Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

From Traditional to Modern: Cultural Integration and Innovation in Sustainable Architectural Design Education

Wang Yunxuan[1], Yuan Ruika[2], Nik Lukman Bin Nik Ibrahim[3]

Abstract

This study explores the integration of traditional architectural culture into sustainable architectural design education to address the dual challenges of global climate change and resource scarcity. The study highlights the ecological wisdom embedded in traditional architecture, such as the thermal performance of Chinese cave dwellings and the material sustainability of Japanese wooden structures. By analyzing these cultural elements, this study identifies strategies to integrate them into modern architectural education through case studies, design workshops, and hands-on learning experiences. The findings highlight the importance of combining cultural depth with modern technology to cultivate innovative, ecologically conscious designers. A multidimensional assessment framework is proposed to evaluate students' cultural understanding, technological application, and ecological responsibility in design projects. This study concludes that the integration of cultural heritage with advanced design practices can not only enhance the sustainability of buildings, but also promote a deeper connection between modern design and local cultural characteristics. Future recommendations include the adoption of advanced tools, policy support for cultural preservation, and interdisciplinary collaboration to improve sustainable design education.

Keywords: *Cultural Sustainability Traditional Architectural Heritage, Cultural-Ecological Integration, Place-Based Design, Intercultural Design Education.*

Introduction

Background

The responsibility falling upon the architectural industry is increasingly higher due to climate change and natural resource scarcity (Abergel et al., 2019). Under this circumstance, sustainable architectural design education becomes vital to tackling the global crisis. This education system cultivates students' technical skills and raises their cultural sensitivity and ecological consciousness, achieving a harmonious relationship between nature and humans (Berardi, 2913). In modern architectural design education, green design is the principal trend which sheds light on increasing energy efficiency, generating new materials, and optimising performance. However, such technical-oriented design often fails to consider the local culture and social values (Wang et al., 2024). This education pattern may constrain students' creativity in integrating regional concerns and cultural in-depth, rendering the actual problem in eco-environment and the goal of sustainability hard to be addressed and reached.

Local culture behind the architecture is a rich resource that combines both ecology and society, shaped through long-term adaptation and utilization of the natural environment. Further, it provides a valuable reference to modern architectural design in material selection, spatial layout, and climate regulation (Olgyay, 2015). For example, traditional Chinese cave dwellings (窑洞) make effective use of the terrain and climatic conditions of the Loess Plateau, demonstrating eco-friendly wisdom with their warmth in winter and coolness in summer (Li & Li, 2019). Similarly, Japanese wooden structures show an understanding of the natural environment through their seismic-resistant designs and use of natural materials (Okubo, 2016). Integrating these traditional architectural cultures into modern architectural education not only enriches

[1] Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor 43600, Malaysia, Email: p124253@siswa.ukm.edu.my
[2] Department of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor 43600, Malaysia, Email: p111386@siswa.ukm.edu.my, (Corresponding Author).
[3] Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor 43600, Malaysia, Email: lukman@ukm.edu.my

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

students' design and innovative capacities but also imbues modern architectural works with deeper social significance.

A sustainable architectural design education system that combines local culture and modern technology is an important issue (Moneta, 2023). This pattern leverages both cultural and technological approaches to cultivate future architects with a global perspective and local practical skills, offering effective design solutions to address global climate change and resource crises (Brogden, 2019). Therefore, this study aims to map out the integration of traditional culture and modern architecture education and emphasize innovative strategies for future education development. This study focuses on the following two core questions:

How to effectively integrate local culture into sustainable architectural design education?

How can the introduction of cultural elements enhance students' ecological awareness and design innovation capabilities?

Literature Review

Core Principles of Sustainable Building Design

Sustainable architectural design emphasizes resource conservation, energy efficiency and ecological environmental protection throughout the life cycle of a building, while paying attention to the synergistic relationship between humans and nature. In recent years, concepts such as zero-energy buildings and passive design have been gradually introduced to architectural education, thereby solving the problems of energy efficiency, resource conservation and ecological balance in the building environment.

Energy efficiency is a fundamental principle of sustainable design that aims to minimize energy consumption of a building's life cycle. Recent studies have highlighted the importance of passive design strategies, including optimal building orientation, natural ventilation, and insulation, to reduce energy demand (Zhang et al., 2021). In addition, the integration of renewable energy technologies, such as photovoltaic systems and geothermal energy, is gaining increasing attention in sustainable projects (Smith & Taylor, 2021). These advances highlight the dual focus of reducing operational energy consumption and transitioning to clean energy.

Efficient water use and management are critical to reducing environmental stress, especially in water-scarce regions. Johnson and Lee (Johnson & Lee, 2020) highlighted the role of rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling, and efficient fixtures in reducing freshwater consumption in buildings. Urban water-sensitive design (WSD), which integrates landscape features such as biofiltration strips and permeable pavement, is gaining increasing attention as a strategy for managing stormwater and improving urban ecosystems (Chen et al., 2019).

The choice of materials can significantly impact a building's environmental footprint. The literature highlights the shift towards bio-based and recycled materials, which not only reduces energy consumption but also supports circular economy practices (Brown & Thompson, 2019). Liu and Yang (2021) pointed out that advances in biocomposites and low-emission materials have increased the feasibility of adopting sustainable materials. Additionally, sourcing materials locally reduces transportation emissions, further aligning with sustainability goals.

Improving indoor environmental quality (IEQ) is critical to the health and well-being of occupants. Key aspects include natural light, ventilation, and acoustic comfort. Studies have shown that buildings with improved indoor environmental quality contribute to higher levels of productivity and psychological well-being, further highlighting the importance of incorporating occupant-centric design principles (Wang & Lee, 2020). The use of low-VOC finishes and air purification systems can further improve indoor air quality, ensuring a healthier living environment.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Sustainable building design emphasizes harmony with the natural environment and minimizes damage to local ecosystems. Strategies such as green roofs, native landscaping, and urban forestry are often cited as effective in promoting biodiversity and mitigating the urban heat island effect (Garcia & Nguyen, 2021). In addition, preserving existing site features and incorporating them into the design can enhance ecological balance while providing aesthetic and functional benefits (Jones & Roberts, 2020).

Life cycle assessment (LCA) has become an important tool for evaluating the sustainability of buildings. By analyzing the environmental impact of materials and processes at all stages, from construction to demolition, LCA enables architects to identify areas for improvement (Kim & Lee, 2021). Recent research advocates integrating LCA into early design stages to ensure more informed decision-making and resource optimization.

In summary, sustainable building design principles now have an integrated approach to addressing environmental challenges while ensuring the comfort and well-being of occupants. By combining energy efficiency, water conservation, material sustainability, and ecological protection with modern technological advances, sustainable design provides a powerful framework for future building practice. At the same time, continued research and education are essential to realize its full potential, especially in the context of global environmental and cultural diversity.

The Relationship Between Culture and Architectural Design

Culture is an important component of architectural design, influencing not only the built form, spatial organization and material selection, but also shaping the way humans interact with their environment. Architecture is often considered a reflection of culture, embodying the values, beliefs and traditions of the society that created it. The relationship between culture and architectural design is multifaceted, involving the interaction between historical, social and environmental contexts.

Cultural context has a significant impact on architectural form, function, and symbolism. According to Rapoport (Rapoport, 2019), culture is a framework for understanding the organization of social space. For example, the traditional Chinese courtyard house reflects Confucian ideals of hierarchy and family cohesion, while the openness of Japanese tatami rooms emphasizes flexibility and harmony with nature. These architectural features are derived from cultural values and social structures, highlighting the importance of embedding cultural awareness in the design process.

Architecture is often a tangible expression of cultural identity and heritage. For example, vernacular architecture—structures designed according to local needs, materials, and traditions—provides insights into the ecological and cultural wisdom of past generations (Oliver, 2020). The use of local materials, indigenous building methods, and climate-responsive design elements can not only meet functional needs but also retain cultural authenticity. Examples such as adobe houses in the Middle East and stone dwellings in Scotland demonstrate how buildings can serve as cultural repositories, reflecting centuries of adaptation to local environments.

Globalization has created both challenges and opportunities for the relationship between culture and architectural design. On the one hand, the spread of standardized building practices and international styles threatens to local architectural traditions. On the other hand, the growing emphasis on sustainable design and cultural preservation has encouraged architects to re-examine and reinterpret local knowledge and regional aesthetics (Tzonis & Lefaivre, 2021). This duality highlights the need for a balance between modern innovation and cultural continuity.

Contemporary architectural design increasingly incorporates cultural elements to create spaces that resonate with local identities while addressing contemporary challenges. For example, Wang Shu's Pritzker Prize-winning project blends traditional Chinese building techniques with modern aesthetics, illustrating how cultural adaptation can enhance the relevance and significance of architecture (Frampton, 2019). Similarly, the use of patterns, textures, and spatial layouts derived from cultural heritage has become a hallmark of culturally sensitive design.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Incorporating cultural elements into architectural design contributes to cultural sustainability and fosters a sense of belonging and identity in a community. Cultural sustainability emphasizes the need to preserve and celebrate cultural diversity in the face of homogenizing forces such as urbanization and globalization (Duxbury et al., 2021). By incorporating cultural values into design, architects can create spaces that foster social cohesion, historical continuity, and environmental harmony.

Based on the above literature, we can conclude that the relationship between culture and architectural design is dynamic in nature, influenced by society's changing needs, values and context. While globalization poses challenges to preserving cultural uniqueness, it also provides opportunities to creatively reinterpret traditional wisdom. By acknowledging cultural elements and incorporating them into design, architects can create built environments that are not only practical and sustainable, but also deeply rooted in the identity and history of the communities they serve.

Although sustainable building design and cultural integration have made some progress in previous studies, there is still a clear gap: the integration of local cultural knowledge in contemporary sustainable design education is limited and lacks innovation. The current research mainly focuses on technical innovation, thus ignoring the ecological and socio-cultural status inherent in traditional architectural practice and cannot be well integrated with local culture. It is most necessary to systematically explore how to integrate local cultural elements into sustainable design to enhance the relevance of different regions.

Research Methodology

Desk Research of Traditional Architecture and Local Culture

Chinese Cave Dwelling

Traditional Chinese cave dwellings (Figure 1 & 2) not only embody passive design strategies, but also reflect the integration of traditional Chinese cultural values and sustainable building practices. These buildings are mainly distributed in the Loess Plateau region in northern China, and they deeply demonstrate how ecological awareness and cultural identity in their traditional expressions can coexist harmoniously in architectural form (Zhu et al., 2020). By integrating early traditional wisdom into the natural landscape, the Cave Dwellings offer insights into creating designs that are both practical and culturally relevant. Table 1 shows the sustainable characteristics of cave dwellings and their cultural manifestations.

Figure 1-2 Cave Dwelling

Table 3.1 Sustainable Characteristics of Cave Dwellings and Their Cultural Manifestations

Features	Manifestation of culture and sustainable design
Passive heating and cooling	The cave dwelling design uses the earth's thermal stability to regulate interior temperatures, reflecting the cultural emphasis on living in harmony with nature (harmony between man and nature). This principle is rooted in

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

	traditional Chinese design and stems from the personal experience of man and nature, emphasizing the importance of integrating human living spaces with the natural environment (Alkaff et al., 2016). Minimal reliance on external energy sources reflects traditional frugality and respect for resources.
Adaptable design	The semi-underground structure of these houses is in line with the ideal of modesty and simplicity in the Northwest. By embedding the structure underground, the design minimizes the disruption and visual impact of the surface environment, thus maintaining a sense of balance and modesty in the landscape above ground. This practice reflects the cultural value and adaptation effect of ancient designers to seamlessly integrate human living areas into nature.
Cohesion between residents and society	Traditional cave settlements often include shared courtyards and communal spaces, a design that fosters strong social connections and mutually supportive living among residents. These layouts reflect the local culture's emphasis on family and community cohesion, creating spaces that promote social and ecological sustainability.
Relevance to contemporary design and cultural integration	The cultural principles embodied in the "cave dwellings" provide a template for contemporary architecture to address the housing issues of local residents, thereby developing a cultural concept of sustainable housing while respecting local traditions in design.
Thermal mass and passive design	Using earth as an insulating material in buildings can inspire modern sustainable practices, especially in areas with similar climate conditions. By combining advanced techniques such as energy modeling and thermal performance analysis, these traditional methods can be optimized and continued for contemporary applications.
Cultural continuity in contemporary design	Modern design can adopt the humble and ecological context of the cave dwelling, prioritizing site-specific adaptability and low-impact construction techniques. This approach is consistent with the global "critical regionalism" movement, which emphasizes the integration of modern architecture with local cultural and environmental contexts (Lefaivre & Tzonis, 2007).
Community-centered planning	The shared spaces in traditional cave dwellings highlight the potential for designing common areas in the design process that can foster social interaction while enhancing resource sharing.[36] This principle can be incorporated into modern eco-villages and co-housing projects to promote sustainability and social planning.
Cultural integration and impact	Incorporating traditional cultural elements into sustainable design ensures that modern architecture is not just a technical solution, but can also resonate with the cultural identity and traditions of its users. The traditional "cave dwelling" embodies how the ancient people's ecological wisdom, cultural values and sustainable practices can be integrated to create meaningful and lasting living spaces.

Traditional Chinese cave dwellings demonstrate the profound connection between culture, environment and sustainability. Their adaptable and resource-conscious design not only provides practical solutions for energy efficiency and climate control, but also embodies the cultural product of Chinese values of harmony, simplicity and community. Combining these principles with modern technology provides an opportunity to create sustainable building solutions that both respect cultural wisdom and meet modern needs.

Traditional Japanese Architecture

Traditional Japanese architecture embodies a profound blend of cultural values, environmental harmony, and sustainable practices. These architectural forms are rooted in Japan's spiritual and aesthetic traditions

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

and embody principles of simplicity, transience, and respect for nature, practices such as wabi-sabi (appreciation of imperfection) and Shinto (reverence for nature, see Figure 3 & 4) (Nakagawa & Suzuki, 2021). Table 2 shows the sustainable characteristics of traditional Japanese architecture and their cultural manifestations.

Figure 3 -4. Yiorgos

Table 2. Sustainable Characteristics of Traditional Japanese Architecture and its Cultural Manifestations

Features	Manifestation of culture and sustainable design
Use of renewable materials	Traditional Japanese architecture emphasizes the use of locally sourced, renewable materials such as wood, bamboo, and straw. These materials align with cultural values of impermanence (mujo) and renewal, reflecting an acceptance of the natural life cycle of decay and regeneration. The Kigumi system is a traditional woodworking technique that avoids the use of nails or adhesives, symbolizing the cultural value of craftsmanship and a seamless relationship between people and materials (Cojocaru & Isopescu, 2021).
Low carbon footprint	The low-energy construction methods of Japanese architecture, such as handcrafting and reliance on natural materials, stem from the cultural ethos of simplicity and resource efficiency (mottainai - the spirit of not wasting) (Frampton, 2021). This approach minimizes environmental impact while maintaining cultural integrity.
Climate responsiveness and cultural adaptation	The raised floors and deep eaves in traditional architecture are not only an adaptation to Japan's humid climate, but also reflect the cultural principle of coexisting with the changing seasons. The engawa (balcony) is a transitional space between indoors and outdoors, promoting harmony with nature. Sliding partitions (shoji and fusuma) provide flexibility in spatial organization (Rashid & Ara, 2015), a feature rooted in the Japanese aesthetic of adaptability and versatility that reflects the dynamic needs of traditional family and social structures.
Integration of nature and space	Traditional Japanese architecture often incorporates gardens and natural elements into the built environment, following the Shinto principle of respecting kami (nature spirits) (Shelton, 2012). Spatial layouts reflect the cultural concept of space and time, creating a sense of balance and mindfulness in the architectural form.

Traditional Japanese architecture seamlessly blends cultural values and sustainable practices. By emphasizing renewable materials, passive strategies, and integration with nature, it serves as a model for designing buildings that are both environmentally friendly and culturally resonant. Incorporating these principles into architectural education will not only preserve cultural identity, but also equip future architects to address global sustainability challenges with insight and creativity.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Surveys of Traditional Culture in Contemporary Sustainable Architecture Education and Teaching

The integration of traditional cultural elements into contemporary sustainable architecture education bridges the gap between historical knowledge and modern environmental challenges. By drawing on the ecological wisdom and cultural values inherent in traditional architectural practices, educational teaching can provide future architects with a deeper understanding of sustainability, rather than just technical solutions. Incorporating traditional cultural elements into contemporary sustainable architecture education is an important tool to connect historical wisdom with modern environmental challenges. Traditional architecture reflects localized, sustainable practices that have evolved over centuries, emphasizing passive design strategies, material sustainability, and cultural values. This section surveys the current teaching and related learning content of integrating traditional culture into contemporary architectural education.

Green Building Concept

Teaching Traditional Knowledge Foundation

The integration of traditional culture begins with a foundational course on green building concepts, where vernacular building forms such as the Chinese courtyard house, Japanese Minka house, and Indian mud-brick house are introduced as models of sustainability. These foundational knowledge structures provide students with a historical perspective on achieving energy efficiency, material sustainability, and indoor environmental quality within traditional cultural premises.

Principles of Sustainability through Tradition

Key principles of sustainable architecture, such as passive heating and cooling, climate-responsive design, and the use of renewable materials, are related to traditional architecture. For example, students study how the thermal mass of cave dwellings regulates indoor temperatures, or how the eaves of Japanese houses promote natural ventilation.

Case-Based Learning

Regional case studies

Currently, a wide range of architecture courses often include case studies of traditional buildings from different cultural backgrounds to demonstrate the universality of sustainability (Banham, 2021). Examples include Chinese cave dwellings, which use mud as insulation; Japanese wooden houses, which highlight renewable materials and modular structures; and Indian courtyards, which optimize natural ventilation and daylight. In this course, teachers will mainly explain and introduce different building types around the world and analyze and learn about their different building types.

Practical and Experiential Teaching

Students analyze traditional structural building types for practical learning, such as in-depth analysis and simulation model construction of local materials and spatial organization, so as to promote relevant architectural cohesion. At the same time, through practical investigation and experience dissemination, students will also be led to discuss and analyze the success or failure cases of traditional practices adapted to modern environments, so as to emphasize the importance of innovation in sustainable architectural design in different environments.

Studio-Based Projects and Hands-On Learning

Design Studios

Design studios allow students to directly engage with traditional building practices and deepen their understanding of craftsmanship and sustainable material use. Through studio learning, students learn how

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

to use locally available earth to build structures with high thermal mass and minimal environmental impact. Through these experiences, students gain practical knowledge about material properties, structural techniques, and the integration of craftsmanship into sustainable building design. In addition to technical skills, studios can also cultivate an appreciation for traditional building concepts that prioritize harmony with nature and resource efficiency. By combining traditional methods with modern building challenges, students can learn to value innovation and tradition in sustainable design.

Field Studies and Site Visits

Field studies and site visits to traditional building sites can provide students with more immersive experiences that combine theoretical learning with practical insights. For example, a visit to a Chinese cave dwelling allows students to explore how these semi-underground structures achieve year-round thermal comfort through passive design principles. Observing spatial organization and material use firsthand deepens their understanding of sustainable design strategies rooted in cultural and environmental contexts. Therefore, field studies can enhance students' ability to analyze and adapt traditional design elements in a contemporary context, providing students with a culturally direct approach to the challenge of sustainable development.

Methods of Integrating Local Culture and Modern Technology

Characteristics and Analysis of Local Cultural Ecological Wisdom

Regional Characteristics and Architectural Wisdom

Through the summary and analysis of the above survey results, we can clearly get the passive design concepts and local cultural concepts in traditional buildings. Through the summary, we can find the design forms and sustainable strategies formed by these buildings in the interaction with the natural environment. These concepts are usually rooted in the local keen observation and long-term adaptation to climate, topography and resources. By cleverly utilizing natural conditions such as wind, light, heat, soil and water, they create architectural forms that conform to local ecological and cultural characteristics. The core of passive design is to reduce dependence on artificial energy, improve indoor environmental quality and reduce energy consumption by optimizing building form, materials and layout (DeKay & Brown, 2013). This wisdom not only demonstrates the ancient culture's profound understanding of the concept of harmony with nature, but also provides inspiration for modern architecture, especially in achieving low-carbon buildings and energy-saving goals. It has important reference value.

Traditional Chinese Cave Dwelling

The cave dwellings take advantage of the topographical characteristics of the Loess Plateau and are embedded in the mountain in a semi-underground form, which significantly improves the thermal insulation performance of the interior space. The characteristics of being warm in winter and cool in summer reduce the dependence on external energy and embody the concept of harmonious coexistence with nature. Its design emphasizes the use of the Earth's thermal stability, especially in extreme climate conditions, reducing resource consumption (Cheng et al., 2024). In this way, the cave house achieves passive regulation of high thermal mass and is a typical resource-saving ecological building.

Japanese Traditional Architecture

The raised floor design and deep eaves layout in traditional Japanese architecture adapt to the humid climate and reflect a deep understanding of the environment. Sliding partitions (such as shoji and ao) provide flexible space usage (Shively, 2015) and optimize ventilation and lighting. Building materials mostly use renewable resources (such as wood and bamboo), which not only reduces carbon emissions but also conforms to the traditional cultural values of "impermanence" and "no waste".

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

These traditional cultural architectural forms are centered on passive design strategies and combine local climatic conditions to achieve resource conservation. They not only reflect a high level of intelligence in terms of building thermal performance and spatial organization, but also provide many valuable reference opinions for the energy-saving design of modern buildings.

Culture and Design

Cultural background has a profound impact on the shaping of design language and architectural form. It not only determines the aesthetic expression of the building, but also profoundly affects the spatial organization, functional zoning and material selection. Cultural background is often reflected through religious beliefs, social customs, ethical concepts and the relationship with nature, and is transformed into symbolic language and form in architectural design. Different cultural backgrounds also have a direct impact on architectural details such as decoration, color and material, forming a unique regional style and cultural symbol.

The core concept of cave dwelling design is borrowed in modern architecture, especially its ecological wisdom of using natural terrain and materials to achieve passive regulation, which provides an important reference for sustainable building practice. For example, the eco-housing project in Northwest China combines the semi-underground design of traditional cave dwellings with modern construction technology. While retaining the cave dwelling's thermal stability characteristics of being warm in winter and cool in summer, it significantly improves the indoor environment by introducing skylights and efficient ventilation systems. Comfort. The underground design not only reduces energy consumption, but also achieves low visual impact by integrating with the surrounding environment, highlighting the natural adaptability characteristics of the cave dwelling. In international architectural practice, the concept of cave dwellings is also widely used. In addition, in the field of cultural tourism, the traditional form of cave dwellings has been innovatively transformed into modern eco-hotels. For example, the Yan'an Cave Hotel project preserved the form and materials of the original caves and transformed them into boutique hotels with modern facilities. These buildings combine passive design strategies with modern interior decoration, providing visitors with a high level of comfort while conveying a strong sense of local culture.

Through these cases, we can see that the core concept of cave design is not only continued in modern architecture, but also continuously optimized through the combination with green building technology. The unique ecological wisdom and cultural connotations of cave dwellings not only provide a model for low-energy buildings and sustainable design, but also inject a strong sense of regionality and cultural identity into architectural works. This combination of inheritance and innovation provides a valuable solution for the sustainable development of modern architecture in the face of global climate challenges.

Modern architecture draws on traditional Japanese architectural design and fully demonstrates the modern application of its passive strategies and cultural philosophy through deep coordination with the natural environment. For example, the "Fujimori Terunobu Tea House" project in Japan combines traditional wooden structures and deep eaves design with modern materials, which not only effectively reduces direct sunlight and rain erosion, but also uses a natural ventilation system to regulate indoor temperature, significantly reducing energy consumption. At the same time, the flexibility of sliding partitions (Shoji and Fusuma) has been reinterpreted in modern small houses, creating variable spatial layouts and adapting to the functional diversity needs of modern life. Furthermore, in urban architecture, the traditional "Engawa" (Japanese corridor) design is transformed into a transitional space for modern buildings, providing a place for interaction between people and nature. This design not only enhances the living experience of residents, but also improves the ecological performance of the building by adding green landscaping and natural light. For example, some modern residential projects in Kyoto, Japan, have introduced these designs while combining efficient insulation materials with intelligent shading systems to make the buildings more adaptable to contemporary environments.

Through these cases, we can see that the core concept of traditional Japanese architectural design not only provides inspiration for modern architecture in terms of form and function, but also achieves a win-win situation of cultural heritage and sustainable development through combination with advanced technology.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

This combination of tradition and modernity not only enhances the practicality and regionality of the building, but also gives modern architecture deeper cultural value and ecological significance.

Integration of Cultural Elements in Sustainable Architectural Education

Curriculum Design and Teaching Methods

The key to embedding cultural elements into sustainable design courses is to build a multi-level and systematic teaching system so that students can fully understand the profound impact of culture on architectural design from multiple dimensions. This teaching system needs to cover the complete educational chain from theoretical learning to practical application, and organically combine the deep understanding of culture with the core concept of sustainable design through diversified teaching methods and evaluation mechanisms.

Traditional Knowledge Teaching in Basic Courses

Through special lectures or course modules, students are introduced to traditional architectural wisdom in different cultural backgrounds, such as the thermal stability design of Chinese cave dwellings and the spatial adaptability of Japanese wooden structures. Using literature reviews and historical case analysis, help students understand the deep connection between traditional architecture and sustainable concepts.

Case Teaching and Interactive Learning

In teaching, case analysis is used to guide students to compare and study how cultural elements from different regions are interpreted and applied in modern architecture. For example, organize case analysis of modern or Japanese Engawa designs to allow students to explore how these designs retain cultural characteristics through technological innovation.

Combining Design Practice with Cultural Experience

Through design workshops or project projects, students are encouraged to explore the application of cultural elements in actual design. For example, a design competition with the theme of "Combining Traditional Wisdom with Green Architecture" can be held to allow students to integrate local cultural elements while considering climate adaptability and ecological materials, and cultivate their sensitivity to the collaborative innovation of culture and technology.

Cultivation and Evaluation of Students' Ecological Awareness

To cultivate students' ecological design thinking from a cultural perspective, it is necessary to build a systematic learning path to help students integrate ecological awareness into every aspect of architectural design from a deep understanding of the relationship between culture and nature. This training method not only focuses on students' cognition of traditional culture and natural wisdom, but also aims to inspire them to transform these elements into innovative power in contemporary design practice. The intervention of cultural perspective can make students realize that architectural design is not only the application of technology, but also a comprehensive response to the environment, resources and society. Therefore, this training model requires a comprehensive design from theoretical learning, practical exploration to design evaluation to ensure that students can truly master the core concept of sustainable design on the basis of understanding the interactive relationship between culture and ecology.

Establish theoretical cognition of the relationship between culture and nature. Through in-depth theoretical learning, guide students to understand the concept of harmonious coexistence between man and nature in different cultural backgrounds and its embodiment in traditional architecture. For example, the respect for the imperfection of nature in the Japanese "wabi-sabi" aesthetics. These theories provide students with a cultural framework for ecological design, enabling them to explore the deep connection between the natural environment and architectural design from a cultural perspective.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Strengthen understanding through practical exploration. Theoretical learning should be accompanied by practical support. Through field trips, design workshops and project-based learning, students can observe and experience the interaction between cultural elements and natural ecology in real environments. For example, students can be organized to visit cave dwellings, or other traditional ecological buildings to experience firsthand how buildings use natural resources to regulate temperature, ventilation and lighting. At the same time, in design workshops, students are encouraged to transform these observations into design strategies to deepen their understanding of cultural ecological wisdom.

Integrate cultural and ecological thinking into the design process. In actual design tasks, students are required to fully consider the characteristics of local culture and the needs of the natural environment from the beginning of site investigation. The design process can include everything from the selection of ecological materials to energy efficiency optimization, from the cultural symbolic expression of functional layout to the response of spatial form to the environment, ensuring that students can reflect ecological design thinking and cultural sensitivity in every link.

Introduction of multi-dimensional teaching tools. Using a variety of modern teaching tools, such as virtual reality (VR) technology, environmental simulation software and dynamic modeling tools, help students explore the design possibilities of cultural and natural interactions in virtual space. These tools can provide students with more intuitive feedback, allowing them to observe in real time the ecological effects that design solutions may have in the environment.

Encourage critical thinking and reflection. Design education not only requires students to master skills, but also requires them to learn to question and reflect on the contradictions between modern design practice and ecological protection. For example, by setting up debate sessions or writing reflective reports, students can analyze how the current construction industry is affected by the trend of globalization and discuss how to balance cultural heritage and modernization needs, thereby cultivating their ability to deal with complex problems.

This way of cultivating ecological design thinking from a cultural perspective can enable students to understand design in all aspects, so that they can create innovative works that respect culture and have ecological sustainability in future architectural practice.

Teaching Achievement Evaluation System

Evaluation Method

In order to scientifically and impartially evaluate students' understanding and application of cultural elements in sustainable design courses, as well as the innovation and ecological responsibility of their design works, a comprehensive teaching achievement evaluation system needs to be developed. This system aims to fully reflect students' learning outcomes, encourage them to incorporate cultural perspectives and ecological awareness into their designs, and provide a scientific basis for course improvement. The following are the main evaluation indicators and methods:

Table 3. Evaluation Method

Content	Indicators	Methods
Cultural depth	The embodiment of regional culture	(1) Set indicators such as "completeness of expression of cultural elements" or "strength of regional expression" and quantify the evaluation through a scoring table. (2) Organize expert reviews and invite scholars and designers with cultural research backgrounds to participate in evaluating the accuracy and expressiveness of cultural elements in the works.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

	The creativity of the integration of culture and design	(1) Introduce students' self-report design reports and require them to explain the basis for the selection of cultural elements and the design logic. (2) Through the question-and-answer session, let students explain the innovation of their cultural expression to enhance the interactivity and pertinence of the evaluation.
Capability of design innovation	The synergy of technology and culture	(1) Establish scoring dimensions such as "rationality of technology application" and "coordination of technology and culture". (2) Require students to submit technology application diagrams (such as thermal performance analysis diagrams and energy simulation diagrams) to verify the technical feasibility of the design.
	The innovation of function and form	(1) Set functional scoring dimensions, such as space utilization, user comfort, and flexibility of functional layout. (2) Evaluate the works in multiple dimensions, paying special attention to how the design form continues cultural significance in innovation.
Ecological responsibility	The realization of sustainable development goals	(1) Require students to submit a life cycle assessment (LCA) report on the design to analyze the impact of their solutions on resources and energy. (2) Introduce "carbon footprint assessment" to verify whether the design meets the requirements of low energy consumption and low emissions.
	The integration of environment and culture	Compare and analyze the degree of integration of traditional ecological strategies (such as passive design and application of natural materials) and modern technologies in student works.

Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion

The article emphasizes that the integration of traditional architectural culture and modern architectural design education can promote the comprehensive development of sustainable architectural design. This combination reflects the passive design wisdom contained in traditional architecture (such as the thermal performance of Chinese cave dwellings, the flexible space utilization of Japanese architecture, and the microclimate regulation of the city), providing a rich source of inspiration for modern architectural design. The key conclusions include:

Integration of Traditional Culture and Modern Design

Traditional architectural culture contains an ecological sense formed by keen observation of the natural environment and long-term adaptation. This ecological state provides a high-quality living environment while reducing resource consumption through passive design strategies such as thermal performance optimization, local use of materials, and microclimate regulation of space. If modern architectural design can fully absorb the essence of these traditional cultures and combine them with advanced technical methods, it will not only be able to better cope with global climate change, but also enhance the social and cultural significance of architectural design.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Education As a Bridge Between Culture and Technology

Modern architectural education should play the role of a bridge connecting traditional culture and technological innovation when cultivating the next generation of designers. Through systematic course design, including theoretical learning, case analysis, practical operation and field investigation, students can deeply understand the core role of culture in architectural design. And through case studies, design workshops and field visits, students can intuitively experience the design potential of the interaction between culture and nature.

The Necessity of a Multidimensional Evaluation System

The multidimensional evaluation system proposed in this article fills the gap in the evaluation of the combination of culture and technology in existing teaching. Through comprehensive evaluation of dimensions such as cultural depth, technological application, and ecological responsibility, the innovation and practicality of students' designs can be more comprehensively reflected. This method not only improves the scientific nature of teaching, but also guides students to form cultural sensitivity and ecological responsibility awareness in practice.

Future Work

The study proposes a strategy to adjust the course content in line with the development of the times to ensure the balance between culture and technology. It calls on the government and academic institutions to support cultural heritage and educational innovation, and promote the integration of architectural education with environmental science and sociology. In the future, architectural education that integrates culture and technology needs to continue to adapt to the dynamic changes of the global environment and society. The specific outlook is as follows:

Continuous updating of teaching content: Incorporating advanced technologies such as virtual reality and artificial intelligence to enhance students' perception of the interaction between culture and ecology.

Policy and support: Call on educational institutions and governments to promote cultural heritage projects and deepen the application of culture in sustainable design through funding and resource support.

Expansion of international vision: Strengthen cultural exchanges and learning in the context of globalization, and draw inspiration for sustainable architectural design from diverse cultures.

Multidisciplinary integration: Promote the collaboration between architectural design and environmental science, sociology, and psychology, and cultivate comprehensive ecological awareness and social responsibility.

Through the continuous integration of culture and technology, architectural education will be able to cultivate future designers who respect cultural diversity and create ecologically sustainable buildings, providing innovative solutions to global ecological problems.

Co-Author Contribution

The authors affirmed that there is no conflict of interest in this article. Author 1 carried out the fieldwork, prepared the literature review and overlooked the writeup of the whole article. Author 2 revised the research methodology. Author 3 revised the analysis and interpretation of the results.

References

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Abergel, T., Dulac, J., Hamilton, I., Jordan, M., & Pradeep, A. (2019). Global status report for buildings and construction—towards a zero-emissions, efficient and resilient buildings and construction sector. Environment Programme, United Nations Environment Programme.

Altomonte, S. (2009). Environmental education for sustainable architecture. Rev. Eur. Stud., 1, 12.

Alkaff, S. A., Sim, S. C., & Efzan, M. E. (2016). A review of underground building towards thermal energy efficiency and sustainable development. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 60, 692-713.

Antonini, E., Gaspari, J., & Visconti, C. (2021). Collaborative learning experiences in a changing environment: Innovative educational approaches in architecture. Sustainability, 13(16), 8895.

Banham, R. (2021). Traditional Japanese architecture and its relevance to sustainable building design. Architectural Review, 248(2), 45-56.

Berardi, U. (2013). Clarifying the new interpretations of the concept of sustainable building. Sustainable cities and society, 8, 72-78.

Brogden, L. (2019). Sustainability, design futuring, and the process of shelter and settlements. Resettlement challenges for displaced populations and refugees, 1-14.

Brown, A., & Thompson, K. (2019). Sustainable material selection for resilient architecture. Journal of Architectural Materials, 17(1), 45-60.

Chan, M. N., & Nagatomo, D. (2021). Study of STEM for sustainability in design education: Framework for student learning and outcomes with design for a disaster project. Sustainability, 14(1), 312.

Chandel, S. S., Sharma, V., & Marwah, B. M. (2016). Review of energy efficient features in vernacular architecture for improving indoor thermal comfort conditions. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 65, 459-477.

Chen, X., Li, Q., & Zhu, Y. (2023). Urban water-sensitive design: Trends and applications. Environmental Research and Design, 24(3), 87-96.

Cheng, X., Jing, S., Yang, Y., Xu, W., Wang, Z., & Lan, Z. (2024). Study on the indoor thermal comfort of cave dwellings in cold areas of China. Journal of Building Engineering, 86, 108949.

Cojocaru, A., & Isopescu, D. N. (2021). Passive Strategies of Vernacular Architecture for Energy Efficiency. Bulletin of the Polytechnic Institute of Iaşi. Construction. Architecture Section, 67(2), 33-44.

DeKay, M., & Brown, G. Z. (2013). Sun, wind, and light: architectural design strategies. John Wiley & Sons.

Dimmer, C., Brumann, C., & Schulz, E. (2012). Urban Spaces in Japan: Cultural and Social Perspectives.

Duxbury, N., Cullen, C., & Pascual, J. (2021). Cultural sustainability and its role in sustainable development. Sustainability, 13(6), 3583.

El-Husseiny, M. A., & El-Setouhy, H. (2022). Reviving Low-Tech Modes of Construction as a Method for Sustainability. Sustainability, 14(21), 13762.

Foster, G. (2020). Circular economy strategies for adaptive reuse of cultural heritage buildings to reduce environmental impacts. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 152, 104507.

Frampton, K. (2019). Wang Shu and the architecture of cultural continuity. Architectural Review, 246(1), 20-27.

Frampton, K. (2001). Studies in tectonic culture: the poetics of construction in nineteenth and twentieth century architecture. Mit Press.

Garcia, E., & Nguyen, T. (2021). Biodiversity-friendly urban design. Landscape and Urban Planning, 205, 103-118.

He, C., & Osmond, P. (2024). Performance of traditional Chinese courtyard buildings from a sustainability perspective and implications for contemporary green building design.

Johnson, D., & Lee, S. (2020). Water conservation strategies for sustainable urban development. Journal of Water Resources Planning, 146(2), 125-138.

Jones, C., & Roberts, D. (2020). Mitigating urban heat islands through green infrastructure. Urban Climate, 34, 1-9.

Kim, H., & Lee, M. (2021). Life-cycle assessment in sustainable building design: A review. Buildings, 11(3), 234-250.

Lefaivre, L. M., & Tzonis, A. (2007). Critical regionalism: architecture and identity in a globalized world. Prestel publishing.

Li, W., & Li, H. (2019, August). Research of green architecture—take Chinese traditional cave dwellings as an example. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 310, No. 2, p. 022054). IOP Publishing.

Liu, J., & Yang, H. (2021). Innovations in bio-based building materials. Construction and Building Materials, 287, 124-137.

Moghayedi, A., Michell, K., Hübner, D., Le Jeune, K., & Massyn, M. (2024). Examine the impact of green methods and technologies on the environmental sustainability of supportive education buildings, perspectives of circular economy and net-zero carbon operation. Facilities, 42(3/4), 201-222.

Moneta, A. (2023). Scenarchitecture: a methodology for investigating the role of Genius Loci in the reading, understanding and interpretation of architecture and heritage.

Naji, K. K., Gunduz, M., Alhenzab, F., Al-Hababi, H., & Al-Qahtani, A. (2024). A Systematic Review of the Digital Transformation of the Building Construction Industry. IEEE Access.

Nakagawa, K., & Suzuki, M. (2021). Passive design strategies in traditional Japanese architecture: A sustainable perspective. Building and Environment, 203, 108-115.

Nguyen, A. T., Truong, N. S. H., Rockwood, D., & Le, A. D. T. (2019). Studies on sustainable features of vernacular architecture in different regions across the world: A comprehensive synthesis and evaluation. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 8(4), 535-548.

Okubo, T. (2016). Traditional wisdom for disaster mitigation in history of Japanese Architectures and historic cities. Journal of Cultural Heritage, 20, 715-724.

Olgyay, V. (2015). Design with climate: bioclimatic approach to architectural regionalism. Princeton university press.

Oliver, P. (2020). Vernacular Architecture: A Global Survey. John Wiley & Sons.

Radwan, H., & Osama, N. (2022). Integrating cultural heritage into sustainable architecture education: The role of adaptive reuse. Journal of Cleaner Production, 348, 131322.

Rapoport, A. (2019). Culture, Architecture, and Design. Routledge.

Journal of Ecohumanism
2025
Volume: 4, No: 1, pp. 2079 – 2093
ISSN: 2752-6798 (Print) | ISSN 2752-6801 (Online)
https://ecohumanism.co.uk/joe/ecohumanism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6030

Rapoport, A. (2019). Culture and built form—a reconsideration. In Culture-Meaning-Architecture (pp. 175-216). Routledge.

Rashid, M., & Ara, D. R. (2015). Modernity in tradition: Reflections on building design and technology in the Asian vernacular. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 4(1), 46-55.

Rong, W., & Bahauddin, A. (2023). Hutongs and Vernacular Courtyard Houses under the Influence of Confucianism: Identity and Values in Linqing, China. ISVS E-Journal, 10(4), 38-55.

Salama, A. M. (2012). Knowledge and design: people-environment research for responsive pedagogy and practice. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 49, 8-27.

Smith, J., & Taylor, M. (2022). Renewable energy integration in urban building systems. Energy and Buildings, 250, 111-122.

Sohail, M., Cavill, S., & Cotton, A. (2021). Teaching sustainability in architecture and design education: Challenges and innovations. Journal of Education for Sustainable Development, 15(1), 14-25.

Soflaei, F., Shokouhian, M., & Zhu, W. (2017). Socio-environmental sustainability in traditional courtyard houses of Iran and China. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 69, 1147-1169.

Shelton, B. (2012). Learning from the Japanese city: looking east in urban design. Routledge.

Shively, D. H. (Ed.). (2015). Tradition and modernization in Japanese culture. Princeton University Press.

Tzonis, A., & Lefaivre, L. (2021). Critical regionalism: Architecture and identity in a globalized world. Journal of Architectural Theory and Criticism, 24(3), 152-167.

Wang, J., & Lee, J. (2020). Indoor environmental quality and occupant productivity. Building and Environment, 180, 106-116.

Wang, Y., Ibrahim, N. L. N., & Zheng, Y. (2024). Analysis of sustainable building design concept (SBDC) adoption in current China's architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) related higher education curriculum, Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development, 8(12): 7625

Zhu, J., Tong, L., Li, R., Yang, J., & Li, H. (2020). Annual thermal performance analysis of underground cave dwellings based on climate responsive design. Renewable Energy, 145, 1633-1646.

Zhang, T., Wang, Y., & Li, F. (2021). Energy performance optimization in sustainable building design. Sustainability, 13(5), 1-15.

Zhang, X., Chen, B., Zhao, J. R., Li, X., Liu, S., & Wu, L. (2014). Optimization of thermal performance in a Chinese traditional heating system–Burning cave. Energy and Buildings, 68, 423-431.