Multidisciplinary Strategies for Chronic Pain Management: Integrating Neurology, Psychiatry, and Pain Medicine for Improved Patient Outcomes

Khaled Hamad M Almane¹, Rakan Barky M Al Otaibi², Bandar Tayil Fankhur Alhamlan³, Khalid Mushatin Hamdan Alsharari⁴, Khalid Mushatin Hamdan⁵, Abdulmajeed Ahmed D Alsaidan⁶, Zaid Snyan Muslim Alsharari⁷, Meshal Salamah Dhuhayyan Alsharari⁸, Abdulrahman Hanif Musabh Alsharari⁹, Yousef Mahdi Ghanem Alsharari¹⁰, Abdul Majeed Ali Al-Osaimi¹¹, Ibrahim Mohammed Mahzari¹²

Abstract

Chronic pain is a complex and multifaceted condition influenced by psychological, social, and biological factors. It poses significant challenges to individuals, often leading to stigma and inadequate management. Addressing chronic pain requires a comprehensive understanding of its determinants and the integration of multidisciplinary approaches to optimize patient outcomes. This review involved a non-systematic evaluation of both foundational and contemporary literature regarding the management of chronic non-malignant pain. A literature search was conducted across various databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and MEDLINE, focusing on studies published in English from 2018 to 2023. Keywords related to chronic pain, therapeutic methodologies, and multidisciplinary care were employed. Findings indicate that a biopsychosocial model significantly enhances chronic pain management by incorporating medical, psychological, and social interventions. Evidence suggests that cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and psychosocial treatments are effective in alleviating chronic pain and improving patient quality of life. Furthermore, multidisciplinary care involving collaboration among healthcare providers leads to better management outcomes and reduced healthcare costs. The effective management of chronic pain necessitates a multidisciplinary approach that considers the diverse influences on pain perception and experience. Future research should focus on refining these integrative strategies and clarifying the roles of various healthcare provided to individuals suffering from chronic pain.

Keywords: Chronic Pain, Multidisciplinary Approaches, Biopsychosocial Model, Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy, Pain Management.

Introduction

Chronic inflammation is a multifaceted phenomenon, including several psychological, social, and biological elements that contribute to chronic pain conditions [1]. Individuals with chronic pain often encounter ignorance, rejection, and discrimination, which significantly influence their journey through suffering [2,3]. The identification of psychological as well as mental health variables linked to chronic pain, along with the obstacles and possibilities for integrating multiple disciplines into its management, is essential for optimizing clinical outcomes, as emphasized by Chandler et al. [4]. Consequently, chronic pain must be prioritized as a global health issue, since effective pain management is a fundamental human right that every healthcare system is obligated to provide. Chronic pain is widely characterized in specialist literature as pain

¹ Ksa, Ministry of Health

² Ksa, Ministry of Health, Dawadmi General Hospital.

³ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Al-Aqeela Health Centre.

⁴Ksa, Ministry of Health, Qurayyat General Hospital

⁵ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Al-Aqeela Health Centre

⁶ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Aljouf Health Cluster

⁷ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Aljouf Health Cluster

⁸ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Aljouf Health Cluster

⁹ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Aljouf Health Cluster

¹⁰ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Al-Issawiya General Hospital

¹¹ Ksa, Ministry of Health, Shaqra Hospital

¹² Ksa, Ministry of Health, King Khaled Hospital Alkharj

that persists beyond the anticipated healing time after an accident or surgical intervention, often lasting longer than three months [5-7]. Vellucci defines chronic pain as pain persisting for a minimum of three months, marked by intermittent and/or continuous pain episodes [8]. The Wisconsin Pain Management Task Force's recommendations on pain evaluation and management indicate that chronic pain continues even after the underlying cause has been resolved, rendering it independent of any organic triggering element [9]. Melzack and Wall define persistent non-malignant pain as typically persisting for over 6 months attributed to non-life-threatening illnesses, has not shown results with existing treatment modalities, and may endure indefinitely [10]. The ICD-11 categorization is appropriate for classifying both chronic main and chronic secondary inflammation, offering a more accurate and unambiguous depiction of chronic pain syndromes in medical data [11-13].

In 2020, the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) established a new definition of pain as "an unpleasant emotional and sensory sensation linked with or approaching that related to actual or potential tissue damage." The updated definition underscores pain as a personal experience shaped by social, psychological, and biological variables, accentuating its subjective nature, which may not necessarily correlate with physical injury but can be affected by diverse biological, psychological, and social influences [14]. The concept of pain significantly influences clinical practice, promoting a comprehensive, personalized, and collaborative therapeutic strategy. Comprehending and using this concept helps enhance evaluation, therapy, and eventually, patient outcomes in cases of pain.

Diverse methods for managing chronic pain were created, each presenting distinct therapeutic methodologies. The biomedical paradigm emphasizes the physical as well as biological facets of pain, often prioritizing pharmaceutical and surgical solutions. The biopsychosocial paradigm emphasizes the intricate interplay of the social, psychological, and biological elements, promoting a comprehensive approach to pain treatment. This concept endorses interdisciplinary treatment methods that include healthcare, psychological, and social assistance [1,2]. The treatment modalities for chronic pain are varied and may include medication, physical therapy, psychosocial treatments, and alternative therapies, including massage and acupuncture [3,4]. Recent advancements underscore the significance of CBT (cognitive behavioral therapy) and other psychosocial therapies in achieving effective control of chronic pain [1,4]. This research aimed to objectively evaluate the data about the significance of various techniques in managing those suffering from chronic non-malignant pain, highlighting the efficacy of the biopsychosocial framework and multidisciplinary therapy initiatives.

Search Methodology

An evaluation of the fundamental and contemporary literature about the management of persistent nonmalignant pain was performed. The selection criteria for the most recent papers included chronic nonmalignant pain, therapeutic methodologies, original research, and review studies, published in English during the last 5 years (PubMed library research).

Chronic Pain Epidemiology

Data from Europe reveal that moderate- as well as high-intensity chronic pain, significantly affecting daily activities, social standing, and employment, is present in 19 percent of the mature European populace [15]. Individuals with chronic pain use almost double the healthcare resources compared to the general community [16]. In the United States, twenty percent of adults experience chronic pain, while 7.4% endure severe chronic pain, mostly affecting women, non-Hispanic whites, and those over 65 years of age [17].

Chronic lower back pain (LBP) illustrates the considerable health and social ramifications of persistent pain. It is a predominant global health concern and the most widespread musculoskeletal disorder globally [18-21]. In 2020, an estimated 619 million individuals worldwide had low back pain (LBP), with forecasts indicating an increase to 843 million by 2050. Non-specific low back pain, comprising almost 90% of patients, significantly impacts quality of life as well as work efficiency [22,23]. A worldwide survey conducted in 2017 revealed that the average incidence of low back pain (LBP) was 7.50%, and in 13 of 21 globe areas, it was the main determinant of years living with disabilities (YLD). Western Europe, specifically,

had the greatest years lived with disability (YLDs) attributable to low back pain (LBP), highlighting the condition's significant effect on job capability and general well-being [24]. Notably, 38.8% of Years Lived with Disability (YLDs) were associated with modifiable risk factors such as occupational risks, smoking, and elevated Body Mass Index (BMI), underscoring the opportunity for avoidance and the requirement for improved treatment techniques [22]. The appropriate prevention and treatment of LBP are essential for alleviating the global cost of chronic pain because to its extensive impact.

The magnitude of chronic pain treatment expenses is shown by the association of 12% of all prescription medications with managing persistent pain, resulting in over USD 100 billion in both direct and indirect expenditures [25]. Research by Pico and Clark indicates that pain-related expenses (including direct expenditures and lost income) in the US surpass the combined costs of treating illnesses such as diabetes, cancer, and heart disease [26]. Twenty percent of respondents felt that their family physician did not see their discomfort as an issue. Up to 40% of respondents said that physicians favored addressing their illness, namely their diagnosis, above their suffering [15]. Chronic pain is becoming recognized as a worldwide public health issue, with rising incidence despite extensive research, a growing array of pharmaceutical and non-pharmacological interventions, and the formation of pain control clinics [27].

Determinants Linked to the Emergence of Chronic Pain

A multitude of research demonstrates a correlation between chronic pain and many socioeconomic, demographic, and lifestyle variables. These variables may substantially affect the initiation and intensity of chronic pain. Studies indicate that women have an increased likelihood of chronic pain relative to males. Women typically report pain, have heightened susceptibility to pain stimulation, and often suffer illnesses like fibromyalgia more intensely. This disparity is partially attributable to hormonal, genetic, as well as psychological variables. For instance, women seem to endure pain more effectively when they concentrate on it and reframe the feeling, whereas males may react more favorably to diversion methods [28,29]. Advanced age is significantly correlated with the onset of chronic pain. With advancing age, people are more susceptible to degenerative disorders like osteoarthritis as well as spinal stenosis, which leads to persistent discomfort. The heightened risk arises from the inherent deterioration of the musculoskeletal structure over time [29].

Socioeconomic standing (SES) is a crucial factor influencing chronic pain. Individuals with lower socioeconomic status are more prone to chronic pain owing to variables like restricted access to healthcare, less educational attainment, and heightened exposure to physically strenuous or dangerous employment conditions. These variables not only elevate the probability of pain but also diminish the individual's capacity to manage it effectively, resulting in significant physical and psychological problems [30-32]. Obesity is a significant risk variable for chronic pain, especially in older persons. Research indicates that those with intermediate obesity are twice as likely to have chronic pain relative to individuals with normal weight, while those with extreme obesity are almost four times more likely to endure chronic pain. This correlation is especially apparent in situations like osteoarthritis when extra weight imposes more stress on the joints [30]. Cultural beliefs and regional considerations may profoundly affect the reporting and management of pain. Cultural ideas on pain, accessibility to treatment, and regional medical practices significantly influence chronic pain outcomes [31].

Employment situation: job insecurity or unemployment is associated with elevated levels of chronic pain, possibly owing to the stress and financial uncertainty inherent in these situations. Chronic pain may result in absenteeism and the need for social welfare assistance, hence worsening the individual's financial and social circumstances [32-34]. A history of emotional or physical abuse is associated with a heightened probability of getting chronic pain, since trauma may have lasting impacts on the nervous system as well as perception of pain [33]. Adverse interpersonal relationships: Insufficient social assistance and strained interpersonal connections may perpetuate and intensify chronic pain, underscoring the need to address these elements in pain treatment approaches [34-36].

Patient Perspectives, Morals, and Expectations

Culture, attitudes, beliefs, and religion significantly influence chronic pain. Overall, pain-related mindsets and opinions are significant indicators for identifying individuals at risk of developing chronic pain [37]. The findings of the 2021 Najem study indicate that spirituality, hope/optimism, and spiritual/religious beliefs may serve as significant sources of meaning, providing individuals with a sense of objectivity and that faith may facilitate psychological adjustment and encourage the adoption of adaptive coping strategies [38]. Patient expectations may be profoundly influenced by psychological discomfort, including poor mood, absence of a positive outlook, and extended infirmity. Consequently, the psychological effects of pain must be meticulously evaluated, as they may profoundly affect pain severity, patient anticipations, and physical capabilities [39]. Research indicates that psychosocial therapies may enhance psychological well-being and increase the patient's self-efficacy in managing pain [46].

Since the mid-1980s, several studies have promoted the regular evaluation of patients' views, opinions, and projections about pain and its management. Strong et al. as well as Slater et al. posited that an evaluation of attitudes has to be included prior to initiating therapy as a component of a comprehensive assessment [40,41]. The aforementioned information, including pain management capabilities, is essential for planning treatment and serves as a predictor of anticipated treatment results [42-46]. According to Fishbein et al., attitudes towards pain include both intellectual and affective elements, reflecting the emotional response to a specific item and the patient's comprehension of pain and its significance to them [47,48].

Spinhoven et al. identify two categories of beliefs. One pertains to attributions, while the other concerns expectancies. Attributions pertain to interpretations of significance and possible risk, while expectancies include considerations of anticipated outcomes, including beliefs about an individual's capacity to manage pain and the efficacy of such attempts [49]. The patient's perceptions of the etiology of pain and the anticipated efficacy of the therapy may also affect the choice to undergo the therapy and its probable results [50,51]. Beliefs are distinct from attitudes in that beliefs include knowledge of an item.

Foster et al. performed research examining the correlation between pain perception and clinical results. The study's findings indicated that respondents with beneficial medical results experienced less severe repercussions, experienced less emotional responses including fear and anger, exhibited fewer symptoms, and possessed a heightened sense of management of their issues [52]. The patient's coping mechanisms, ranging from passive to active, led to decreased pain perception and enhanced satisfaction with treatment outcomes [53]. Attitudes and beliefs around pain significantly influence people' perceptions and management of chronic pain. Comprehending these cognitive elements is essential for efficient pain treatment. A variety of approved instruments exist for evaluating these views and beliefs. The first instrument created was the Pain Identification and Belief Questionnaire (PIBQ), intended to collect data about patients' beliefs and comprehension of their pain [43,53]. Subsequently, the Pain Impaired Relationship Score (PAIRS) was developed to assess the extent to which individuals with chronic pain perceive that pain affects their performance [49]. These instruments assist clinicians in recognizing maladaptive attitudes and provide a foundation for cognitive–behavioral therapies designed to enhance pain management results.

A study by Symonds et al. indicates that the adverse perspectives and beliefs of individuals with chronic pain may influence the attainment of targeted treatment results [54]. The feeling of pain and the apprehension about the disease's length may serve as indicators for forecasting the patient's recovery and reintegration into the workforce [55-57]. May found that altering the patient's pain-related views and behaviors helped expedite healing and facilitate a return to regular activities [58]. Darlow et al. indicate that the predominant anxiety among patients is that chronic pain would impair their job performance and that physical exercise may exacerbate pain-related issues [59]. Hanney et al. and Linton et al. posited that negative thoughts in individuals with chronic pain may exacerbate pain, subsequently resulting in functional restrictions and persistent pain patterns [60,61]. The education of healthcare workers must equip them to comprehend and acknowledge the patient's knowledge, particularly their health-related beliefs and expectations [62].

Biopsychosocial Treatment Model and Social Support

The biopsychosocial model, introduced by Engel as a substitute for the biomedical method, provides an extensive framework for comprehending sickness and wellness via the integration of social, psychological, and biological factors [63,64]. This approach is especially pertinent to chronic pain since it considers the intricacies of individual pain experiences, shaped by sensory, cognitive-affective, and interpersonal aspects [65,66]. Pain as well as nociception are separate processes; sensory neuronal activity alone does not provide a comprehensive understanding of pain [14,67].

The biopsychosocial paradigm is deemed crucial for efficient pain management. It offers a structure for multidisciplinary biopsychosocial recovery, incorporating physical training and patient instruction (biological element), cognitive-behavioral therapy (psychological component), and discourse on workplace and social environment issues (social component) [68-72]. This interdisciplinary approach, using non-pharmacological methods, aims to enable patients with prolonged pain to control their clinical signs [73,74].

The biopsychosocial paradigm promotes a comprehensive perspective on pain, taking into account all facets of the patient's being, including physiological, social, and psychological dimensions [74]. Conventional biological therapeutic approaches often inadequately address chronic pain and may exacerbate impairment [76,77]. The biopsychosocial approach prioritizes cooperation among the patient and medical personnel, enhancing patient autonomy and mitigating the effects of chronic pain on everyday activities [78]. The biopsychosocial framework has shown its efficacy as a beneficial paradigm for managing chronic pain, particularly in enhancing patient outcomes via a complete and integrated strategy [79]. Notwithstanding the progress in this domain, there persists a need for enhanced focus on psychological as well as social elements within treatment strategies [80]. The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the significance of biopsychosocial therapies, such as telerehabilitation, in sustaining patient care under difficult conditions [81]. The ongoing evolution of research necessitates the combination of both classic and novel methodologies to effectively address the complex character of chronic pain [82].

Alongside conventional therapies such as medication, psychotherapy, as well as physical therapy, novel methods like virtual reality (VR) have recently emerged as effective instruments in chronic pain control. Virtual reality enables patients to participate in immersive settings that may alleviate pain perception and enhance related illnesses including depression and anxiety [83]. Despite encouraging first results, further study is required to confirm the efficacy of VR in therapeutic environments.

A Comprehensive Strategy for Managing Chronic Pain

The successful management of chronic pain necessitate an integrated medical and cognitive-behavioral strategy. This method combines many therapy methods, guaranteeing that patients have thorough care customized to their individual requirements. Successful results need a tailored, systematic strategy including medication, psychotherapy, integrative therapies, and interventional techniques [84].

Patients undergoing multidisciplinary care get advantages from prompt diagnosis and intervention, which are essential for controlling the underlying disorders linked to chronic pain. The participation of health experts from several specializations enables patients to select among pharmacological as well as non-pharmacological therapies, leading to more effective and personalized care [85]. Studies demonstrate that concurrent and early intervention targeting the psychological, physiological, and social dimensions might diminish pain perception, enhance psychosocial well-being, and lower societal costs [86]. The ramifications of an integrated strategy include not only analgesia but also enhanced muscular activity and strength, mitigation of pain-related behaviors, less dependence on specific medications, alleviation of depressive disorders and loneliness, and reintegration into the workforce [87]. Nonetheless, therapies primarily centered on pharmacology and interventional techniques lead to heightened use and misuse of opioids [88]. Disregarding the influence of behavioral as well as psychological aspects in patient treatment is an injustice to individuals and a squandered opportunity for improved financial resource management [5].

To facilitate access to multimodal pain management, it is crucial to use psychosocial treatments in conjunction with therapeutic, behavioral, supplementary, and integrative medicine [6]. Multidisciplinary pain clinics must be ready to address all forms of pain, with healthcare personnel holding comprehensive understanding of clinical techniques pertinent to chronic pain management and being well-versed in all applicable standards. This guarantees current, evidence-based, and secure care, with a coordinator overseeing medical services to uphold high standards [89]. Regular interaction among multidisciplinary staff members about individual patients and overall advancement is essential for maintaining continuity of care, preventing redundant medical testing, and promptly recognizing treatment failures [9].

Experts concur on the need for a comprehensive strategy for chronic pain treatment that encompasses multidisciplinary communication and cooperation. Research indicates that patients with comprehensive documentation of their issues, including chronic pain, get superior follow-up treatment [10]. Falkham et al. emphasized the beneficial impacts of interdisciplinary multimodal initiatives in primary care on diminishing pain intensity; enhancing mental and physical operation, physical activity, as well as health-related aspects of life; and decreasing both short-term and long-term sick leave [90]. Connell posited that multidisciplinary approaches using cooperation enhance pain treatment results relative to standard care [91].

The composition of multidisciplinary teams often comprises three physicians (such as primary care doctor, anesthesiologist, as well as psychiatrist) alongside other healthcare workers (such as psychiatrist, a physiotherapist, as well as nurse) [13]. Additional teammates could involve neurologists, orthopedic specialists, neurosurgeons, as well as physical therapists. Multidisciplinary teams may provide consulting services, including advice via case reviews and talks with primary care clinicians for psychosocially complex individuals experiencing pain and addiction [4].

Timely intervention for low back pain complaints at the basic level of healthcare, as opposed to hospital settings, might diminish disability and pain severity while accelerating the return to labor [11]. The thorough multidisciplinary management of chronic non-malignant inflammation, highlighting diverse tactics and specialized interventions conducted by a multidisciplinary team, is medically as well as financially superior to non-multidisciplinary therapy or standard healthcare [6-8]. The primary benefit of this method is the provision of early availability of pain relief, which reduces late diagnoses, facilitates successful treatment, and prevents expensive consequences. This may significantly enhance the patient's standard of life and the long-term sustainability of medical systems [19]. Excluding patients with complicated chronic pain from basic medical care, as proposed by Dassieu et al., might enhance the accessibility of multidisciplinary therapy for these individuals [92].

Summary

The management of chronic pain necessitates interdisciplinary collaboration and ongoing assessment of therapeutic efficacy, with primary healthcare playing a pivotal role as the first point of patient contact. The biopsychosocial paradigm of therapy is essential for addressing chronic pain, taking into account biological, psychological, and societal variables. Social support is a significant determinant of improved health as it diminishes one's perception of stress and detrimental occurrences. Assistance from friends, relatives, and healthcare professionals enhances patient activity, improves pain tolerance, and diminishes pain severity. The management of chronic non-malignant illness is affected by several biopsychosocial aspects outside medical considerations; thus, the therapeutic strategy must be tailored to these variations. To achieve a satisfactory result in treating those who have chronic non-malignant inflammation, healthcare providers must evaluate a comprehensive array of elements grounded in the biopsychosocial model.

The management of chronic pain necessitates a comprehensive strategy that encompasses the psychological, social, and biological dimensions of the condition. This study emphasizes the multifaceted nature of pain and the benefits of the biopsychosocial theory, which, in conjunction with a multidisciplinary strategy, offers a thorough framework for comprehending and addressing chronic pain. Timely identification and assistance, as well as patient education and the incorporation of psychosocial strategies, are essential for effective therapy.

References

- Meints, S.M.; Edwards, R.R. Evaluating psychosocial contributions to chronic pain outcomes. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 2018, 87, 168–182.
- Koesling, D.; Bozzaro, C. Chronic pain patients' need for recognition and their current struggle. Med. Health Care Philos. 2021, 24, 563–572.
- Wong, A.Y.; Karppinen, J.; Samartzis, D. Low back pain in older adults: Risk factors, management options and future directions. Scoliosis Spinal Disord. 2017, 12, 14.
- Chandler, G., III; Rojas, A.M.; Worts, P.R.; Flynn, H.A. Utilizing Multidisciplinary Medicine in Pain Management: A Narrative Review. Pain Physician 2021, 24, 369–378.
- Bond, M.; Breivik, H.; Jensen, T.S.; Scholten, W.; Soyannwo, O.; Treede, R.D. Pain associated with neurological disorders. In Neurological Disorders: Public Health Challenges; Aarli, J.A., Dua, T., Janca, A., Muscetta, A., Eds.; WHO Press: Geneva, Switzerland, 2006; pp. 127–139.
- Goldberg, D.S.; Summer, J.M. Pain as a global public health priority. BMC Public Health 2011, 11, 770.
- Adler, R.H. The term "chronic" with respect to pain should be dropped. Clin. J. Pain 2000, 16, 365.
- Vellucci, R. Heterogeneity of chronic pain. Clin. Drug Investig. 2012, 32, 3-10.
- Wisconsin Medical Society Task Force on Pain Management. Guidelines for the assessment and management of chronic pain. WMJ 2004, 103, 13–42.
- Melzack, R.; Wall, P.D. Textbook of Pain, 4th ed.; Churchill Livingstone: Edinburgh, UK, 1999; pp. 1-1152.
- Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Health Care Guideline: Assessment and Management of Chronic Pain, Fourth Edition, November 2009.
- Treede, R.-D.; Rief, W.; Barke, A.; Aziz, Q.; Bennett, M.I.; Benoliel, R.; Cohen, M.; Evers, S.; Finnerup, N.B.; First, M.B.; et al. A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. Pain 2015, 156, 1003–1007.
- Barke, A.; Korwisi, B.; Jakob, R.; Konstanjsek, N.; Rief, W.; Treede, R.-D. Classification of chronic pain for the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11): Results of the 2017 international World Health Organization field testing. Pain 2022, 163, e310–e318.
- Raja, S.N.; Carr, D.B.; Cohen, M.; Finnerup, N.B.; Flor, H.; Gibson, S.; Keefe, F.J.; Mogil, J.S.; Ringkamp, M.; Sluka, K.A.; et al. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: Concepts, challenges, and compromises. Pain 2020, 161, 1976–1982.
- Breivik, H.; Collett, B.; Ventafridda, V.; Cohen, R.; Gallacher, D. Survey of chronic pain in Europe: Prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. Eur. J. Pain 2006, 10, 287–333.
- Henschke, N.; Kamper, S.J.; Maher, C.G. The epidemiology and economic consequences of pain. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2015, 90, 139–147.
- Zelaya, C.E.; Dahlhamer, J.M.; Lucas, J.W.; Connor, E.M. Chronic Pain and High-Impact Chronic Pain among U.S. Adults; NCHS Data Brief 2020, no 390; National Center for Health Statistics: Hyattsville, MD, USA, 2019.
- Buchbinder, R.; van Tulder, M.; Öberg, B.; Costa, L.M.; Woolf, A.; Schoene, M.; Croft, P.; Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group. Low back pain: A call for action. Lancet 2018, 391, 2384–2388.
- Hoy, D.; March, L.; Brooks, P.; Blyth, F.; Woolf, A.; Bain, C.; Williams, G.; Smith, E.; Vos, T.; Barendregt, J.; et al. The global burden of low back pain: Estimates from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2014, 73, 968–974.
- Erdem, M.N.; Erken, H.Y.; Aydogan, M. The effectiveness of non-surgical treatments, re-discectomy and minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion in postdiscectomy pain syndrome. J. Spine Surg. 2018, 4, 414–422.
- Maher, C.; Underwood, M.; Buchbinder, R. Nonspecific low back pain. Lancet 2017, 389, 736-747.
- GBD 2021 Low Back Pain Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of low back pain, 1990–2020, its attributable risk factors, and projections to 2050: A systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet Rheumatol. 2023, 5, e316–e329.
- Hagen, M.; Madhavan, T.; Bell, J. Combined analysis of 3 cross-sectional surveys of pain in 14 countries in Europe, the Americas, Australia, and Asia: Impact on physical and emotional aspects and quality of life. Scand. J. Pain 2020, 20, 575–589.
- Wu, A.; March, L.; Zheng, X.; Huang, J.; Wang, X.; Zhao, J.; Blyth, F.M.; Smith, E.; Buchbinder, R.; Hoy, D. Global low back pain prevalence and years lived with disability from 1990 to 2017: Estimates from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Ann. Transl. Med. 2020, 8, 299.
- Alford, D.P.; Krebs, E.E.; Chen, I.A.; Nicolaidis, C.; Bair, M.J.; Liebschutz, J. Update in pain medicine. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 2010, 25, 1222–1226.

Pizzo, P.A.; Clark, N.M. Alleviating suffering 101-Pain relief in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. 2012, 366, 197-199.

Babić-Naglić, Đ. Treatment of Musculoskeletal Pain. Medicus 2014, 23, 111-116.

- Wijnhoven, H.A.; de Vet, H.C.; Picavet, H.S. Explaining sex differences in chronic musculoskeletal pain in a general population. Pain 2006, 124, 158–166.
- Racine, M.; Tousignant-Laflamme, Y.; Kloda, L.A.; Dion, D.; Dupuis, G.; Choinière, M. A systematic literature review of 10 years of research on sex/gender and pain perception—Part 2: Do biopsychosocial factors alter pain sensitivity differently in women and men? Pain 2012, 153, 619–663.
- Stenholm, S.; Rantanen, T.; Heliövaara, M.; Koskinen, S. The mediating role of C-reactive protein and handgrip strength between obesity and walking limitation. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2008, 56, 462–469.

- Shaw, W.S.; Campbell, P.; Nelson, C.C.; Main, C.J.; Linton, S.J. Effects of workplace, family and cultural influences on low back pain: What opportunities exist to address social factors in general consultations? Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol. 2013, 27, 637–648.
- Hestback, L.; Korsholm, L.; Leboeuf-yde, C.; Kyvik, K.O. Does socioeconomic status in adolescents predict low back pain in adulthood? A repeated cross-sectional study of 4,771 Danish adolescents. Eur. Spine J. 2008, 17, 1727–1734.
- Meucci, R.D.; Fassa, A.G.; Paniz, V.M.; Silva, M.C.; Wegman, D.H. Increase of chronic low back pain prevalence in a mediumsized city of southern Brazil. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. 2013, 14, 155.
- Costa, M.d.S.S.; Gomez, R.S.; Lages, G.R.C.; Américo, A.d.F.Q.; de Abreu, J.M.G.; Faria, F.R.; Azevedo, L.M. Evaluation of functionality and socioeconomic status of patients with chronic pain. Rev. Assoc. Med. Bras. 2023, 69, 308–313.
- Smith, B.H.; Macfarlane, G.J.; Torrance, N. Epidemiology of chronic pain, from the laboratory to the bus stop: Time to add understanding of biological mechanisms to the study of risk factors in population-based research? Pain 2007, 127, 5–10.
- Hartvigsen, J.; Hancock, M.J.; Kongsted, A.; Louw, Q.; Ferreira, M.L.; Genevay, S.; Hoy, D.; Karppinen, J.; Pransky, G.; Sieper, J.; et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. Lancet 2018, 391, 2356–2367.
- Rainville, J.; Smeets, R.J.; Bendix, T.; Tveito, T.H.; Poiraudeau, S.; Indahl, A.J. Fear-avoidance beliefs and pain avoidance in low back pain—Translating research into clinical practice. Spine J. 2011, 11, 895–903.
- Najem, C.; Mukhtar, N.B.; Ayoubi, F.; van Oosterwijck, J.; Cagnie, B.; De Meulemeester, K.; Meeus, M. Religious Beliefs and Attitudes in Relation to Pain, Pain-Related Beliefs, Function, and Coping in Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: A Systematic Review. Pain Physician 2021, 24, E1163–E1176.
- Leech, J.B.; MacPherson, K.L.; Klopper, M.; Shumway, J.; Salvatori, R.T.; Rhon, D.I.; Young, J.L. The relationships between pain-associated psychological distress, pain intensity, patient expectations, and physical function in individuals with musculoskeletal pain: A retrospective cohort study. PM R 2023, 15, 1371–1381.
- Montag, L.T.; Salomons, T.V.; Wilson, R.; Duggan, S.; Bisson, E.J. Examining the roles of depression, pain catastrophizing, and self-efficacy in quality of life changes following chronic pain treatment. Can. J. Pain 2023, 7, 2156330.
- Strong, J.; Ashton, R.; Cramond, T.; Chant, D. Pain intensity, attitudes and function in back pain patients. Aust. Occup. Ther. J. 1990, 37, 179–183.
- Slater, M.A.; Hall, H.F.; Atkinson, H.J.; Garfin, S.R. Pain and impairment beliefs in chronic low back pain: Validation of the Pain and Impairment Relationship Scale (PAIRS). Pain 1991, 44, 151–156.
- Schwartz, D.P.; DeGood, D.E.; Shutty, M.S. Direct assessment of beliefs and attitudes of chronic pain patients. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 1985, 66, 806–809.
- Jensen, M.P.; Karoly, P.; Huger, R. The development and preliminary validation of an instrument to assess patients' attitudes towards pain. J. Psychosom. Res. 1987, 31, 393–400.
- Riley, J.F.; Ahern, D.K.; Follick, M.J. Chronic pain and functional impairment: Assessing beliefs about their relationship. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 1988, 69, S79–S82.
- Williams, D.A.; Thorn, B.E. An empirical assessment of pain beliefs. Pain 1989, 36, 351-358.
- Shutty, M.S.; DeGood, D.E.; Tuttle, D.H. Chronic pain patients beliefs about their pain and treatment outcomes. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 1990, 71, 128–132.
- Fishbein, M.; Ajzen, I. Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behaviour: An Introduction to Theory and Research; Addison-Wesley: Reading, MA, USA, 1975.
- Spinhoven, P.; Ter Kuile, M.; Kole-Snijders, A.M.; Hutten Mansfield, M.; Den Ouden, D.J.; Vlaeyen, J.W. Catastrophising and internal pain control as mediators of outcome in the multidisciplinary treatment of chronic low back pain. Eur. J. Pain 2004, 8, 211–219.
- Walsh, D.A.; Radcliffe, J.C. Pain beliefs and perceived physical disability of patients with chronic low back pain. Pain 2002, 97, 23–31.
- Seers, K.; Friedli, K. The patients' experiences of their chronic non-malignant pain. J. Adv. Nurs. 1996, 24, 1160-1168.
- Foster, N.E.; Bishop, A.; Thomas, E.; Main, C.; Horne, R.; Weinman, J.; Hay, E. Illness perceptions of low back pain patients in primary care: What are they, do they change and are they associated with outcome? Pain 2008, 136, 177–187.
- Vanhaudenhuyse, A.; Gillet, A.; Malaise, N.; Salamun, I.; Grosdent, S.; Maquet, D.; Nyssen, A.-S.; Faymonville, M.-E. Psychological interventions influence patients' attitudes and beliefs about their chronic pain. J. Tradit. Complement. Med. 2017, 8, 296–302.
- Symonds, T.L.; Burton, A.K.; Tillotson, K.M.; Main, C.J. Do attitudes and beliefs influence work loss due to low back trouble? Occup. Med. 1996, 46, 25–32.
- A Iles, R.; Davidson, M.; Taylor, N.F. Psychosocial predictors of failure to return to work in non-chronic non-specific low back pain: A systematic review. Occup. Environ. Med. 2008, 65, 507–517.
- Iles, R.A.; Davidson, M.; Taylor, N.F.; O'halloran, P. Systematic review of the ability of recovery expectations to predict outcomes in non-chronic non-specific low back pain. J. Occup. Rehabil. 2009, 19, 25–40.
- Van Tol-Geerdink, J.J.; Stalmeier, P.F.; Van Lin, E.N.; Schimmel, E.C.; Huizenga, H.; Van Daal, W.A.; Leer, J.W. Do patients with localized prostate cancer treatment really want more aggressive treatment? J. Clin. Oncol. 2006, 24, 4581– 4586.
- May, S. Patients' attitudes and beliefs about back pain and its management after physiotherapy for low back pain. Physiother. Res. Int. 2007, 12, 123–135.
- Darlow, B.; Perry, M.; Stanley, J.; Mathieson, F.; Melloh, M.; Baxter, G.D.; Dowell, A. Cross-sectional survey of attitudes and beliefs about back pain in New Zealand. BMJ Open 2014, 4, e004725.
- Hanney, W.J.; Kolber, M.J.; Beekhuizen, K.S. Implications for physical activity in the population with low back pain. Am. J. Lifestyle Med. 2008, 3, 63–70.
- Linton, S.J.; Vlaeyen, J.; Ostelo, R. The back pain beliefs of health care providers: Are we fear-avoidant? J. Occup. Rehabil. 2002, 12, 223–232.

- Healey, E.L.; Lewis, M.; Corp, N.; Shivji, N.A.; van der Windt, D.A.; Babatunde, O.O.; Simkins, J.; Bartlam, B.; Rowlands, G.; Protheroe, J. Supported self-management for all with musculoskeletal pain: An inclusive approach to intervention development: The EASIER study. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. 2023, 24, 474.
- Engel, G.L. The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine. Science 1977, 196, 129–136.
- Main, C.J.; Williams, A.C.d.C. ABC of psychological medicine: Musculoskeletal pain. BMJ 2002, 325, 534-537.
- Kennedy, N.; Healy, J.; O'Sullivan, K. The beliefs of third-level healthcare students towards low-back pain. Pain Res. Treat. 2014, 2014, 675915.
- Scott, K.M.; Bruffaerts, R.; Tsang, A.; Ormel, J.; Alonso, J.; Angermeyer, M.; Benjet, C.; Bromet, E.; de Girolamo, G.; de Graaf, R.; et al. Depression–anxiety relationships with chronic physical conditions: Results from the World Mental Health Surveys. J. Affect. Disord. 2007, 103, 113–120.
- Gatzounis, R.; Schrooten, M.G.; Crombez, G.; Vlaeyen, J.W. Operant learning theory in pain and chronic pain rehabilitation. Curr. Pain Headache Rep. 2012, 16, 117–126.
- Gatchel, R.J. Clinical Essentials of Pain Management; American Psychological Association: Washington, DC, USA, 2005.
- Owen, P.J.; Miller, C.T.; Mundell, N.L.; Verswijveren, S.J.J.M.; Tagliaferri, S.D.; Brisby, H.; Bowe, S.J.; Belavy, D.L. Which specific modes of exercise training are most effective for treating low back pain? Network meta-analysis. Br. J. Sports Med. 2020, 54, 1279–1287.
- Zahari, Z.; Ishak, A.; Justine, M. The effectiveness of patient education in improving pain, disability and quality of life among older people with low back pain: A systematic review. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil. 2020, 33, 245–254.
- Golden, B.A. A multidisciplinary approach to nonpharmacologic pain management. J. Am. Osteopath. Assoc. 2002, 102, S1–S9.
- Tan, G.; Alvarez, J.A.; Jensen, M.P. Complementary and alternative medicine approaches to pain management. J. Clin. Psychol. 2006, 62, 1419–1431.
- Remy, H.; Bicego, A.; Faymonville, M.E.; Bonhomme, V.; Vanhaudenhuyse, A. Intérêt d'une approche biopsychosociale dans la prise en charge d'un patient souffrant de douleurs chroniques: Une revue narrative. Rev. Med. Liege 2022, 77, 715–721.
- Kaiser, U.; Treede, R.-D.; Sabatowski, R. Multimodal pain therapy in chronic noncancer pain—Gold standard or need for further clarification? Pain 2017, 158, 1853–1859.
- Blackburn, A. Living with Pain or Living in Pain: Narrative Journeys with Low Back Pain. Ph.D. Thesis, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, 2011.
- Penney, L.S.; Haro, E. Qualitative evaluation of an interdisciplinary chronic pain intervention: Outcomes and barriers and facilitators to ongoing pain management. J. Pain Res. 2019, 12, 865–878.
- Pincus, T.; Kent, P.; Bronfort, G.; Loisel, P.; Pransky, G.M.; Hartvigsen, J. Twenty-five years with the biopsychosocial model of low back pain—Is it time to celebrate? A report from the twelfth international forum for primary care research on low back pain. Spine 2013, 38, 2118–2123.
- Nicholas, M.K.; Linton, S.J.; Watson, P.J.; Main, C.J. Early identification and management of psychological risk factors ("yellow flags") in patients with low back pain: A reappraisal. Phys. Ther. 2011, 91, 737-753.
- O'Sullivan, P. It's time for change with the management of non-specific chronic low back pain. Br. J. Sports Med. 2012, 46, 224–227.
- Eklund, K.; Stålnacke, B.-M.; Sundberg, A.; Eklund, F.; Eklund, M. Introduction of a multimodal pain rehabilitation intervention in primary care: A pilot study. J. Rehabil. Med. Clin. Commun. 2023, 6, 3712.
- Proetzel, S.; Weigl, M.B. Is multidisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain effective in patients above 65 years? An observational cohort study with 12-month follow-up. Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med. 2021, 57, 783-792.
- Elbers, S.; Wittink, H.; Konings, S.; Kaiser, U.; Kleijnen, J.; Pool, J.; Köke, A.; Smeets, R. Longitudinal outcome evaluations of Interdisciplinary Multimodal Pain Treatment programmes for patients with chronic primary musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur. J. Pain 2022, 26, 310–335.
- Engel, G.L. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. Am. J. Psychiatry 1980, 137, 535-544.
- Schwartz, G.E. Testing the biopsychosocial model: The ultimate challenge facing behavioral medicine? J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 1982, 50, 1040–1053.
- Leach, M.J.; Climstein, M.; Fryer, G.; Kumar, S.; Agnew, T. Mapping guideline-informed care for chronic non-specific low back pain with the biopsychosocial approach: A rapid review. Pain Pract. 2023, 23, 543–552.
- Pincus, T.; Burton, A.K.; Vogel, S.; Field, A.P. A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. Spine 2002, 27, E109–E120.
- Lang-Illievich, K.; Rumpold-Seitlinger, G.; Szilagyi, I.S.; Dorn, C.; Sailer, M.; Schittek, G.A.; Klivinyi, C.; Bornemann-Cimenti, H. Biological, psychological, and social factors associated with worsening of chronic pain during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey. Br. J. Anaesth. 2021, 127, e37–e39.
- Fernandez, J.; Lunkes, L.C.; Meziat-Filho, N. Biopsychosocial approaches to telerehabilitation for chronic primary musculoskeletal pain: A real possibility for physical therapists, that is here to stay. Braz. J. Phys. Ther. 2022, 26, 100350.
- Nanda, U.; Luo, J.; Wonders, Q.; Pangarkar, S. Telerehabilitation for Pain Management. Phys. Med. Rehabil. Clin. N. Am. 2021, 32, 355–372.
- Falkhamn, L.M.; Stenberg, G.; Enthoven, P.; Stålnacke, B.-M. Interdisciplinary Multimodal Pain Rehabilitation in Patients with Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain in Primary Care—A Cohort Study from the Swedish Quality Registry for Pain Rehabilitation (SQRP). Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2023, 20, 5051.
- Connell, N.B.; Prathivadi, P.; Lorenz, K.A.; Zupanc, S.N.; Singer, S.J.; Krebs, E.E.; Yano, E.M.; Wong, H.-N.; Giannitrapani, K.F. Teaming in Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Management Interventions in Primary Care: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 2022, 37, 1501–1512.

Dassieu, L.; Choinière, M.; Saint-Jean, L.; Webster, F.; Peng, P.; Buckley, N.; Gilron, I.; Williamson, O.; Finley, G.A.; Baerg, K.; et al. Frequency and characteristics of patient exclusion criteria in Canadian multidisciplinary pain treatment facilities: A cross-sectional study. Can. J. Anaesth. 2022, 69, 849–858.

الملخص

الخلفية:

يُعَد الألم المزمن حالة معقدة ومتعددة الأبعاد تتأثر بالعوامل النفسية والاجتماعية والبيولوجية. و هو يشكل تحديات كبيرة للأفراد، وغالبًا ما يؤدي إلى الوصمة الاجتماعية وسوء الإدارة العلاجية. يتطلب التعامل مع الألم المزمن فهمًا شاملاً للعوامل المؤثرة عليه وتطبيق نهج متعدد التخصصات لتحسين نتائج المرضى.

المنهجية:

شمل هذا الاستعراض تقييمًا غير منهجي للأدبيات الأساسية والمعاصرة المتعلقة بإدارة الألم المزمن غير السرطاني. تم إجراء بحث في عدة قواعد بيانات، بما في ذلك PubMed وScopusوMEDLINE، مع التركيز على الدراسات المنشورة باللغة الإنجليزية بين عامي 2018 و2023. تم استخدام كلمات مفتاحية تتعلق بالألم المزمن، والأساليب العلاجية، والرعاية متعددة التخصصات.

النتائج:

تشير النتائج إلى أن **نموذج الطب الحيوي-النفسي-الاجتماعي** يعزز بشكل كبير من إدارة الألم المزمن من خلال دمج التدخلات الطبية والنفسية والاجتماعية. وتؤكد الأدلة أن العلاج السلوكي المعرفي (CBT) والعلاجات النفسية والاجتماعية فعالة في التخفيف من الألم المزمن وتحسين جودة حياة المرضى. علاوة على ذلك، يؤدي التعاون بين مقدمي الرعاية الصحية ضمن فرق متعددة التخصصات إلى تحسين نتائج العلاج وتقليل التكاليف الصحية.

الاستنتاج:

يتطلب التعامل الفعّال مع الألم المزمن اتباع نهج متعدد التخصصات يأخذ في الاعتبار التأثيرات المتنوعة على إدراك الألم وتجربة المريض. ينبغي على الأبحاث المستقبلية التركيز على تطوير هذه الاستراتيجيات التكاملية وتوضيح أدوار مختلف المتخصصين في إدارة الألم المزمن. من خلال تبني منظور شامل، يمكن لأنظمة الرعاية الصحية تحسين جودة الرعاية المقدمة للأفراد الذين يعانون من الألم المزمن.

الكلمات المفتاحية :الألم المزمن، المناهج متعددة التخصصات، النموذج الحيوي-النفسي-الاجتماعي، العلاج السلوكي المعرفي، إدارة الألم.