

# Comprehensive Review of Anesthesia Monitoring Systems and Radiological Tools in Complex Surgical Interventions

Hussain Manaa Zaid Al-Zamanan<sup>1</sup>, Badryiah Mujhar Hadi Alarjani<sup>2</sup>, Fahad Saed Mahdi Al Rapaiyei<sup>3</sup>, Bander Naseer Alshagaih<sup>4</sup>, Nova Yahya Al Monajam<sup>5</sup>, Shohrah Abdurhman AlShehri<sup>6</sup>, Manal Mubarak Al Omar<sup>7</sup>, Afrah Mubarak Al Omar<sup>8</sup>, Sheikha Saleh Hassan Al bleeh<sup>9</sup>, Randa Saeed Samer Alsaad<sup>10</sup>, Musaab Abdalla Elhussein<sup>11</sup>

## Abstract

*The results of extremity revascularization depend remarkably on the application of modern anesthesia control devices and radiological equipment. They also protect the patients – both from themselves and potential infection – and maximize the accuracy of surgery and recovery times. Anesthesia monitoring systems give immediate information concerning patients' condition, allowing anesthesiologists to alter settings for depth of anesthesia, control effects, and respond to alterations promptly. Most radiological technologies are diagnostic rather than therapeutic. Still, whether invasive or non-invasive, they help the surgeon portray the surgical area, and this is very crucial in planning surgeries, especially using minimally invasive methods. It aims to understand better how these technologies can work in combination, how efficient they are in enhancing the results of surgery, and the main issues associated with their application. Moreover, the work focuses on the continued developments in both disciplines while presenting the readers with an understanding of how both are changing the current surgical practices.*

**Keywords:** *Anesthesia Monitoring Systems, Radiological Tools, Complex Surgical Interventions, Patient Safety, Imaging Technologies, Minimally Invasive Surgery, Surgical Precision, CT, MRI, Real-Time Monitoring.*

## Introduction

Operations are longer and more diverse; many operations that used to be 'routine' for different pathologies now require heightened special anesthesia and operative or radiological control. It was noted that the anesthesia monitoring system and radiological tools have been rightfully incorporated to be used hand in hand for patient safety. They are widely used in today's general surgeries, and they have huge effects on the safety of patients, the efficacy of surgeries, and the healing periods of the patients. These systems help the surgical teams to have continual data on patients' physiological parameters and internal body images; therefore, they assist in the early detection of clinical changes in patients that may lead to the anticipated surgical procedures and thus minimize complication rates, making surgical procedures successful.

Anesthesia monitoring systems are intended to measure some of the vital physiological factors, including neural rhythm, blood pressure, oxygen, and carbon dioxide saturation, as well as a respiratory rate over the course of the surgery to ensure that the patient is out of harm's way. These systems also compare the extent of anesthesia through several tracks so that anesthesiologists can sustain the correct stage of anesthesia. This capability is very important since under or over-sedation of a patient can lead to adverse events, and necessary corrections could be made immediately. For instance, Bispectrality Index (BIS) monitors that

<sup>1</sup> Bader Al-Janoob Hospital, Saudi Arabia, Email: Hualzamanan@moh.gov.sa

<sup>2</sup> Mohammadiah Phcc, Saudi Arabia, Email: Balarjani@moh.gov.sa

<sup>3</sup> New Najran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia, Email: FAlrapaiyei@moh.gov.sa

<sup>4</sup> New Najran General Hospital, Alshurfah, Saudi Arabia, Email: Balshagaih@moh.gov.sa

<sup>5</sup> New Najran General Hospital, Email: nalmonajam@moh.gov.sa

<sup>6</sup> Prince Faisal bin Khalid Cardiac Center, Saudi Arabia, Email: Shohrahaa@moh.gov.sa

<sup>7</sup> New Najran General Hospital, Email: mamualyami@moh.gov.sa

<sup>8</sup> King Khalid hospital, Saudi Arabia, Email: afmalyami@moh.gov.sa

<sup>9</sup> Al Shorfa primary health center, Saudi Arabia, Email: salbleh@moh.gov.sa

<sup>10</sup> Alshorfa Primary Health Center, Saudi Arabia, Email: ralyami2@moh.gov.sa

<sup>11</sup> Department of Anesthesia, College of Applied Sciences, AlMaarefa University, P.O.Box 71666, Riyadh, 11597 Saudi Arabia.

employ the analysis of EEG signals to provide information on the anesthetic's depth enhance the anesthesiologist's ability to administer the right amount of anesthetic necessary to achieve optimum safety for the patient.


On the other hand, diagnostic equipment like CT scans, MRIs, and X-rays present a clear and intricate picture of a patient's internal body parts, such as organs and structures, tumors, and complications. These tools help surgeons be better prepared during operations and reconstruct the sequences in detailed ways depending on the risks involved in surgeries, such as neurological, cardiovascular, or any form of oncologic procedures. PET scans and CT scans, together with MRI, can be used in preoperative imaging to determine the size and position of the tumor and possible complications of the surgical site, hence increasing the accuracy of the operation. Fluoroscopy is an intraoperative imaging technique that obtains images during surgery and aids in accomplishing complex procedures.

Anesthesia monitoring and the use of radiological aids also enhance the efficiency of complicated surgical procedures in determining and managing their results. This review will discuss these technologies collectively, how they have advanced in their fields of application, the surgical fields in which the technologies have been employed, and the future direction in precision surgery and patient safety.

## Literature Review

### Anesthesia Monitoring Systems

Substantial advancements in the use of systems advanced monitoring in anesthesia have improved the handling of its use in surgeries, and this has ensured that patients benefit through safety measures. Traditionally, maintaining an appropriate depth of anesthesia was assessed based on some general clinical symptoms such as heart rate, blood pressure, and respiratory rate. Nevertheless, these parameters were not definitive, signaling the depth of anesthesia since they depended on factors unrelated to this issue. As time passed, new technologies have evolved beyond just measuring basic vital signs and solely monitoring the level of anesthesia, degree of neuromuscular blockade, and other physiological parameters to gain deeper and broader insight into a patient's status during surgical operations.



(Elsharkany et al., 2017).

The latter is one of the most important developments in the field of anesthesia monitoring, which is known as depth of anesthesia monitoring. For many years, anesthesiologists have commonly used information derived from monitoring cardiovascular perfusion and respiratory raw data, such as heart rate, arterial blood

pressure, or respiratory rate, to determine the depth of anesthesia. Unfortunately, these indices are not always very dependable, particularly when there is a change in the cardiovascular or respiratory status of the patient. Contemporary methods of evaluating the concentration of anesthesia – for instance, the bispectral index (BIS) monitors – have greatly changed the depth of anesthesia. BIS maintains work by evaluating EEG activity to determine the extent of the unconscious state during anesthesia, which can help anesthesiologists control and regulate the dosage of anesthesia so that the patient cannot experience memory recall or become aware of events happening to him or her, simultaneously, the patient cannot fall into a deep unconscious state that is potentially dangerous and may lead to cardiovascular difficulties due to overdose of anesthesia administered. Compared with clinical assessment, this technology is likely to produce a more accurate and standardized way of assessing the level of anesthesia, which is critical to improving the safety of anesthesia for patients.

During the administration of Anesthesia, the safety of the patient is a major concern, as most anesthesia complications will considerably affect a patient's condition. These difficulties comprise cardiovascular fluctuation, hypoxia, toxicity, and occasionally mortality. It is important to understand that anesthesia monitoring systems have been so useful in reducing these risks. For example, pulse oximeters constantly monitor blood oxygen levels (SpO<sub>2</sub>) to maintain safe oxygen levels. Likewise, capnography offers an ET/CO<sub>2</sub> waveform with an immediate CO<sub>2</sub> measurement that helps anesthesiologists adjust ventilation if needed. With analytics capabilities, achieving accuracy and speeds necessary for constantly streaming real-time data, most modern anesthesia systems are equipped with elements of artificial intelligence to notify clinicians about any emerging signs of physiological instability in time before such issues could pose an actual threat (Wilson-Stewart et al., 2018).. It is done constantly so complications do not happen and the patient's safety is not compromised during the operation.

#### *Radiological Tools in Surgery*

In this context, radiological instruments are essential auxiliary aids during surgical procedures in cooperation with anesthesia control. These imaging technologies have evolved quite a lot in the last few decades, solving the problem of visualizing internal structures before, during, and after surgery. Today, the most common and effective surgical instruments are CT scans, MRI, X-rays, and fluoroscopy. These imaging techniques assist the surgeons in determining the exact location of lesions and the presence of vital structures and court the probable strategy to be taken in the operation theatre.

*Preoperative Imaging:* A head CT scan is necessary before performing an intricate surgery, which is, at times, a tumor excision, aneurysm repair, spinal deformities, or any vascular process. CT and MRI are very effective in creating enhanced pictures of soft tissues, bones, and organs, making it easier for surgeons to feel the size, shape, and position of the area under the problem. For instance, while assessing tumors, CT offers orientation of tumor position about nearby healthy tissues, which is beneficial in identifying surgical plans. MRI is most effective in soft tissues and is often used in neurological, musculoskeletal, and cardiac surgery (Samarakkody & Abdullah 2016). These imaging tools help surgeons identify the approach to use in surgery, the possible risks involved, and the likely complications that will occur, hence making better decisions in the delivery of their surgeries.

*Intraoperative Imaging:* During an operation, fluoroscopy and X-rays become essential for producing accuracy during surgery. The major advantage of fluoroscopic imaging is that it generates a real-time action image, which helps the surgeon to see the movement of the instruments in the body. This is especially the case in surgeries where adequate direct vision of the surgical site cannot be afforded. Fluoroscopy is also used to direct orthopedic surgeries, vascular interventions, and endoscopic procedures into the desired area where they are required and to check on the position of instruments in real time. It is also used in spinal surgery to confirm the position of Screws and in cardiac surgery to approve the position of a catheter or stent. Apart from fluoroscopy, surgeons use X-ray films during operations to determine the positioning of bones or to check the positions of any inserted appliance.

### *Technological Innovations in Anesthesia and Radiology*

In anesthesia monitoring systems and radiological tools, the role of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning continues to rise. It is conceivable that these advancements will change existing surgical practices through increased precision, patient safety, and better treatment strategies. In anesthesia, Artificial Intelligence systems are used to analyze vast amounts of information on patients and attempt to determine how these patients will respond to anesthesia. It is advantageous in enabling anesthesiologists to predict every patient's accommodation, risks, and anesthesia regimens. For example, using analytics for patients with comorbidity or individual physiological characteristics, AI can modify doses of anesthesia in real-time and avoid deterioration of the patient's state, such as hypotonia or hypoxia.

In radiology, AI is used to analyze and diagnose medical images with the help of radiologists so that they can spot abnormalities easily and faster. With software applications based on artificial intelligence, certain parts of the body that may be problematic through the use of computerized tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, or X-rays can be identified by the software, and hence, the surgeon can be able to make better decisions. Besides, in coordinating surgery, AI can provide anatomical imaging of the patient from the imaging data that better visualizes the surgical site (Marro et al., 2016). . They also noted that integrated AI can enhance speed and accuracy when diagnosing different conditions or diseases, enhancing earlier interventions and improving results.

The adaptation of these technologies in surgical practice is advancing at a fast rate, which is changing the map of modern surgery. As such, it is becoming safer and more accurate, and the possibility of errors is minimized since the machine is involved.

In conclusion, anesthesia monitoring systems and radiological instruments are useful tools in modern surgery as they offer real-time information that aids in efficiently managing patients during surgeries. The continued enhancement of more sophisticated AI and machine learning means extending these systems' ability regarding surgeries' explicitness, reliability, and effectiveness (Manninen et al., 2017).. Further improving such technologies will ensure more secure and effective results of top-priority surgical operations, so these facilities are most valuable in the contemporary medical care system.

## **Methods**

This review was developed after a predefined systematic literature review on anesthesia monitoring systems and radiological tools in intricate surgeries. It consists of peer-reviewed articles, clinical studies, and opinions from anesthesiology and radiology experts. Current practices and innovations were reviewed based on information from clinical trials, patient outcomes, and technology assessments.

A range of methods was applied to implement the synthesis of both the qualitative and quantitative parts. Details on clinical efficacy in terms of complications and mortality were obtained for the anesthesia monitoring systems. For radiological tools, the available information regarding ERA surgery and the success of various procedures that employ improved imaging and patient outcomes were considered.

## **Results and Findings**


### **Anesthesia Monitoring Outcomes:**

This review was developed after a predefined systematic literature review on anesthesia monitoring systems and radiological tools in intricate surgeries. It consists of peer-reviewed articles, clinical studies, and opinions from anesthesiology and radiology experts. Current practices and innovations were reviewed based on information from clinical trials, patient outcomes, and technology assessments.

A range of methods was applied to implement the synthesis of both the qualitative and quantitative parts. Details on clinical efficacy in terms of complications and mortality were obtained for the anesthesia

monitoring systems. For radiological tools, the available information regarding ERA surgery and the success of various procedures that employ improved imaging and patient outcomes were considered.

**Figure 1. Graph Showing the Reduction in Surgical Complications with Continuous Anesthesia Monitoring Vs. Traditional Methods.**



(Mahmoud et al., 2020).

### *Radiological Tool Effectiveness*

Concerning surgical planning, it is revealed that the application of mechanisms based on advanced radiological equipment gives marked enhancement to patients' results. A sample survey of 300 surgeries established that integrating CT and MRI scans in preoperative planning reduced surgery complication rates by 40 percent. Such modalities offer a detailed, true-to-life picture of the surgical area requiring operation, the encompassing approach to be utilized, the structures to be encountered, and the probable complications (Guercio et al., 2015).. Preoperative imaging is of great use in rating size, location, and the nearness of significant structures to the subject area, a fact that could badly contribute to surgical misfortune.

Fluoroscopy has been particularly useful intraoperatively, especially in orthopedic surgeries. Fluoroscopy during these procedures also raised success rates of procedures by 20%age. Fluoroscopy is obtained in real-time; this enables the surgeon to view the intervention and position the instruments well, hence reducing mistakes during operations requiring minimally invasive procedures. This visualization of the internal structures during the procedure maximizes the precise positioning of surgical tools like screws, stents, or catheters, thereby resulting in better surgery yields and a low incidence of complication.

### *Qualitative Insights*


#### *Healthcare Providers' Perspective*

According to this survey, the majority of the respondents, including anesthesiologists and surgeons, express their willingness to support the use of advanced anesthesia monitoring as well as the imaging tools available to give the best result. Anesthesiologists have said that constant checkups of different body parameters and the state of consciousness during operations prevent adverse situations and allow them to adjust their actions to new conditions over a shorter period. Even such methods as BIS monitoring are considered a great step in preventing awareness during anesthesia, which is significant in surgeries such as cardiac and neurosurgery, where anesthesia plays a crucial role. Surgeons also mentioned that the availability of newer imaging techniques, for instance, CT, MRI as well as fluoroscopy, has taken the surgeries to a new level of



penetration and talent, especially in complicated cases where the internal anatomy of the patient's body provides the main map of the kind of surgical intervention that the surgeon is to undertake.

**Table 1. Comparison of Anesthesia Monitoring Systems (BIS, Capnography, Pulse Oximetry) in Terms of Accuracy, Cost, and Clinical Application.**




### *Challenges in Technology Integration*

However, several issues remain despite the multiple benefits of using advanced anesthesia monitoring and radiological tools in surgical practice. Among all the barriers, the cost of the equipment needed for the implementation of these technologies is said to be very expensive. Newer forms of anesthesia monitoring, like BIS monitors and analytics based on artificial intelligence and highly developed radiological equipment, MRI systems, and fluoroscopy imaging chains, are expensive and becoming critical as capital costs for hospitals, especially in developing circumstances.

The third limitation concerns experience and qualifications, for, as is well known, skill training in any profession requires time and, perhaps more importantly, practice facilities. Anesthesiologists, surgeons, and radiologists must complete their education and have repeated training to manage these complex systems. The nature of equipment and its ease of use by a layman may be an issue, as well as interpreting real-time information, which some of them may not have had a hand on newer technologies. Furthermore, some teams have limited access to resources, specialized equipment, and qualified personnel, so some technologies are difficult to implement in various surgical scenarios (Mahmoud et al., 2015). They conclude that these barriers point to future directions that display a need to increase the ease with which these technologies are implemented, such as focusing on finding inexpensive ways to implement them and providing training to a wider variety of healthcare institutions.

**Figure 1. Graph Showing the Reduction in Surgical Complications with Continuous Anesthesia Monitoring Vs. Traditional Methods.**



(Baerlocher et al., 2016).


## Discussion

Using computers in anesthesia monitoring and radiological equipment has revolutionized contemporary surgery, making it safer and better and reducing patient risks. Computerized systems for monitoring patients' vitals and the anesthetic processes known as anesthesia monitoring systems have been very helpful in reducing adverse events in surgeries. These systems facilitate real-time information that helps anesthesiologists alter anesthesia levels at the same time to give adequate care to the patient throughout the surgery procedure. Recent advances in the depth of anesthesia monitoring, including bilateral BIS, have enhanced outcomes by minimizing incidents of awareness under anesthesia and facilitating control of adverse effects arising from under or over anesthesia (Woodward et al., 2017). In addition, these web-based systems are equipped with real-time monitoring of essential parameters such as SpO<sub>2</sub>, ETCO<sub>2</sub>, and blood pressure, allowing the anesthesiologist to adjust appropriately and arm themselves against cardiovascular instability, hypoxia, or overdose rapidly. Since such information helps to avoid variations in intraoperative physiological stability, real-time operating decisions, and efficient functioning, anesthesia monitoring systems are invaluable in decreasing operative complications.

At the same time, radiological instruments worked their way to the strategic importance of planning the surgery and actually carrying it out. CT, MRI, and fluoroscopy have allowed surgeons to 'see' the structural layers of human anatomy in explicit detail, which is especially important in tumors, vascular diseases, or spine abnormalities. In the preoperative situation, these imaging aids help the surgeon to determine vital characteristics, such as the size, shape, and position of the pathologic conditions, hence improving the accuracy of planning. Incorporating fluoroscopy in real-time imaging during surgeries has also assisted surgeons in aiming instruments correctly to avoid wrong operations. Such developments have made it possible to make extensive surgery more accurate, enhancing social security since the patients take a shorter time to heal. Although there has been development, hurdles still exist. The chief drawback of such technologies is the cost associated with their implementation, which is practically prohibitive for small-sized healthcare centers and those located in low-resource environments. Thus, increasing demand for integrated educational interventions ensures such professionals' preparedness to work with these systems (Schroeck et al., 2019). AI and machine learning are promising for improving anesthesia and radiological tools, but they should be implemented carefully in clinical practice. AI technologies should be designed to enhance the

competence of various stakeholders in the health domain. Therefore, doctors should consistently be important decision-makers when employing AI as a supplemental assistant. That is why attention should be paid to such issues as the role and usage of easy-e system in anesthetics monitoring, possibilities and difficulties of application of new technologies in healthcare systems, and, finally, difficulties concerning accessibility, price, and staff training in anesthesia monitoring systems and effective radiological tools.

**Figure 2. Bar Chart Comparing the Effectiveness of CT, MRI, And Fluoroscopy in Reducing Intraoperative Errors**



## Conclusion

More specifically, the availability of technologically advanced systems to monitor anesthesia and radiological instruments has revolutionized the surgical processes to be precise and safe. These technologies have proved useful in enhancing patient care to reduce incidences of development of complications and enhance more personalized care. Anesthesia monitoring systems that can monitor the patient's physiological parameters and depth of anesthesia in real-time have been deemed crucial in mitigating intraoperative risks, and radiological tools have given tremendous, high levels of visibility intraoperatively for planning and guidance of surgeries. Taken together, these technologies today impact the surgical environment in a manner that makes it possible to perform operations that are much more accurate and with lower levels of error. However, to foster these advancements within the healthcare community, there is a demonstrable need to tackle challenges, including high equipment costs, specialized training, and integration issues in resource-limited environments. Research and investment in the coming years will enhance these systems by making them more efficient and affordable. To avoid the problem of these tools diverting attention away from the medical experts and making them extra on the various platforms, it will be necessary to integrate them into practice very closely. Continued progress in the techniques of anesthesia, together with enhanced availability of such care and additional development in the technologies associated with X-ray diagnosis, will be additional vital parameters that will help enhance patient safety and surgical care in future healthcare systems.

## Recommendations

**Increased Training:** There is need for the healthcare providers to be trained more with a view of tapping the full potential of advanced monitoring and imaging systems.

**Cost Reduction:** Further efforts should be made to make these technologies affordable in terms of equipment cost in an effort to implement them in all settings accessible to healthcare consumers.



AI Integration: Further work should be undertaken to develop new AI solutions for anesthesia and radiology, which would improve their predictive and decision-making functions.

## References

- Schroeck, H., Welch, T. L., Rovner, M. S., Johnson, H. A., & Schroeck, F. R. (2019). Anesthetic challenges and outcomes for procedures in the intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging suite: A systematic review. *Journal of clinical anesthesia*, 54, 89-101. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0952818018309097>
- Hall, B. A., & Chantigian, R. C. (2019). *Anesthesia: A Comprehensive Review E-Book: Anesthesia: A Comprehensive Review E-Book*. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=enetDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Radiology+%2B+Anesthesia+Technician:+Comprehensive+Review+of+Anesthesia+Monitoring+Systems+and+Radiological+Tools+in+Complex+Surgical+Interventions%22&ots=FyQTDYyPM-&sig=eVpPgNM6Wp0EJHjCwGq21ZmFK0>
- Zaffino, P., Moccia, S., De Momi, E., & Spadea, M. F. (2020). A review on advances in intra-operative imaging for surgery and therapy: imagining the operating room of the future. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 48(8), 2171-2191. <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=5UIW5L8AAAAJ&hl=en&oi=sra>
- Woodward, Z. G., Urman, R. D., & Domino, K. B. (2017). Safety of non-operating room anesthesia. *Anesthesiol Clin*, 35(4), 569-581. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Dt3WDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA569&dq=Radiology+%2B+Anesthesia+Technician:+Comprehensive+Review+of+Anesthesia+Monitoring+Systems+and+Radiological+Tools+in+Complex+Surgical+Interventions%22&ots=a2NW\\_RaJlb&sig=sFTfk\\_jVnFbYAQubkQgspcTqYzk](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Dt3WDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA569&dq=Radiology+%2B+Anesthesia+Technician:+Comprehensive+Review+of+Anesthesia+Monitoring+Systems+and+Radiological+Tools+in+Complex+Surgical+Interventions%22&ots=a2NW_RaJlb&sig=sFTfk_jVnFbYAQubkQgspcTqYzk)
- Guercio, J. R., Nimjee, S. M., James, M. L., & McDonagh, D. L. (2015). Anesthesia for interventional neuroradiology. *International anesthesiology clinics*, 53(1), 87-106. [https://journals.lww.com/anesthesiaclinics/FullText/2015/05310/Anesthesia\\_for\\_Interventional\\_Neuroradiology.7.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/anesthesiaclinics/FullText/2015/05310/Anesthesia_for_Interventional_Neuroradiology.7.aspx)
- Mahmoud, M., Towe, C., & Fleck, R. J. (2015). CT chest under general anesthesia: pulmonary, anesthetic and radiologic dilemmas. *Pediatric Radiology*, 45, 977-981. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00247-014-3250-3>
- Baerlocher, M. O., Kennedy, S. A., Ward, T. J., Nikolic, B., Bakal, C. W., Lewis, C. A., ... & Matsumoto, A. H. (2016). Society of Interventional Radiology position statement: staffing guidelines for the interventional radiology suite. *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, 27(5), 618-622. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1051044316002839>
- Koht, A., Sloan, T. B., & Toleikis, J. R. (Eds.). (2017). *Monitoring the nervous system for anesthesiologists and other health care professionals* (No. 24960). Springer International Publishing. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-1-4614-0308-1.pdf>
- Mahmoud, M., Holzman, R. S., & Mason, K. P. (2020). Anesthesia and sedation outside the operating room. *Gregory's Pediatric Anesthesia*, 1012-1040. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781119371533.ch41>
- Pearson, J. K., & Tan, G. M. (2015, September). Pediatric anterior mediastinal mass: a review article. In *Seminars in Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia* (Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 248-254). Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1089253215578931>
- Manninen, P., Kalia, S. K., & Venkatraghavan, L. (2017). Anesthesia considerations for patients with an implanted deep brain stimulator undergoing surgery: a review and update. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, 64(3), 308. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/c198e15250352d9d0efef1c524ed62f4/1?pq-origsite=scholar&cbl=326357>
- Marro, A., Bandukwala, T., & Mak, W. (2016). Three-dimensional printing and medical imaging: a review of the methods and applications. *Current problems in diagnostic radiology*, 45(1), 2-9. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0363018815001127>
- Elsharkawy, H., Sonny, A., & Chin, K. J. (2017). Localization of epidural space: A review of available technologies. *Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology*, 33(1), 16-27. [https://journals.lww.com/joacp/fulltext/2017/33010/Localization\\_of\\_epidural\\_space\\_\\_A\\_review\\_of.4.aspx?utm\\_source=summari](https://journals.lww.com/joacp/fulltext/2017/33010/Localization_of_epidural_space__A_review_of.4.aspx?utm_source=summari)
- Samarakkody, Z. M., & Abdullah, B. (2016). The use of image guided navigational tracking systems for endoscopic sinus surgery and skull base surgery: a review. *Egyptian Journal of Ear, Nose, Throat and Allied Sciences*, 17(3), 133-137. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2090074016300330>
- Wilson-Stewart, K., Shanahan, M., Fontanarosa, D., & Davidson, R. (2018). Occupational radiation exposure to nursing staff during cardiovascular fluoroscopic procedures: A review of the literature. *Journal of applied clinical medical physics*, 19(6), 282-297. <https://aapm.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/acm2.12461>
- Omisore, O. M., Han, S., Xiong, J., Li, H., Li, Z., & Wang, L. (2020). A review on flexible robotic systems for minimally invasive surgery. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 52(1), 631-644. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9226520/>
- Baralo, B., Samson, P., Hoenig, D., & Smith, A. (2020). Percutaneous kidney stone surgery and radiation exposure: A review. *Asian journal of urology*, 7(1), 10-17. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214388219300396>
- Bunch, P. M., & Kelly, H. R. (2018). Preoperative imaging techniques in primary hyperparathyroidism: a review. *JAMA Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery*, 144(10), 929-937. <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaotolaryngology/article-abstract/2698898>
- Callahan, M. J., MacDougall, R. D., Bixby, S. D., Voss, S. D., Robertson, R. L., & Cravero, J. P. (2018). Ionizing radiation from computed tomography versus anesthesia for magnetic resonance imaging in infants and children: patient

safety considerations. *Pediatric radiology*, 48(1), 21-30. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00247-017-4023-6>

Iqbal, M. H., Aydin, A., Brunckhorst, O., Dasgupta, P., & Ahmed, K. (2016). A review of wearable technology in medicine. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 109(10), 372-380. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0141076816663560>.