education, highlighting the need for flexible and responsive methodologies. Thus, a thorough reconsideration of curriculum design and instructional methods is necessary. By synchronising educational approaches with the requirements of the 21st century, integrating developing technologies, and promoting interdisciplinary and cooperative learning, schools can enhance their ability to equip students to effectively manoeuvre the obstacles and seize the possibilities offered by the evolving world. Teacher professionalism is the need to foster a culture of collaboration, creativity, and ongoing enhancement within the educational sector (Bugge & Siddiq, 2021). In his recent publication, Beni (2021) delves deeper into the difficulties and

benefits of supporting the professional growth of educators who adopt new methodologies. The author emphasises the need to embrace the inherent complexity of this process to attain significant impact. Furthermore, Bugge and Siddiq (2021) epitomise the need for teachers to manage the objectives of mission-oriented innovation. This necessitates a comprehensive strategy that promotes teamwork, adaptable attitudes, and a dedication to continuous professional growth.

Teachers as agents of change can bring about profound and fundamental change in reimagining curriculum delivery for the 21st century. The strategy entails equipping teachers with the appropriate tools, professional development opportunities, and institutional support to engage students and prepare them for the challenges of the 21st century through experimentation, collaboration, and implementing innovative educational techniques. Fundamentally, overhauling the educational environment necessitates a focused endeavour to enable teachers as catalysts for change, cultivating a climate of ingenuity, originality, and ongoing enhancement inside the education system. Research by Fauzi (2022); Okezue & Oganah-Ikujenyo (2022); Insani (2019); Kyritsi and Davis (2021); and Cremin and Barnes (2018) emphasises the need to embrace a learner-centered, imaginative, and groundbreaking method of curriculum building to equip students for the future's obstacles and possibilities. In his study titled "Human-centric lifelong learning for an era of digital transformation," James (2024) highlights the importance of educational frameworks that promote flexibility and resilience, allowing learners to effectively negotiate the fast-changing technology environment.

The literature analysis indicates that a curriculum that focuses on human needs, creativity, and innovation can promote the growth of adaptability, resilience, and lifelong learning for students. A "people-centric innovation" paradigm, proposed by Zhang, Zhou, and McKenzie (2013), highlights the need to foster a culture of knowledge-sharing and ongoing learning at educational institutions. The technique described is consistent with the conclusions drawn by McKenzie and Lui (2024), who emphasise the significance of including human-centric methodologies in undergraduate software engineering education. This integration would empower students to acquire the essential skills and mentality required to navigate intricate and fast-evolving global environments. Based on these observations, Batat (2024) presents the "Holixec Education" methodology, which is a learner-centered framework that emphasises improving the preparedness of learners for the workplace by using the MECCDAL (Mindset, Empowerment, Collaboration, Creativity, Digital Literacy, Adaptability, and Lifelong Learning) theory. The literature presented in the current study examined the significant influence of embracing a collaborative, imaginative, and innovative method of curriculum building.

In their comprehensive analysis, Allen, Lewis-Warner, and Noam (2020) provide a case study that underscores the significance of collaborations in revolutionising STEM education. Cremin and Barnes (2018) offer that a creative school environment may exhibit seemingly contradictory characteristics, such as being both highly active and relaxed, to foster creativity effectively. Webster (2020) argues that a curriculum rich in creativity should be cross-disciplinary and include creative pedagogy and leadership. Such a curriculum prepares students for future challenges by demonstrating how knowledge can be used creatively (Webster, 2020). According to Johnson (2014), deep learning includes undaunted teachers who are committed to providing students full access to the well of deep-learning knowledge that will unlock their potential. On the contrary, Sphero Team (2023) suggests that traditional methods of teaching have often focused on the subjects as self-contained disciplines. Students learn Mathematics during the Mathematics class, and science during science class. However, this approach often overlooks the interconnected realities of life after education. Most people use multiple disciplines in their daily lives and work. Even professional scientists require literacy skills to write scientific papers. Hence, the concept of English across the curriculum, for example. For Johnson (2014) deeper learning can be accelerated by consolidating teacher efforts and combining relevant contents opening new spillways of knowledge. Johnson (2014) suggests the importance of forming cross-curricular teaching in schools to address teacher isolation and departmentalisation of their teaching will provide the basis of teaching knowledge in the context of other knowledge, to avoid student learning being stuck in the old paradigms of pedagogy. This shift requires that the level of our thinking move more toward teacher collaboration in teaching.

In the researchers' schema, primary school teachers, for example, may follow a thematic approach to focus

on a given number of lessons. For example, the theme “water” could be integrated across various primary school curricula, offering a dynamic and interdisciplinary approach to teaching. In English, students can explore water through creative writing, producing descriptive essays, poems, or narratives from the perspective of a water droplet, enhancing their language skills while engaging their imagination. The mathematics teacher can use the concept of water to teach capacity and volume through practical word problems, encouraging students to calculate water consumption, measure rainfall, and create graphs illustrating water usage, thereby applying math concepts to real-world scenarios. Geography lessons can focus on global water bodies, enabling students to learn about the distribution of rivers, lakes, and oceans while understanding the significance of water in ecosystems and communities. From the perspective of religion, lessons can highlight water's symbolic and ritualistic role, such as its use in Islamic ablution or Christian baptism, encouraging students to explore the spiritual dimensions of water across different faiths. This holistic approach enhances students' understanding of water. It encourages critical thinking and creativity through hands-on activities, interactive experiments, and visual arts, creating a more engaging and interconnected learning experience. More importantly, it is about making sense of the content (Mahmud & Wong, 2022).

To achieve the objective of multi-disciplinarity in team teaching, alignment is critical. For example, a social studies department and the English department can work together and agree on document-based questions (DBS) that would be used for assessments. The assessments will carry equal weights for English and social studies. In this way, students can construct a solid foundation of knowledge that will enable the generalisation of what is learned in social studies because English provides epistemological access to the content subject. The teachers must synchronize their strokes to match the pacing in both subjects. Similarly, math and science teachers can decide on the best way and suitable time to teach motion and cooperatively agree to help each other teach it, separately or jointly. When the math teacher needs models to show students the value of math, he would get them from the science teacher. In this way, students would recognise and understand the relationship between the two subjects as a system of knowledge embedded in each other. The two teachers working together' would drive conceptual understanding of the content area. In other words, the teacher must know both subjects at high levels and be able to teach both conceptually.

Cremin and Barnes (2018) suggest that to foster creativity in schools, it is imperative to create a supportive environment. This can be achieved through schools establishing an atmosphere of acceptance and security, crucial for taking creative risks and advancing student learning, well-being, and creativity. Johnson (2014) suggests that to get beyond the current eye-dropper doses of knowledge school curriculum, teachers and administrators must understand and accept that deep learning engages the whole student (and teacher), heart, mind, body, and soul. It requires enthusiastic partners, students, parents, and the community working together and intensive preparation. Assessment must mirror learning to enable learners to demonstrate their learning by applying and creating new knowledge. The significance of fostering creativity and innovation has become more apparent in light of the evolving educational environment. In an ever-changing environment, the capacity to engage in critical thinking, solve problems, and generate innovative ideas has become an essential proficiency for students to cultivate (Kettler et al., 2021; Ferrari et al., 2009). Jakubik (2020) highlights the significance of cultivating practical knowledge in education, which includes the capacity to analyse intricate scenarios and make well-informed judgments. Boon (2020) emphasises maintaining a balance between character development and the forthcoming demands of the future. This underscores the need to design a curriculum that fosters personal growth and the acquisition of sought-after skills. According to Thornhill-Miller et al. (2023), it is crucial to evaluate, validate, and advance 21st-century abilities, including creativity, critical thinking, communication, and teamwork, to equip students for the future of employment and education.

It is imperative to give priority to learner-centred approaches in the evolving field of education. The conventional teacher-centred paradigm is inadequate in addressing the varied requirements and learning preferences of students (van der Merwe & Pedro, 2022; Kosnik et al., 2022). The significance of efficient leadership techniques that promote collaborative and inventive ways to tackle the dynamics of the evolving education environment is underscored by Van Jaarsveld et al. (2020). Adeoye (2024) emphasises the need to foster intellectual development for the future, emphasising the need of didactic methods that promote

creativity, analytical thinking, and flexibility. Atiku and Anane-Simon (2022) propose that the implementation of educational policies can effectively foster creativity and innovation, therefore making a significant contribution towards the attainment of sustainability objectives.

Papageorgiou and Kokshagina (2022) propose a vision for the future of learning that highlights the significance of creativity, innovation, and entrepreneurship in delineating the educational environment. This vision corresponds to the evolving requirements of the 21st century when students need to possess the abilities and orientation to negotiate a progressively intricate and ever-changing world. Through creativity, innovation, and a learner-centered methodology, schools can enhance their ability to equip students with the necessary skills to tackle future challenges and seize opportunities. This will enable them to become flexible, analytical thinkers, and catalysts for constructive transformation. A pertinent inquiry that emerges is: What approaches should educational institutions implement to foster creativity and innovation within the curriculum? To achieve creativity and innovation in the curriculum, it is necessary to shift toward interdisciplinary learning and collaboration among several fields of study. Tan and Ng (2021) examine the Singaporean education system as a case study that showcases the successful cultivation of creativity in a high-performing setting through interdisciplinary collaboration and cooperative dispute resolution. Effective strategies for promoting creativity and innovation in the curriculum involve incorporating project-based learning and problem-solving methodologies. In his study, Hensley (2020) advocates for integrating mindfulness protocols to enhance learners' creative capacities. Critical thinking skills and adaptable attitudes is essential for nurturing creativity and innovation in the educational programme. Ferrari et al. (2009) underscore the urgency of fostering creative learning and enabling innovative teaching in the education systems of the European Union. Kelly (2020) analyses the concept of collaborative creativity, highlighting the requirement of formal education that is explicitly tailored to promote creative development, innovation, and entrepreneurship.

Engaging Stakeholders and Building Collaborative Ecosystems

The transformation of the educational environment for the 21st century necessitates a comprehensive strategy that involves a wide array of stakeholders and promotes the growth of cooperative ecosystems. Implementing a multidimensional approach is crucial for effectively tackling the intricate problems and developing requirements of the contemporary education system. The study by Bischoff, Volkmann, and Audretsch (2018) delves deeper into the significance of stakeholder collaboration in entrepreneurship education by analysing the entrepreneurial ecosystems found in European higher educational institutions. Moreover, Angelstam et al. (2013) highlight the importance of cooperative learning and research in the sustainable utilisation of ecosystem services, emphasising the necessity of adopting a landscape-level viewpoint and considering Europe as a testing ground for innovation. This cooperative strategy is in line with the comprehensive vision for the educational environment, in which all parties involved collaborate to tackle the obstacles and take advantage of the possibilities presented by the 21st century.

To foster a culture of collaboration and continuous improvement within the education system, Bugge and Siddiq (2021) and Beni (2021) emphasise the need to empower professionalism and support the professional growth of teachers in mission-oriented innovation. Borthwick, Foulger, and Graziano (2022) emphasise the need to promote the incorporation of technology in teacher training. They provide a structured approach to assist future educators in successfully integrating new pedagogical methods. In their study, Amante, Bastos, and Oliveira (2021) investigate the notion of empowering educators in digital assessment, highlighting the need to provide them with the essential abilities and resources to effectively use technology for the evaluation of student learning.

In their study, Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2020) put out a novel approach to education reform, proposing the use of a playful learning methodology that facilitates the cultivation of 21st-century competencies. This method emphasises the need to include a wide range of stakeholders, such as parents, practitioners, and members of the community, in influencing the educational environment. Through the active involvement of stakeholders and the establishment of cooperative ecosystems, it is possible to significantly alter the educational environment to fulfil the demands of the 21st century. This collaborative strategy promotes innovation, creativity, and adaptation, enabling all individuals in the educational community to actively

participate in the development of a fairer, more inclusive, and future-oriented education system.

Challenges in Curriculum Development for Innovation and Creativity

Teachers often feel constrained by accountability pressures, which can limit opportunities for creative teaching. The tension between raising measurable standards and fostering creativity is a significant challenge in many educational systems (Cremin & Barnes, 2018). Prioritising students' needs and experiences, may effectively equip learners for the future's challenges and possibilities, promoting adaptation, resilience, and a lifetime dedication to learning and personal development. Sphero Team (2023) argues that cross-curricular teaching has challenges because it is more time and resource-intensive, contrary to traditional learning approaches. Cross-curricular teaching also requires close collaboration between teachers. However, while cross-curricular teaching encourages team learning, working across subjects is not easy due to different personalities, levels of motivation, and commitment to collaborative practices, for example. Sphero Team (2023) further cautions that blurring the boundaries between subjects raises questions about how student progress should be assessed. Should subjects that combine creative and analytical components, for example, be examined with numeric or artistic criteria? If creative and analytical assessment methods are used simultaneously, the problem could be how each is weighed. According to Webster (2020), while the importance of creativity and innovation in education is recognized, integrating these skills into curricula faces several challenges. These include balancing accountability with creative teaching, revising curricula to emphasise creativity, and adapting to new technologies and methodologies.

Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from educators, policymakers, and stakeholders to ensure students are equipped with the skills needed for the 21st century. Understandably, change is a long, messy, ubiquitous process fraught with complexities and contradictions. The more we push for change, the more the forces against change push back. However, we cannot be discouraged from making the efforts to innovate the curriculum creatively. Further on curriculum creativity and innovation studies should explore institutional, organisational, and individual barriers that teachers and policymakers may face, together with potential approaches for surmounting these challenges. By acknowledging these constraints and broadening the research focus, the next investigations can offer a more thorough and subtle comprehension of the crucial significance of creativity and innovation in influencing the educational environment of the 21st century and beyond.

Research Methodology

The main research paradigm used in this work is a literature review. This methodology is highly appropriate for the essence of the research, which seeks to conceptualise and theoretically analyse the influence of creativity and innovation on the development of the curriculum for the 21st century and beyond. A literature review enables a thorough analysis of the current information, hypotheses, and empirical evidence about the focus of the study (Snyder, 2019). Through the analysis and synthesis of pertinent literature, this study can pinpoint deficiencies, contradictions, and potential topics that can guide the creation of a conceptual framework aimed at fostering creativity and innovation in the field of education. This study undertook a systematic and analytical evaluation, using the criteria established by Dunne (2011) and Carmichael and Cunningham (2017). The review included a comprehensive analysis of both theoretical and empirical research from several fields such as education, psychology, sociology, and management, in order to fully understand the complex nature of the research phenomenon. An extensive literature search was performed utilising several electronic databases including ERIC, SAGE, and Google Scholar, together with manual searches of pertinent journals and book chapters. The search criteria encompassed, but were not restricted to, "educational creativity," "curricular innovation," "skills relevant to the 21st century," and "education prepared for the future."

The rationale for using a literature review as the main research methodology in this study is supported by multiple arguments. A thorough grasp of the current information and theoretical underpinnings is necessary to address the intricate and multifaceted issue of fostering creativity and innovation in education (Lawrence & Tar, 2013). This review facilitates a methodical and thorough investigation of the subject matter, allowing

the researchers to pinpoint the main themes, concepts, and deficiencies in the existing literature. Furthermore, the current study is predominantly focused on theoretical aspects, to construct a conceptual framework to integrate creativity and innovation into the educational curriculum. Employing a literature review is a suitable approach for this study since it enables the integration of theoretical viewpoints and the creation of novel insights (Carmichael & Cunningham, 2017). Ultimately, literature evaluation establishes a strong basis for future empirical analyses in this field. The present study can guide the formulation of research questions, hypotheses, and procedures for future studies that address the actual implementation and evaluation of the suggested conceptual framework by identifying the main themes and gaps in the existing literature.

Data Analysis

The data analysis project entailed a methodical and thorough investigation of the pertinent literature. Consistent with the recommendations of Dunne (2011), Ramalho et al. (2015), and Thornberg and Dunne (2019), the study sought to pinpoint the main themes, concepts, theories, and approaches associated with fostering creativity and innovation in educational curricula. The literature review exercise commenced with an exhaustive exploration of electronic databases such as ERIC, SAGE, and Google Scholar, together with manual examinations of pertinent journals and book chapters. The search criteria specified by Booth et al. (2021) and Snyder (2019) encompassed "creativity in education," "innovation in curriculum," "21st-century skills," and "future-ready education," among other related topics. The literary analysis entailed a meticulous assessment of the chosen sources, with a specific emphasis on finding the prominent themes, concepts, and theories that arose from the material. The methodology employed in this study was anchored on the ideas of grounded theory, as outlined by Ramalho et al. (2015) and Thornberg and Dunne (2019). This approach facilitated the recognition of patterns, contradictions, and areas of contention within scientific literature. A summary of the significant terms and themes that arose from the literature review is provided in the findings section.

Presentation and Discussion of Findings

The explored literature confirmed the importance of prioritizing learner-centred approaches in education to foster creativity and innovation, the limitations of traditional educational models and the need for a transformative curriculum. The literature further identified key strategies and best practices for integrating creative and innovative elements into educational programmes; as well as the potential impact of a human-centred, creative, and innovative curriculum on the development of future generations. Further analysis synthesised the data into four major themes that are now presented for discussion and analysis as the findings of this study.

The Importance of Cultivating Creativity and Innovation in Educational Curricula

To prepare students for the 21st century and beyond, educational courses should encourage creativity and innovation (Sphero Team, 2023; Lang 2021; Gardiner, 2020; Neden et al. 2020; Sukmayadi & Yahya 2020; Webster 2020). This means that the curriculum should emphasise student agility and flexibility. Neden et al. (2020) claim that the rapid acceleration of technological and societal change in the 21st century requires students to be able to quickly adapt and think creatively to overcome complex challenges. Neden et al. (2020) highlight that "anticipative education" that encourages adaptation is essential for preparing students for an uncertain future. This discovery reveals the necessity for interdisciplinary problem-solving in teaching. Innovative solutions to many global issues require integrating knowledge and perspectives from other fields. Gardiner (2020) and Ahsan (2024) emphasise the importance of innovation and cross-disciplinary collaboration. To prepare kids for the 21st century and beyond, they need an entrepreneurial attitude. Bosman and Fernhaber (2021) and Lemah et al. (2023) argue that an entrepreneurial mindset, which includes risk-taking, resourcefulness, and the ability to implement ideas, can help students create possibilities in a highly unpredictable environment. Students must also be digitally fluent. As technology continues to transform organisations and workplaces, Lang (2021) and Malhotra et al. (2024) advise students to learn how to use digital tools and platforms creatively and inventively to prosper in a technology-driven society. Students must be able to acquire, discard, and gain new knowledge throughout their lives

due to the quick rate of change. Pertl (2022) and Rajaram (2023) suggest using creativity and a growth mindset to navigate an uncertain future. Thus, lifelong learning matters.

The Need to Shift Away from Traditional, Standardized Educational Approaches towards More Student-Centered, Collaborative Learning Experiences

Literature (Cho, Caleon, and Kapur 2015; Zacarian and Silverstone, 2020) suggests that student-centered, collaborative learning experiences that foster creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving should replace standardised educational methods. This change is necessary for preparing students for the 21st century and beyond. Instructional strategies that allow students to actively participate in their learning, use their judgement, and guide their educational journeys can boost motivation, engagement, and skill acquisition. Student agency is essential to learner engagement (Reeve & Tseng, 2011). Additionally, Zacarian and Silverstone (2020) recommend using teaching strategies that boost students' ability and confidence. Furthermore, these findings underline the need for authentic learning. Cho, Caleon, and Kapur (2015) note that real-world problems, challenges, and settings can help students develop critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity skills to tackle complex and uncertain topics. Cho, Caleon, and Kapur (2015) emphasise the importance of real-world problem-solving and learning for 21st-century learners. Students will need personalised academic pathways. Zacarias and Silverstone (2020) argue that personalised learning, tailored to students' needs, interests, and learning styles, can help them discover passions, take risks, and develop skills needed for success in a changing society. Interdisciplinary integration will also change teaching approaches. Destroying subject silos and combining information and skills from different domains promotes comprehensive and diverse problem-solving and the practical application of gained knowledge in new settings. Kasimatis and Papageorgiou (2020) examine the benefits of authentic learning and assessment contexts that foster multidisciplinary integration. Finally, allowing students to interact, share perspectives, and holistically investigate complex issues can develop 21st-century skills including effective communication, teamwork, and creative problem-solving (Kyritsi & Davis, 2021). Tang, Vezzani, and Eriksson (2020) examine how playful design jams might improve critical thinking and collaboration.

The Role of Technology in Transforming Educational Practices

Technology's role in changing education and incorporating creativity and innovation is crucial. Quraishi et al. (2024) stress that students must be digitally fluent. The authors highlight that teaching students how to use, navigate, and utilise digital tools and technology can help them use, analyse, and generate information in new ways. Taylor, Yeung, and Basset (2021) and Strauss (2022) also discuss the benefits of personalised and flexible learning approaches and advocate blended and personalised learning strategies. The authors believe that integrating online, digital, and technology-enabled learning with face-to-face education creates more personalised, collaborative, and flexible learning pathways for students. Firmannandya (2023) highlights the need to use technology and Collaborative Platforms to foster global cooperation. Collaboration using digital tools and technology can foster communication, teamwork, and digital citizenship in real-time, remote, and global learning.

Nicola-Gavrilă (2023) also argues that using educational technology to gather, analyse, and interpret student data can provide valuable insights to guide independent learning, but only collaborative learning. targeted interventions and continuing improvement of teaching and learning. This boosts creativity and inventiveness. According to Serrano-Ausejo and Mårell-Olsson (2024), education should incorporate new technologies like virtual reality, augmented reality, and simulations. They believe this combination may create fascinating and immersive learning environments that encourage students to explore, experiment, and develop critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Discuss. By using technology, schools may encourage creativity and innovation in the curriculum, giving students the skills and experiences, they need to succeed in the 21st century and beyond.

The Significance of Teacher Training and Professional Development

The importance of teacher training and professional development in providing educators with the essential skills and attitudes to integrate creativity and innovation into their teaching methods cannot be

overemphasized. Dennis (2016) argues that implementing effective professional development for teachers necessitates the cultivation of a Growth Mindset. Therefore, cultivating a conviction in their capacity to acquire knowledge, adjust, and enhance their skills can empower educators to exemplify and cultivate these fundamental characteristics in their pupils. The cultivation of a development mindset is crucial for successful adaptation in the contemporary dynamic workplace, as highlighted by Dennis (2016). This notion also applies to the educational setting. Furthermore, there will be a requirement for Design Thinking Training. Wrigley and Straker (2017) suggest that offering instructors instruction in design thinking and a creative problem-solving methodology can arm them with the necessary skills and techniques to empower students to adopt innovative, iterative, and user-centric learning approaches.

Teacher collaboration across topic areas is necessary to foster the development of integrated and holistic teaching and learning techniques that equip students for the intricate and linked challenges of the 21st century (Moirano et al., 2020). Furthermore, Bereczki and Kárpáti (2021) argue that continuous professional development should prioritise the successful incorporation of digital tools and technologies into teaching methods. This will empower teachers to design captivating, pertinent, and groundbreaking learning opportunities for their students. Moreover, exploring the significance of "thriving through reflection" in the context of teacher professional development and continuous reflection and improvement, as described by Diasti and Kuswando (2020), promotes and facilitates instructors in evaluating their teaching approaches, student achievements, and professional development. This fosters a culture of ongoing improvement and innovation in educational enterprises.

It is important to acknowledge that the topic of teacher training and professional development also emphasises the difficulties of achieving a balance between acquiring fundamental academic knowledge and fostering creative and innovative abilities within the limitations presented by current educational systems and policies. Educators may face substantial challenges in reconciling the conflict between standardised, content-driven curricula, the requirements for more adaptable, learner-centred approaches, and in shifting to formative, performance-based evaluations.

Successfully tackling these issues will need a comprehensive strategy that harmonises the viewpoints and priorities of many educational stakeholders, offers extensive training and support for all educators, and cultivates a culture of innovation and ongoing enhancement inside educational institutions.

Limitations and Suggestions for Future Research

This study highlights the need to encourage creativity and innovation in 21st-century education, but it also acknowledges limitations. It is important to note that educational systems and cultures vary widely among countries. Therefore, to ensure its relevance and efficacy, creativity and innovation should be tailored to cultural, socioeconomic, and institutional factors in educational courses. Secondly, though teacher training and professional growth are important, learners' perspectives and experiences must also be considered. More research is required to examine students' opinions, motives, and learning preferences for creative and innovative teaching techniques.

The potential challenges of implementing the suggested changes are not substantially examined in this study. Therefore, future research should examine institutional, organisational, and individual difficulties educators and policymakers experience and how such difficulties could be overcome. By accepting these restrictions and broadening the research emphasis, future studies can better understand how creativity and innovation affect 21st-century schooling and beyond.

Recommendations

The results of this study will be a worthwhile asset for educational policymakers, curriculum developers, and practitioners who aim to rethink the educational environment and provide future generations with the necessary abilities and attitudes needed to succeed in a progressively intricate and ever-changing world.

Conclusion

The existing educational environment requires transformation and adjustment to meet the changing requirements of the 21st century and beyond. This research argued the need to foster creativity and innovation in educational curricula to enhance learners' preparedness for the future. Through literature exploration and analysis four main themes that emphasise the need for change in our approach to education were identified. The results indicate the importance of creativity and invention as vital skills for achieving success in the 21st century. In an ever-changing environment marked by intricacy, vagueness, and unpredictability, the capacity to think innovatively, produce original concepts, and adjust to unfolding obstacles is of utmost importance. By integrating these abilities into educational courses, schools can provide students with the necessary capacities to succeed in the future.

Furthermore, the study emphasises the need to shift from conventional, teacher-focused methods to more student-centred teaching paradigms. Facilitating students' ability to actively participate in their learning, investigate their interests, and cultivate their abilities is essential for promoting internal motivation, involvement, and a lasting passion for learning. Furthermore, the results emphasise the revolutionary capacity of technology in restructuring educational methodologies. Technological advancements, ranging from digital tools that accelerate collaboration and creativity to immersive learning experiences that replicate real-world situations, transform the methods of teaching and learning. Furthermore, the analysis underscores the crucial need for teacher training and professional development in providing educators with the necessary information, abilities, and mentality to cultivate creativity and innovation in their classrooms. Allocating resources to the ongoing development and advancement of teachers is crucial for the effective execution of the suggested curriculum modifications.

References

- Adeoye, M. A. (2024). Education in flux: nurturing minds for the future. In *Proceeding of International Conference on Education, Society and Humanity*, 2(1), 73-82.
- Ahsan, S. (2024). Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration: Enhancing Creativity and Problem-Solving in the Workplace. *Kashf Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(5), 12-23.
- Alam, A. (2021). Possibilities and Apprehensions in the Landscape of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Applications (ICCICA)*, Nagpur, India, 1-8, DOI:10.1109/ICCICA52458.2021.9697272.
- Albar, S. B., Southcott, J. E. (2021). Problem and project-based learning through an investigation lesson: Significant gains in creative thinking behaviour within the Australian foundation (preparatory) classroom. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 41(13), 100853. DOI:10.1016/j.tsc.2021.100853.
- Allen, P. J., Lewis-Warner, K., Noam, G. G. (2020). Partnerships to Transform STEM Learning: A Case Study of a STEM Learning Ecosystem. *Afterschool Matters*, 31, 30-41.
- Amante, L., Bastos, G., Oliveira, I. (2021). Empowering educators in digital assessment. *INTED2021 Proceedings*, 1, 7729-7735.
- Angelstam, P., Grodzynski, M., Andersson, K., Axelsson, R., Elbakidze, M., Khoroshev, A., Naumov, V. (2013). Measurement, collaborative learning, and research for sustainable use of ecosystem services: Landscape concepts and Europe as laboratory. *Ambio*, 42, 129-145.
- Atiku, S. O. & Anane-Simon, R. (2022). Stimulating Creativity and Innovation Through Apt Educational Policy. In Z. Fields (Ed.), *Achieving Sustainability Using Creativity, Innovation, and Education: A Multidisciplinary Approach* (pp. 113-133). IGI Global. DOI:10.4018/978-1-7998-7963-3.ch006.
- Barak, M., Yuan, S. (2021). A cultural perspective to project-based learning and the cultivation of innovative thinking. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 39, 100766.
- Batat, W. (2024). Introducing Holixec Education: A Human-Centric Approach to Learner Workplace Readiness via the MECCDAL Method. *Journal of Macromarketing*, DOI:10.1177/02761467241269873
- Beni, S. (2021). 'It's Messy and It's Frustrating at Times, but It's Worth It.' Facilitating the Professional Development of Teachers Implementing an Innovation. *Studying Teacher Education*, 17(3), 273-291. DOI:10.1080/17425964.2021.1961127
- Bertolaso, M., Capone, L., Rodríguez-Lluesma, C. (2022). *Digital humanism: A human-centric approach to digital technologies*. Berlin. Springer Nature.
- Bischoff, K., Volkmann, C. K., Audretsch, D. B. (2018). Stakeholder collaboration in entrepreneurship education: an analysis of the entrepreneurial ecosystems of European higher educational institutions. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43, 20-46.

- Boon, N. S. (2020). Developing curriculum design for the 21st century—Balancing the need of character building and meeting other emerging needs of the future. *Asia Pacific Journal on Curriculum Studies*, 3(2), 1-10.
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., Clowes, M., Martyn-St James, M. (2021). *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review*. (3rd ed.). London. Sage.
- Borthwick, A. C., Foulger, T. S., Graziano, K. J. (2022). *Championing technology infusion in teacher preparation: A framework for supporting future educators*. Hershey, Pennsylvania. IGI Global Publishers.
- Bosman, L., Fernhaber, S. (2021). *Teaching the Entrepreneurial Mindset Across the University*. Berlin. Springer International Publishing.
- Bugge, M. M., Siddiq, F. (2021). Empowering professionalism in mission-oriented innovation. *Science and Public Policy*, 48(3), 423-437.
- Carayannis, E. G., Canestrino, R., Magliocca, P. (2023). From the dark side of industry 4.0 to society 5.0: looking “beyond the box” to developing human-centric innovation ecosystems. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 6695-6711.
- Carmichael, T., Cunningham, N. (2017). Theoretical data collection and data analysis with gerunds in a constructivist grounded theory study. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, 15(2), 59-73.
- Cho, Y. H., Caleon, I. S. Kapur, M. (2015). *Authentic problem solving and learning for twenty- first century learners. Authentic problem solving and learning in the 21st century: Perspectives from Singapore and beyond*. Berlin: Springer
- Cremin, T., Barnes, J. (2018). *Creativity and creative teaching and learning*. In *Learning to teach in the primary school* (pp. 428-442). Routledge, London & New York.
- Daspit, J. J., Fox, C. J., Findley, S. K. (2023). Entrepreneurial mindset: An integrated definition, a review of current insights, and directions for future research. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 61(1), 12-44.
- Deng, Z. (2017). Rethinking curriculum and teaching. City of Publication? In *Oxford research encyclopedia of education*. Incomplete reference
- Drake, S. M., Reid, J. L. (2020). 21st-century competencies in light of the history of integrated curriculum. *Frontiers in Education*, 5, 1-10. DOI:10.3389/educ.2020.00122
- Dunne, C. (2011). The place of the literature review in grounded theory research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 14(2), 111-124.
- Engeness, I. (2021). Developing teachers’ digital identity: towards the pedagogic design principles of digital environments to enhance students’ learning in the 21st century. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(1), 96-114.
- Fauzi, A. (2022). Implementation of the Independent Curriculum in Driving Schools. *Heroes: Journal of Education-Socio-Culture*, 18 (2), 18–22.
- Ferrari, A., Cachia, R., Punie, Y. (2009). Innovation and creativity in education and training in the EU member states: Fostering creative learning and supporting innovative teaching. *JRC Technical Note*, 52374, 64.
- Fischer, G., Lundin, J., Lindberg, J. O. (2020). Rethinking and reinventing learning, education and collaboration in the digital age—from creating technologies to transforming cultures. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 37(5), 241-252.
- Gardiner, P. (2020). Learning to think together: Creativity, interdisciplinary collaboration and epistemic control. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 38, 100749.
- Grimus, M. (2020). Emerging technologies: Impacting learning, pedagogy and curriculum development. *Emerging technologies and pedagogies in the curriculum*, Journal name? 127-151.
- Grimus, M. (2020). *Emerging Technologies: Impacting Learning, Pedagogy and Curriculum Development*. In S. Yu, M. Ally, & A. Tsinakos (Eds.), *Emerging Technologies and Pedagogies in the Curriculum* (pp. 127-151). Berlin: Springer.
- Hang, L. T., Van, V. H. (2020). Building Strong Teaching and Learning Strategies through Teaching Innovations and Learners' Creativity: A Study of Vietnam Universities. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(3), 498-510.
- Hensley, N. (2020). Educating for sustainable development: Cultivating creativity through mindfulness. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 243, 118542.
- Hirsh-Pasek, K., Hadani, H. S., Blinkoff, E., Golinkoff, R. M. (2020). A new path to education reform: playful learning promotes 21st-century skills in school and beyond. *Policy Brief*. Available at: <https://earlylearningnation.com/2020/11/a-new-path-to-education-reform-playful-learning-promotes-21st-century-skills-in-schools-and-beyond/>. Accessed: 26/09/2024
- Huang, F. (2014). The study on the development of university curriculum to cultivate students' creativity and innovation. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educational Development*, 3(1), 1-10.
- Ingram, K. L. (2020). *The impact of the higher education policy on the development of human-centric innovation ecosystems in Lithuania*. Doctoral dissertation, Mykolo Romerio universitetas. Vilnius. Lithuania.
- Insani, F.D. (2019). History of Curriculum Development in Indonesia Since the Beginning of Independence Until Today. *As-Salam: Journal of Islamic Law & Educational Studies*. 8 (1), 43– 64.
- Jakubik, M. (2020, September). Educating for the future—cultivating practical wisdom in education. In *Proceedings of the 24th World Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics* (pp. 156-160). Orlando, USA.
- James, D. (2024). Human-centric lifelong learning for an era of digital transformation. Working (Paper No.10) *Digital Futures of work*. Available at <https://digitalfuturesofwork.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/Human-centred-lifelong-learning-for-an-era-of-digital-transformation.pdf>. Accessed 26/09/2024
- Johnson, B. (2014). *Deeper learning: why cross-curricular teaching is essential*. Available: *Deeper Learning: Why Cross-Curricular Teaching is Essential* | Edutopia. Accessed: 23 September 2024.

- Kasimatis, K., Papageorgiou, T. (2020, June). Creating authentic learning and assessment environments. International Conference on Education and New Developments DOI:10.36315/2020end013
- Kelly, R. (2020). Collaborative creativity: Educating for creative development, innovation and entrepreneurship. *Brush Education*. DOI: 10.33524/cjar.v22i2.602.
- Kettler, T., Lamb, K. N., Mullet, D. R. (2021). *Developing creativity in the classroom: Learning and innovation for 21st-century schools*. London: Routledge.
- Kettler, T., Lamb, K. N., Mullet, D. R. (2021). *Developing creativity in the classroom: Learning and innovation for 21st-century schools*. London: Routledge.
- Khoerunissa, J., Palestin, B. T., Ramadiana, N., Riani, R., Zamalududin, A. Z. M., Rohman, O.A., Fitriyani, Y. (2024). Analysis of implementation of Merdeka curriculum in primary schools. *Progres Pendidikan*, 5(2), 139–145.
- Kosnik, C., Menna, L., Dharamshi, P. (2022). Displaced academics: intended and unintended consequences of the changing landscape of teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 45(1), 127–149.
- Kyritsi, K., Davis, J.M., (2021). Creativity in primary schools: An analysis of a teacher's attempt to foster childhood creativity within the context of the Scottish Curriculum for Excellence. *Improving Schools*, 24(1), 47–61.
- Lang, V. (2021). *Digital Fluency*. New York, USA: Apress.
- Lawrence, J., Tar, U. (2013). The use of grounded theory technique as a practical tool for qualitative data collection and analysis. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, 11(1), 29–40.
- Mahmud, M. M., Wong, S. F. (2022). Digital age: The importance of 21st century skills among the undergraduates. In *Frontiers in Education*. 7, 1-9.
- Main, K. (2020). *Teaching middle years: Rethinking curriculum, pedagogy and assessment*. London: Routledge.
- Malhotra, R., Malhotra, D. K., Nydick, R. (2024). The Transformative Impact of Digital Business Models in Higher Education: Thriving in the Post-Covid Era. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 40(1), 1–17.
- McKenna, S., van Schalkwyk, S. (2023). A scoping review of the changing landscape of doctoral education. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 1–18.
- McKenzie, S., Lui, X. (2024). Integrating Human-Centric Approaches into Undergraduate Software Engineering Education: A Scoping Review and Curriculum Analysis in the Australian Context Available at: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:271088500>, Accessed 26/09/2024
- McPhail, G., Rata, E. (2016). Comparing curriculum types: 'Powerful knowledge' and '21st century learning'. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*, 51, 53–68.
- McPhail, G., Rata, E. (2017). A theoretical model of curriculum design: Powerful Knowledge and 21st Century Learning. In *Knowledge, Curriculum and Equity* (pp. 63–79). London: Routledge.
- Meyer, M. W., Norman, D. (2020). Changing design education for the 21st century. *The Journal of Design, Economics, and Innovation*, 6(1), 13–49.
- Mizuta, M. S. (2022). Silos in Higher Education Institutions: Shifting from Organizational Phenomena to a Practical Framework for Equitable Decision-making. *Dissertations and Theses*. Paper 6105. DOI:10.15760/etd.7965
- Neden, J., Cleak, H., Thomson, S. (2020). Towards agility: Scaffolding anticipative education in social work. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 50(4), 1258–1276.
- Ng, D. F. S., Wong, C. P., Liu, S. (2020). Future-ready learners: Learning, lifework, living, and habits of practices.
- Okezue, O. S. E., & Oganah-Ikujenyo, B. C. (2022). Enhancing creativity and innovation for global competitiveness: The role of curriculum development in pre-primary and primary education. *Nigeria Journal of Home Economics*. 9(5), 143–147.
- Papageorgiou, K., & Kokshagina, O. (2022). *Envisioning the future of learning for creativity, innovation and entrepreneurship*. Berlin. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
- Pertl, B. (2022). Embracing The 21st Century Superpowers of Creativity, Collaboration, Entrepreneurship, Adaptability, and Playfulness. In Stepniak, M A More Promising Musical Future: Leading Transformational Change in Music Higher Education (pp. 62–85). London. Routledge.
- Rajaram, K. (2023). Future of learning: Teaching and learning strategies. In Kumaran Rajaram' *Learning Intelligence: Innovative and Digital Transformative Learning Strategies: Cultural and Social Engineering Perspectives* (pp. 3–53). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Ramalho, R., Adams, P., Huggard, P., Hoare, K. (2015). Literature review and constructivist grounded theory methodology. In *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*. Available <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:143189093> Accessed 26/09/2024.
- Reeve, J., Tseng, C. M. (2011). Agency as a fourth aspect of students' engagement during learning activities. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(4), 257–267.
- Republic of South Africa. 2028. *Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement (CAPS)*. Department of Basic Education. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Saha, S., Chattopadhyay, K. N. (2024). Exploring the scope of imagination, creativity and innovation in Indian schools through the lens of NEP 2020. *Education@ ETMA*, 3(1), 64–74.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Sodirzoda, M. M. (2021). Ways to cultivate the creative thinking of beginners in the classroom through information and communication technologies. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(5), 955–958.

- Sphero Team. 2023. Cross-curricular teaching: Helping Students make connections. Available: Cross-Curricular Teaching: Helping Students Make Connections – Sphero. Accessed: 23 September 2024.
- Sukmayadi, V., Yahya, A. (2020). Indonesian education landscape and the 21st century challenges. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 11(4), 219-234.
- Suyuti, S. (2024). The Importance of Creativity and Innovation in Education: How to Prepare Students for the 21st Century Workforce. *Education Studies and Teaching Journal (EDUTECH)*, 1(1), 80-92.
- Tan, C., Ng, C. S. (2021). Cultivating creativity in a high-performing education system: The example of Singapore. *Journal of Curriculum and Pedagogy*, 18(3), 253-272.
- Tang, T., Vezzani, V., Eriksson, V. (2020). Developing critical thinking, collective creativity skills and problem solving through playful design jams. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 37, 100696.
- Thornberg, R., Dunne, C. (2019). Literature review in grounded theory. In Bryant, A and Charmaz, C. *The SAGE handbook of current developments in grounded theory*, (PP 206-221), London. Sage.
- Thornhill-Miller, B., Camarda, A., Mercier, M., Burkhardt, J. M., Morisseau, T., Bourgeois- Bougrine, S., Lubart, T. (2023). Creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration: assessment, certification, and promotion of 21st century skills for the future of work and education. *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(3), 54.
- Tonnetti, B., Lentillon-Kaestner, V. (2023). Teaching interdisciplinarity in secondary school: A systematic review. *Cogent Education*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2216038>
- Van der Merwe, M. F., Pedro, M. (2022). Teacher perspectives on blended learning in a changing educational landscape. In Editors' names: *Multimodal Learning Environments in Southern Africa: Embracing Digital Pedagogies* (pp. 141-164). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Van Jaarsveld, L., Mentz, K., Wolhuter, C. C., Challens, B. H., Khumalo, J., Malan, A., Vos, D. (2020). *Leadership Approaches to Negotiate Challenges in a Changing Education Landscape*. Durbanville AOSIS Publishers
- Webster, E. (2020). Designing a curriculum for creativity. *The Buckingham Journal of Education*, 1(1), 101-108.
- Wu, Y. J., Chen, J. C. (2021). Stimulating innovation with an innovative curriculum: a curriculum design for a course on new product development. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 19(3), 100561.
- Zacarian, D., Silverstone, M. (2020). *Teaching to empower: Taking action to foster student agency, self-confidence, and collaboration*. Alexandria, VA ASCD. Publishers.
- Zhang, Y., Zhou, Y., McKenzie, J. (2013). A Humanistic Approach to Knowledge-Creation: People-Centric Innovation. In Krogh, G, Takeuchi, Kase, K and González Cantón, C. *Towards Organisational Knowledge: The Pioneering Work of Ikujiro Nonaka* (pp. 167-189). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.